

JOB No.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI  
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT  
REPORT (NO.61) – AUGUST 2018

PREPARED FOR  
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
(CEDD)

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
13 September 2018	TCS00694/13/600/R1780v2	 Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	10 September 2018	First Submission
2	13 September 2018	Amended against IEC's comment on 11 and 13 September 2018

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

ES01 This is the 61<sup>st</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from **1 to 31 August 2018** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

**ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES**

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to seven CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (NE/2014/02), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 In the Reporting Period, the major construction works under Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project included Contract 2, Contract 3, Contract 4, Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Reporting Period	
		Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake	Total Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	9	147
	24-hour TSP	9	45
Construction Noise	L <sub>eq(30min)</sub> Daytime	10	45
Water Quality	Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling	WM1 & WM1-C	13 Scheduled & 2 extra
		WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx	13 Scheduled & 5 extra
		WM2B & WM2B-C	13 Scheduled & 0 extra (*)
		WM3x & WM3-C	13 Scheduled & 3 extra
		WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB	13 Scheduled & 2 extra
Ecology	Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species	9 Quadrats and transect	1
Joint Site Inspection / Audit	IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing	Contract 2	5
		Contract 3	5
		Contract 4	5
		Contract 6	5
		Contract 7	5
	Contract SS C505 (#)	5	

Remark: (#) IEC only joined one (1) event of site inspection for Contract SS C505.

(\*) In the whole Reporting Period, water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

**ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE**

ES04 In the Reporting Period, no air quality and construction noise exceedance and valid noise complaint was recorded. For water quality monitoring, a total of thirty (30) Action/ Limit Level exceedances were recorded under the Project. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--
	24-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	0	0	0	--	--	--
Water Quality	DO	0	0	0	-	--	--
	Turbidity	0	16	16	Refer to ES.05		The Contractor should fully implement water quality mitigation measure.
	SS	1	13	14			

ES05 Investigation Reports for water quality exceedances have been conducted by ET accordingly. Investigation results revealed that the Contractor had properly implemented water quality mitigation measures such as well-maintained the wastewater treatment facility and covered the expose area with impervious sheet. It was concluded that all exceedances recorded at WM3x and the exceedances recorded at WM1 on 10 August 2018 were not caused by the works under the Project. However, there were 5 AL/ LL exceedances recorded at WM4 concluded as partially related to the work under Contract 2 while the Contractor has promptly rectified the deficiency. Furthermore, the investigation for exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) during 22 to 31 August 2018 and recorded at WM1 on 30 August 2018 are still underway by ET and the investigation result will be presented in next Monthly EM&A Report.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES06 In this Reporting Period, one environmental complaint was recorded related to works under contract 6. The IR revealed that some deficiencies at site exit was observed, however, the Contractor has promptly rectified the deficiencies and provided remedial action to response the complaint. Besides, no environmental summons and prosecution were received under the EM&A programme.

#### NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES07 No environmental summons and prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

#### REPORTING CHANGE

ES08 No reporting changes were made in the Reporting Period.

#### SITE INSPECTION

ES09 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 2** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 24 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES10 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 3** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 15, 23 and 30 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 4** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 20 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

ES12 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

- ES13 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for **Contract 7** to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 21 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES14 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract SS C505** has been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 August 2018** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **22 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

#### **FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

- ES15 During rainy season, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- ES16 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- ES17 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- ES18 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
<b>2</b>	<b>PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING	3
2.2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION	5
2.3	CONCURRENT PROJECTS	7
2.4	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	7
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	10
<b>3</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	GENERAL	14
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	14
3.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS	14
3.4	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	16
3.5	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	17
3.6	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
3.7	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	21
3.8	DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	21
3.9	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	22
<b>4</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	GENERAL	23
4.2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS	23
<b>5</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	GENERAL	26
5.2	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS	26
<b>6</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1	GENERAL	27
6.2	RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING	27
<b>7</b>	<b>ECOLOGY MONITORING</b>	<b>31</b>
7.1	GENERAL	31
<b>8</b>	<b>WASTE MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1	GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	32
8.2	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	32
<b>9</b>	<b>SITE INSPECTION</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	REQUIREMENTS	33
9.2	FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH	33
<b>10</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE</b>	<b>38</b>
10.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS	38
<b>11</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	<b>41</b>
11.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	41
11.2	TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH	41
11.3	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	43
<b>12</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>44</b>
12.1	CONCLUSIONS	44
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	44

**LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 2-1	STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACTS
TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY
TABLE 3-3	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-4	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1C
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3
TABLE 4-4	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A
TABLE 4-6	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6
TABLE 4-7	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7B
TABLE 4-8	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM8
TABLE 4-9	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM9B
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 6-1	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED OF CONTRACTS 2 AND 3
TABLE 6-2	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED OF CONTRACTS 6 AND SS C505
TABLE 6-3	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED ONLY CONTRACT 6
TABLE 6-4	WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS ASSOCIATED CONTRACTS 2 AND 6
TABLE 6-5	ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE RECORDED
TABLE 6-6	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 8-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS FOR THE PROJECT
TABLE 8-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES FOR THE PROJECT
TABLE 9-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 2
TABLE 9-2	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 3
TABLE 9-3	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 4
TABLE 9-4	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 9-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT SS C505
TABLE 9-6	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 7
TABLE 10-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 10-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 10-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTIONS
TABLE 11-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART
APPENDIX C	3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING
APPENDIX F	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY
APPENDIX G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I	DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX L	WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX M	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
APPENDIX N	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR EXCEEDANCE
APPENDIX O	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR COMPLAINT

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/D granted on 20 January 2017.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
  - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
  - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
  - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
  - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **61<sup>st</sup>** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1** to **31 August 2018**.

### 1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- |                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| <i>Section 1</i> | <i>Introduction</i>                                   |
| <i>Section 2</i> | <i>Project Organization and Construction Progress</i> |
| <i>Section 3</i> | <i>Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements</i>      |
| <i>Section 4</i> | <i>Air Quality Monitoring</i>                         |
| <i>Section 5</i> | <i>Construction Noise Monitoring</i>                  |
| <i>Section 6</i> | <i>Water Quality Monitoring</i>                       |

<b>Section 7</b>	<i>Ecology Monitoring</i>
<b>Section 8</b>	<i>Waste Management</i>
<b>Section 9</b>	<i>Site Inspections</i>
<b>Section 10</b>	<i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i>
<b>Section 11</b>	<i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i>
<b>Section 12</b>	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>

## 2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

### 2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

#### Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016 and construction work was commenced on 2 May 2017. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

- 2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:
- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
  - construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
  - associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
  - construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

- 2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:
- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
  - associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
  - provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
  - construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
  - construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

- 2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:
- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
  - construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

- 2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:
- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
  - cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
  - accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
  - transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;
  - a public carpark; and

- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

## 2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in *Appendix B*. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

### Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

### Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

### Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

### Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

### Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance

- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

- 2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.
- 2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:
- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
  - Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM &A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
  - Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
  - Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
  - Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
  - Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

- 2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.
- 2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:
- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
  - Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
  - Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
  - Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
  - Audit environmental conditions on site
  - Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
  - Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
  - Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant EM&A proforma for approval by IEC
  - Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

- 2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.
- 2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:
- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
  - Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
  - Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
  - Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
  - Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
  - Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
  - Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
  - Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
  - Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
  - Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
  - Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
  - Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

## 2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

- 2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
  - (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
  - (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

## 2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.4.1 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activity conducted under the Project is located in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 and they are summarized in below. Moreover, 3-month rolling construction program for all the current contracts is enclosed in **Appendix C**.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.4.2 The contract commenced in May 2014. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building fit out and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>• Construction of flexible barrier and permanent drainage</li> <li>• Landscaping works.</li> </ul>
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction of retaining wall, permanent drainage and slip road</li> <li>• Tunnel backfilling, VE panel and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>• Construction of tunnel cross passage and internal structure</li> <li>• Landscaping works</li> <li>• North ventilation building superstructure, internal structure and backfilling</li> <li>• Construction of retaining wall, permanent drainage and slip road</li> <li>• North Portal - Tunnel backfilling, VE panel and E&amp;M installation</li> </ul>
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction of tunnel internal structure, tunnel backfilling and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>• South ventilation building fit out and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>• Construction of flexible barrier</li> <li>• Landscaping works.</li> <li>• Backfilling and construction of slip road</li> </ul>
Admin Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building fit out, permanent drainage and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>• Landscaping works</li> </ul>

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.4.3 The Contract commenced in November 2013. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Remaining works on new Footbridge
- Noise barrier construction
- Road pavement works
- Water main laying works (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Installation of Noise barrier steel column & panel, and sign gantry (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Parapet Installation on bridge deck
- Road Drainage Works
- Construction of profile barrier & Planter wall on Bridge deck
- Bitumen paving on bridge deck
- Installation of deck cell light inside the bridge deck
- Installation of movement joint on the bridge
- Construction of retaining wall
- Landscaping works

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.4.4 The Contract was awarded in mid-April 2016 and the construction work was commenced on 2 May 2017. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- E&M installation at Admin Building
- E&M installation at Ventilation Building
- E&M installation at OHVD & tunnel

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.4.5 The construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.4.6 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Bridge construction
- Tunneling Works
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Ventilation Building Construction
- Slip Road/At-grade Road/Periphery Road Construction

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.4.7 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and construction work was commenced on 15 February 2016. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Abutment and deck construction at Bridge E
- Profile barrier construction at Bridges A & E
- Noise barrier construction at Bridge D & E
- Installation of Façade at Bridge C
- Waterproofing works at roof of Bridge C
- Drainage and watermains at perimeter road
- Bitumen pavement perimeter road

Contract SS C505

2.4.8 Contract SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) G/F - Structure Works, Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
- PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, Nonstructure Wall Erection, Curtain Wall Installation, Southern Entrance Construction
- PTB - Major Plant Rooms ABWF Works & MEP Installation from G/F to 2/F & E&MF, Lift & Escalator Installation by NSC (Sigma), MVAC Vertical Connection, Plumbing & Drainage Connection
- PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MSRVS) Superstructure & ABWF Works and MEP Installation
- C&ED Detector Dog Base - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works G/F & R/F Works
- HKPF Building and Observation Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fire Station and Drill Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) - Steel Structure Works, External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) - Structures, External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) - Structures, External Works and Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- MXRVSS (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- MXRVSS (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- GV Kiosk (Inbound) - On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- GV Kiosk (Outbound) - Structures Works, On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Public Toilets (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Public Toilets (Outbound) - Structures Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) - Substructure and Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Weigh Station - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works

- EUVSS & Monitoring Room - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Refuse Collection Point - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Traffic Control Office (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Traffic Control Office (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Inspection Post - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Work
- Guard Booth (Inbound/Outbound/Vehicle Detention Area) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Steel Canopies - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Irrigation Pump Room - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Master Water Meter Room 1,2,3 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Elevated Walkway (E1, E2, E3 & E4) - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Vehicular bridges 1-5 - Retaining walls, Road and Finishes Works
- External Works - CLP Cable & Power ON Transfer room
- External Works - Water Meter Room Connection
- External Works - Underground Utilities, Structures and Inspection (Inbound & Outbound Areas)
- External Works - Road Works (Inbound & Outbound Area, PTB Area)
- Landscape - Inbound Area, Outbound Area & PTB podium Area
- Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD Inspection, CBI, DOG, Inbound EVA & SFH, HKPF, FSD, CBI, EVA and SFH T&C

## 2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:

- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Landscape Plan
- Topsoil Management Plan
- Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
- Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
- Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
- Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
- Vegetation Survey Report
- Woodland Compensation Plan
- Habitat Creation Management Plan
- Wetland Compensation Plan

2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in **Table 2-1**.

**Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts**

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
<b>Contract 2</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref No.: 368864	31 Dec 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	<b>North Portal</b> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-652-D2523-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<b>Mid-Vent Portal</b> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2524-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends

Item	Description	License/Permit Status			
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date	
		<i>South Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2526-01	9 Apr 2014	Till Contract ends	
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No. WT00018374-2014 (South Portal)	3 Mar 2014	28 Feb 2019	
		No. WT00023063-2015 (North Portal)	18 Dec 2015	31 Mar 2019	
		No.: W5/I1392 (Admin Building)	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019	
		No.: WT00025594-2016 (Mid-Vent Portal)	7 Oct 2016	31 Mar 2019	
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7019105	8 Jan 2014	Till Contract ends	
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0211-18	North Portal	10-May-2018	09-Nov-2018
		GW-RN0212-18		10-May-2018	09-Nov-2018
		GW-RN0307-18		18-Jun-2018	17-Dec-2018
		GW-RN0400-18	Mid Vent	06-Aug-2018	01-Feb-2019
		GW-RN0401-18		06-Aug-2018	31-Jan-2019
		GW-RN0238-18	South Portal	01-Jun-2018	30-Nov-2018
		GW-RN0110-18		22-Mar-2018	21-Sep-2018
		GW-RN0176-18		30-Apr-2018	27-Oct-2018
		GW-RN0253-18		06-Jun-2018	05-Dec-2018
		GW-RN0142-18	Admin Bldg	5-Apr-2018	27-Sep-2018
GW-RN0140-18	Cheung Shan Tunnel	3-Apr-2018	22-Sep-2018		
6	Specified Process License (Mortar Plant Operation)	L-3-251(1)	12 Apr 2016	11 Apr 2021	
<b>Contract 3</b>					
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 362101	17 Jul 2013	Till Contract ends	
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number: No.:5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013	Till Contract ends	
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00016832 – 2013	28 Aug 13	31 Aug 2018	
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for	Account No. 7017914	2 Aug 13	Till Contract ends	

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Disposal of Construction Waste			
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0259-18	19 Jun 2018	17 Dec 2018
		GW-RN0305-18	22 Jun 2018	17 Dec 2018
		GW-RN0366-18	9 Jul 2018	18 Dec 2018
		GW-RN0361-18	15 Jul 2018	18 Dec 2018
		GW-RN0388-18	25 Aug 2018	24 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0424-18	01 Sep 2018	21 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0425-18	22 Aug 2018	21 Feb 2019
		GW-RN0454-18	06 Sep 2018	05 Mar 2019
<b>Contract 5</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 359338	13 May 2013	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-642-S3735-01	8 Jun 2013	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: W5/1G44/1	8 Jun 13	30 Jun 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017351	29 Apr 13	Till the end of Contract
<b>Contract 6</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390614	29 Jun 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01	31 Aug 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022707	9 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00024574-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.:WT00024576-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.:WT00024742-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
		No.:WT00024746-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RW0121-18	30 Apr 2018	29 Oct 2018
<b>Contract SS C505</b>				

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390974	13 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5213-642-L1048-07	16 Sep 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024865-2016	8 Jul 2016	30 Nov 2020
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022831	23 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0114-18	5 Apr 2018	4 Oct 2018
		GW-RN0198-18	8 May 2018	7 Nov 2018
<b>Contract 7</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 397015	21 Dec 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5214-641-K3202-01	24 Mar 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024422-2016	10 May 2016	31 May 2021
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024129	21 Jan 2016	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0206-18	8 May 2018	4 Nov 2018
<b>Contract 4</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No. 405353	22 July 2016	Till the end of Contract
2	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024973	13 May 2016	Till the end of Contract

### 3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

#### 3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

**Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements**

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and</li> <li>• 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler.</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>L_{eq(30min)}</math> in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and</li> <li>• 3 sets of consecutive <math>L_{eq(5min)}</math> on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday</li> <li>• Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as <math>L_{10}</math> and <math>L_{90}</math> shall also be obtained for reference.</li> </ul>
Water Quality	<b>In-situ Measurements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L);</li> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (% );</li> <li>• Turbidity (NTU);</li> <li>• pH unit;</li> <li>• Water depth (m); and</li> <li>• Temperature (°C).</li> </ul>
	<b>Laboratory Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suspended Solids (mg/L)</li> </ul>

#### 3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The latest alternative monitoring locations has been updated in the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which approved by EPD on 7 April 2017. Besides, in view of Location AM1b was demolished and returned to the landlord on 27 April 2018, alternative location AM1c was proposed by ET. The proposal for alternative location AM1c which verified by IEC on 5 June 2018 has been submitted to EPD for approval on 6 June 2018. EPD issued comments on 16 July 2018 and the revised proposal was submitted to EPD on 20 August 2018. *Table 3-2*, *Table 3-3* and *Table 3-4* listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

**Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1b^	Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1c(*)	Open area of Tsung Yuen Ha Village No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
AM2	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM3	Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village.	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM4b^	House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM5a^	Ping Yeung Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM6	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM7b@	Loi Tung Village House	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2 Contract 6
AM8	Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
AM9b#	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

# Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013).

@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).

^ Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016. Besides, Location AM1b was temporary suspended (24-hour TSP monitoring) since 27 April 2018 as the rented land was demolished and returned to the landlord.

\* Proposal for alternative location AM1c which verified by the IEC on 5 June 2018 was submitted to EPD on 6 June 2018. EPD issued comments on 16 July 2018 and the revised proposal was submitted to EPD on 20 August 2018.

**Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
NM1	Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
NM2a#	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
NM3	Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast)	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM4	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM5	Village House, Loi Tung	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM6	Tai Tong Wu Village House 2	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM7	Po Kat Tsai Village	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
NM8	Village House, Tong Hang	Fanling	Contract 2 Contract 3
NM9	Village House, Kiu Tau Village	Fanling	Contract 3
NM10	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

# Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.

**Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality**

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
WM1	Downstream of Kong Yiu Channel	833 679	845 421	Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the designated location	SS C505 Contract 6
WM1-Control	Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel	834 185	845 917	NA	SS C505 Contract 6
WM2A	Downstream of River Ganges	834 204	844 471	Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A(a)*	Downstream of River Ganges	834 191	844 474	Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A-Controlx#	Upstream of River Ganges	835 377	844 188	Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2B	Downstream of River Ganges	835 433	843 397	NA	Contract 6
WM2B-Control	Upstream of River Ganges	835 835	843 351	Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM3x#	Downstream of River Indus	836 206	842 270	Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM3-Control	Upstream of River Indus	836 763	842 400	Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM4	Downstream of Ma Wat Channel	833 850	838 338	Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control A	Kau Lung Hang Stream	834 028	837 695	Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control B	Upstream of Ma Wat Channel	833760	837395	Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3

*Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which proposed that (1) if the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring; and (2) If no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 4.1.4) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)*

*(\*) Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD's ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)*

*(#) Proposal for the change of water quality monitoring location (WM3x and WM2A-Cx) was included in the EM&A Programme Rev .05 which approved by EPD on 29 March 2016 (EPD ref.: (3) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.19)*

### 3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6 of the approved EM&A Manual and presented as follows.

#### Air Quality Monitoring

#### 3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP 3 times every six days during course of works

- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

3.4.2 One set of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  as 6 consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), additional weekly impact monitoring for  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurement shall be employed during respective restricted hours periods.. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

**3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT**

Air Quality Monitoring

3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.

3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.

3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

**Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-Hr TSP</b>	
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170*
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A*
<b>1-Hour TSP</b>	
Portable Dust Meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter*

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:

- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
- 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
- 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.
- 4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.

3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.

3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.

3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in **Table 3-6**.

**Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238* and Rion NL-31*
Calibrator	Rion NC-74*
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:

- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
- a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.

3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.

3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.

3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.

3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

- 3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

**Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or tape measures
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus /YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument/ YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter*/ YSI Professional DSS
pH meter	YSI Professional Plus / AZ8685 pH pen-style meter*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

### 3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
  - A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
  - A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:
- An anodized aluminum shelter;
  - A 8”x10” stainless steel filter holder;
  - A blower motor assembly;
  - A continuous flow/pressure recorder;

- (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
- (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

- 3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.
- 3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

#### Noise Monitoring

- 3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results ( $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$ ) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq(30min)}$  in six consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays;  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

#### Water Quality

- 3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

##### *Sampling Procedure*

- 3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.
- 3.6.11 If the water level of a monitoring station is too shallow when sampling, sediment would be disturbed which affecting the accuracy of water quality monitoring. In order to avoid disturbing sediment, depth limits should be set up for the water sampling for the ease of reference. When the measured water depth of the monitoring station (both control and impact stations) is lower than 150mm, water monitoring would not be to perform at that monitoring location. Instead, the monitoring location will be moved to a temporary alternative location monitoring location based on the criteria below:-
- (a) the alternative location should be either upstream or downstream of the original location and at the same the river/drain channel
  - (b) the alternative location should be within 15m far from the original location
  - (c) if no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample.
- 3.6.12 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.

- 3.6.13 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.
- 3.6.14 A ‘Willow’ 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4<sup>0</sup>C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

In-situ Measurement

- 3.6.15 YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.
- 3.6.16 A portable AZ Model 8685 is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.
- 3.6.17 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidimeter is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.
- 3.6.18 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.6.19 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

**3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION**

- 3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in **Appendix F**.

**3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS**

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in **Tables 3-8, 3-9 and 3-10**.

**Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring**

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM1c	265	143	500	260
AM2	268	149		
AM3	269	145		
AM4b	267	148		
AM5a	268	143		
AM6	269	148		
AM7b	275	156		
AM8	269	144		
AM9b	271	151		

**Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise**

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1, NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8, NM9, NM10	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) <sup>Note 1 &amp; Note 2</sup>

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period.

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

**Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality**

Parameter	Performance criteria	Monitoring Location				
		WM1	WM2A(a)	WM2B	WM3x	WM4
DO (mg/L)	Action Level	(*)4.23	(**)4.00	(*)4.74	(**)4.00	(*)4.14
	Limit Level	(#)4.19	(**)4.00	(#)4.60	(**)4.00	(#)4.08
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	51.3	24.9	11.4	13.4	35.2
	Limit Level	AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				
SS (mg/L)	Action Level	54.5	14.6	11.8	12.6	39.4
	Limit Level	AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				

**Remarks:**

(\*) The Proposed **Action Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data

(\*\*) The Proposed **Action & Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L

(#) The Proposed **Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

**3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL**

3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

#### 4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

##### 4.1 GENERAL

4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. Hence, air quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations.

4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

##### 4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **147** events of 1-hour TSP and **45** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1c**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
4-Aug-18	36	1-Aug-18	9:14	52	49	60
10-Aug-18	17	7-Aug-18	9:46	60	66	68
16-Aug-18	24	13-Aug-18	9:34	44	50	54
22-Aug-18	39	18-Aug-18	9:16	61	43	51
28-Aug-18	28	24-Aug-18	9:07	78	79	78
--	--	30-Aug-18	9:23	73	74	71
Average (Range)	<b>29</b> (17-39)	Average (Range)		<b>62</b> (43 – 79)		

**Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
4-Aug-18	90	1-Aug-18	13:23	47	51	47
10-Aug-18	42	7-Aug-18	9:33	55	66	72
16-Aug-18	39	13-Aug-18	9:31	47	51	55
22-Aug-18	79	18-Aug-18	9:31	86	62	55
28-Aug-18	63	24-Aug-18	9:32	84	80	86
--	--	30-Aug-18	9:48	92	78	89
Average (Range)	<b>63</b> (39 – 90)	Average (Range)		<b>67</b> (47 – 92)		

**Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
4-Aug-18	58	1-Aug-18	13:36	53	60	56
10-Aug-18	17	7-Aug-18	9:26	63	65	67
16-Aug-18	18	13-Aug-18	9:24	48	52	56
22-Aug-18	15	18-Aug-18	12:30	59	47	38
28-Aug-18	16	24-Aug-18	13:17	83	78	78
--	--	30-Aug-18	13:21	71	71	66

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
Average (Range)	<b>25</b> (15 – 58)	Average (Range)		<b>62</b> (38 – 83)		

**Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
3-Aug-18	25	4-Aug-18	9:14	76	74	77
9-Aug-18	27	10-Aug-18	9:36	43	46	49
15-Aug-18	28	16-Aug-18	9:33	50	53	56
21-Aug-18	56	22-Aug-18	9:50	67	60	64
27-Aug-18	45	28-Aug-18	9:50	57	54	54
Average (Range)	<b>36</b> (25 – 56)	Average (Range)		<b>59</b> (43 – 77)		

**Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
3-Aug-18	25	3-Aug-18	9:17	79	80	79
9-Aug-18	51	10-Aug-18	9:33	47	51	53
15-Aug-18	21	16-Aug-18	9:31	49	51	55
21-Aug-18	23	22-Aug-18	9:58	67	64	63
27-Aug-18	33	28-Aug-18	9:32	57	53	57
Average (Range)	<b>31</b> (21 – 51)	Average (Range)		<b>60</b> (47 – 80)		

**Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
3-Aug-18	61	3-Aug-18	9:23	75	71	71
9-Aug-18	59	10-Aug-18	9:25	48	52	57
15-Aug-18	64	16-Aug-18	9:22	47	51	53
21-Aug-18	90	22-Aug-18	10:11	60	62	64
27-Aug-18	90	28-Aug-18	9:59	59	61	58
Average (Range)	<b>73</b> (59 – 90)	Average (Range)		<b>59</b> (47 – 75)		

**Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
3-Aug-18	70	4-Aug-18	9:30	31	33	46
9-Aug-18	36	10-Aug-18	9:22	46	48	51
15-Aug-18	23	16-Aug-18	9:20	55	56	56
21-Aug-18	36	22-Aug-18	9:15	57	55	52
27-Aug-18	38	28-Aug-18	13:07	35	35	37

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
Average (Range)	<b>41</b> (23 – 70)	Average (Range)		<b>46</b> (31– 57)		

**Table 4-8 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM8**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
3-Aug-18	40	4-Aug-18	13:00	44	43	38
9-Aug-18	21	10-Aug-18	9:09	49	50	53
15-Aug-18	12	16-Aug-18	13:11	59	61	59
21-Aug-18	45	22-Aug-18	13:04	58	63	60
27-Aug-18	27	28-Aug-18	9:13	58	61	59
Average (Range)	<b>29</b> (12 – 45)	Average (Range)		<b>54</b> (38 – 63)		

**Table 4-9 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM9b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
4-Aug-18	25	1-Aug-18	9:33	17	15	32
10-Aug-18	10	7-Aug-18	9:45	50	31	41
16-Aug-18	20	13-Aug-18	10:24	47	36	35
22-Aug-18	41	18-Aug-18	9:29	40	43	46
28-Aug-18	20	24-Aug-18	9:20	53	54	49
--	--	30-Aug-18	10:01	13	28	18
Average (Range)	<b>23</b> (10 – 41)	Average (Range)		<b>36</b> (13 – 54)		

4.2.2 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*, all the 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) was issued in this Reporting Period.

4.2.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

## 5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

### 5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and noise monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of 45 events noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM1, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8 and NM9. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and NM10 and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM2a <sup>(*)</sup>	NM8	NM9	NM10 <sup>(*)</sup>
1-Aug-18	58	74	59	61	63
7-Aug-18	58	70	67	58	66
13-Aug-18	60	70	60	60	63
24-Aug-18	59	73	55	61	64
30-Aug-18	56	67	60	69	65
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

Remarks

(\*) façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

**Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM3	NM4	NM5	NM6	NM7
10-Aug-18	60	66	53	58	60
16-Aug-18	58	61	59	59	64
22-Aug-18	55	63	60	59	73
28-Aug-18	61	62	60	58	62
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

- 5.2.2 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

## 6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

### 6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project has been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and water quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **thirteen (13)** sampling days was scheduled to carry out for all designated locations with their control stations. Since exceedances were recorded at WM1, WM2A(a), WM3x and WM4, according to “*Event and Action Plan*” stipulation, **2, 5, 3** and **2** additional water quality monitoring day were conducted for WM1, WM2A(a), WM3x and WM4 respectively and their control stations.

6.2.2 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-5*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-6*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 2 and 3**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB
1-Aug-18	6.6	7.6	5.7	16.8	3.8	13.4	14.5	<2	17.0
3-Aug-18	6.3	7.6	5.3	21.2	7.2	13.2	13.5	2.5	12.0
6-Aug-18	6.5	7.6	5.7	17.7	5.5	13.8	11.5	3.5	17.5
8-Aug-18	6.3	7.5	5.6	11.4	4.0	11.3	9.0	3.5	11.0
10-Aug-18	6.5	7.3	5.0	15.0	9.1	7.5	16.0	7.5	7.5
13-Aug-18	7.3	7.6	5.5	<b>55.3</b>	6.4	13.8	<b>45.5</b>	3.0	11.5
14-Aug-18#	#	#	#	<b>54.1</b>	7.4	9.0	35.0	3.0	9.0
15-Aug-18	7.2	7.7	5.4	<b>85.1</b>	22.9	21.4	<b>75.5</b>	5.5	10.5
16-Aug-18#	#	#	#	27.6	6.9	8.5	21.0	5.0	10.0
17-Aug-18	7.2	7.8	5.5	31.4	9.4	22.2	23.5	<2	11.0
20-Aug-18	7.4	7.9	6.5	29.7	3.5	10.2	27.0	4.0	9.0
22-Aug-18	7.3	7.5	5.9	12.4	5.2	13.1	14.0	3.5	21.5
24-Aug-18	7.4	7.8	7.4	34.8	5.5	114.5	27.5	2.5	74.5
28-Aug-18	7.2	7.7	6.1	21.3	8.6	18.7	16.0	4.0	12.5
30-Aug-18	7.6	7.9	5.7	27.2	11.1	18.3	24.5	9.5	18.5

Remarks: bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance; bold and italic indicated Action Level exceedance

(#) Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.

**Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 6 and SS C505**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
1-Aug-18	7.3	7.5	17.3	9.4	10.5	5.0
3-Aug-18	6.6	7.2	110.5	93.1	61.0	52.5
6-Aug-18	6.6	7.1	22.6	17.3	17.0	13.0
8-Aug-18	6.7	7.0	21.0	14.7	15.5	12.5
10-Aug-18	7.3	7.3	<b>80.9</b>	56.8	<b>68.0</b>	45.5
11-Aug-18#	#	#	over range	over range	1110.0	1740.0
13-Aug-18	7.3	7.5	27.0	25.0	25.5	16.5
15-Aug-18	6.8	6.9	over range	over range	635.5	547.0
17-Aug-18	8.0	7.5	26.0	17.1	14.0	5.0

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
20-Aug-18	7.8	7.6	44.1	22.3	45.0	20.0
22-Aug-18	7.7	8.2	25.5	17.6	23.5	11.0
24-Aug-18	7.9	7.8	28.0	26.0	22.0	18.0
28-Aug-18	7.4	7.9	19.9	20.3	13.0	9.0
30-Aug-18	7.1	7.2	<b>over range</b>	369.5	<b>626.5</b>	319.0
31-Aug-18#	#	#	911.0	931.5	626.0	530.0

Remarks: bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

(#) Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.

**Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated only Contract 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C
1-Aug-18	8.1	7.5	*	*	12.3	9.6	*	*	14.5	<2	*	*
3-Aug-18	7.5	6.6	*	*	10.0	156.0	*	*	3.5	76.5	*	*
6-Aug-18	7.0	6.8	*	*	7.7	10.4	*	*	6.0	4.0	*	*
8-Aug-18	5.3	6.9	*	*	6.6	9.5	*	*	4.5	4.5	*	*
10-Aug-18	7.7	6.9	*	*	26.2	30.9	*	*	19.0	20.5	*	*
13-Aug-18	7.8	7.6	*	*	19.9	7.1	*	*	14.0	6.0	*	*
15-Aug-18	8.0	7.9	*	*	25.2	185.5	*	*	5.0	122.5	*	*
17-Aug-18	8.1	7.7	*	*	11.1	12.1	*	*	2.5	4.5	*	*
20-Aug-18	7.7	7.8	*	*	14.7	7.7	*	*	5.5	3.0	*	*
22-Aug-18	6.7	7.6	*	*	<b>53.9</b>	9.9	*	*	<b>43.0</b>	4.5	*	*
23-Aug-18#	#	#	*	*	<b>428.0</b>	4.8	*	*	<b>169.0</b>	4.0	*	*
24-Aug-18	7.5	7.8	*	*	<b>over range</b>	11.2	*	*	<b>1235.0</b>	17.0	*	*
25-Aug-18#	#	#	*	*	20.7	5.9	*	*	18.0	15.0	*	*
27-Aug-18#	#	#	*	*	<b>66.6</b>	8.0	*	*	<b>60.0</b>	6.0	*	*
28-Aug-18	7.0	7.6	*	*	<b>64.2</b>	11.0	*	*	<b>51.5</b>	6.0	*	*
29-Aug-18#	#	#	*	*	<b>124.5</b>	32.3	*	*	<b>101.0</b>	28.0	*	*
30-Aug-18	7.7	8.0	*	*	<b>356.0</b>	16.3	*	*	<b>281.0</b>	13.5	*	*
31-Aug-18#	#	#	*	*	<b>300.0</b>	16.6	*	*	<b>200.0</b>	18.0	*	*

Remarks: \* water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)

Bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

(#) Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance

**Table 6-4 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 2 and 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C
1-Aug-18	6.3	7.3	4.8	5.4	<2	13.5
3-Aug-18	6.4	7.0	15.0	31.0	10.5	70.5
6-Aug-18	6.9	7.1	13.1	2.1	11.0	6.5
8-Aug-18	6.5	7.1	3.8	4.8	4.5	2.5
10-Aug-18	6.4	7.1	10.1	5.8	9.5	20.5
13-Aug-18	6.6	7.1	<b>79.0</b>	8.0	<b>73.5</b>	15.0
14-Aug-18#	#	#	<b>31.1</b>	16.7	22.0	20.0
15-Aug-18	6.3	7.2	20.5	43.9	9.0	22.0
16-Aug-18#	#	#	11.6	22.9	5.0	32.0
17-Aug-18	6.5	7.1	16.0	24.8	5.5	27.0
20-Aug-18	6.7	7.4	<b>72.1</b>	12.6	<b>40.5</b>	28.5

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C
21-Aug-18#	#	#	5.8	5.4	4.0	28.0
22-Aug-18	6.5	7.0	7.9	3.7	8.0	4.0
24-Aug-18	7.0	7.2	13.2	7.4	12.0	19.5
28-Aug-18	6.5	7.1	17.3	16.1	10.0	20.0
30-Aug-18	7.2	7.4	18.3	39.6	15.0	50.5

Remarks: bold and underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

(#) Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.

**Table 6-5 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Recorded**

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
WM1	0	0	0	<b>2</b>	0	<b>2</b>	0	<b>4</b>	0	<b>0 (#)</b>
WM2A(a)	0	0	0	<b>8</b>	0	<b>8</b>	0	<b>16</b>	0	<b>0 (#)</b>
WM2B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WM3x	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	0	<b>2</b>	0	<b>5</b>	0	0
WM4	0	0	0	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4 (*)</b>
No of Exceedance	0	0	0	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>

# The exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) and at WM1 on 30 August are still underway by ET.

\* IR revealed that the exceedances were partially related to works of Contract 2.

6.2.3 In this Reporting Period, a total of thirty (30) Action Level/Limit Level exceedances, namely sixteen (16) Limit Level exceedance of turbidity and one (1) Action Level and thirteen (13) Limit Level exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project and they are summarized in Table 6-5. Investigation Reports for water quality exceedances have been conducted by ET accordingly. Investigation results revealed that the Contractor had properly implemented water quality mitigation measures such as well-maintained the wastewater treatment facility and covered the expose area with impervious sheet. It was concluded that all exceedances recorded at WM3x and the exceedances recorded at WM1 on 10 August 2018 were not caused by the works under the Project. However, there were 5 AL/LL exceedances recorded at WM4 concluded as partially related to the work under Contract 2 while the Contractor has promptly rectified the deficiency.

6.2.4 Furthermore, the investigation for exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) during 22 to 31 August 2018 and recorded at WM1 on 30 August 2018 are still underway by ET and the investigation result will be presented in next Monthly EM&A Report.

6.2.5 NOE was issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The investigation results and summary of exceedances are summarized in **Table 6-6**. The details of the completed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in **Appendix N**.

**Table 6-6 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period**

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
30 July 2018	WM3x	Turbidity & SS	In our investigation, the Contractors had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Since turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages, it is considered that the exceedances were likely external source of muddy water and not caused by the works under the Project.

13, 14 and 15 August 2018	WM4	Turbidity & SS	<p>In our investigation, the Contractor of Contract 3 (Chun Wo) had implemented water quality mitigation measures properly and no adverse water quality impact was observed during the site inspections. In viewing of inflow of muddy water from outside the site boundary, it is considered that the exceedances were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 3.</p> <p>Water quality impact was identified at South Portal of Contract 2 during site inspection and the deficiency was considered as short term impact which has been rectified after site inspection. In view of the inflow of muddy water from outside site boundary and deficiencies for water quality impact observed during site inspection, it was considered that the exceedances were related to the external muddy water and partially related to Contract 2. As the concerned storm drain has been backfilled by DHK and no exceedance was recorded in following days, no corrective action is required.</p>
10 August 2018	WM1	Turbidity & SS	In our investigation, the water quality mitigation implemented for Bridge Y and site condition was generally in order. It was considered that exceedances were related to the rainstorm and not due to the works under Contract 6.
13 and 14 August 2018	WM3x	Turbidity & SS	In our investigation, the Contractors had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Since turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages, it is considered that the exceedances were likely external source of muddy water and not caused by the works under the Project.
20 August 2018	WM3x	Turbidity & SS	In our investigation, the Contractors had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Since turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages, it is considered that the exceedances were likely external source of muddy water and not caused by the works under the Project.
30 August 2018	WM1	Turbidity & SS	The investigation is underway by ET and the investigation findings will be presented in next Reporting Period.
22, 23 and 24 August 2018	WM2A(a)	Turbidity & SS	The investigation is underway by ET and the investigation findings will be presented in next Reporting Period.
27, 28, 29 and 31 August 2018	WM2A(a)	Turbidity & SS	The investigation is underway by ET and the investigation findings will be presented in next Reporting Period.

## 7 ECOLOGY MONITORING

### 7.1 GENERAL

- 7.1.1 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation shall be conducted at bi-monthly interval for the first year and the monitoring frequency would be reduced to quarterly from the second year.
- 7.1.2 The Ecology Monitoring for period of June to August 2018 was carried out on 3<sup>rd</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> August 2018 by transects inspection and quadrat monitoring. The Quarterly Ecological Monitoring Report (June to August 2018) which verified by IEC has submitted to EPD as supplementary of the EM&A Report (August 2018) in September 2018.

## 8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for each contract.

### 8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

**Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 4		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Qty.
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	5.4100	--	2.372	--	0	--	2.562	--	0.047	--	16.440	--	26.831
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	0	--	0.613	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0.263	--	0.876
Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	0.7600	Recycling facility as approved alternative site	0	--	0	--	1.989	NENT	0	--	0	--	2.749
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	4.6500	Tuen Mun 38	1.281	Tuen Mun 38	0	--	0.573	Tuen Mun 38	0.047	Tuen Mun 38	16.036	TKO 137	22.587

**Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 4		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
Recycled Metal ('000kg) #	31.5900	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	5.8	Licensed collector	466.220	Licensed collector	503.61
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) #	0.2570	Licensed collector	0	-	0	-	0.360	Licensed collector	0.1	Licensed collector	0.230	Licensed collector	0.947
Recycled Plastic ('000kg) #	2.200	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	0.001	Licensed collector	0	--	2.201
Chemical Wastes ('000kg) #	3.0800	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	0	--	1.200	Licensed collector	4.28
General Refuses ('000m <sup>3</sup> )	0.8400	NENT	0.095	NENT	0	--	0.866	NENT	0.1	NENT	3.114	NENT	5.015

Remark #: Unit of recycled metal, recycled paper/ cardboard packing, recycled plastic and chemical waste for Contract 3 was in ('000m<sup>3</sup>) while the unit of chemical waste for Contract 3 was in (m<sup>3</sup>).

**9 SITE INSPECTION**

**9.1 REQUIREMENTS**

9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.

**9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH**

**Contract 2**

9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 2 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 24 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 2** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-1**.

**Table 9-1 Site Observations for Contract 2**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Findings / Deficiencies</b>	<b>Follow-Up Status</b>
3 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Free standing chemical containers were observed near the slope at North Portal. The Contractor should remove containers or provide drip tray for all chemical containers to prevent leakage on ground.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to provide mitigation measures to prevent muddy runoff from the site. (South Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical containers have been removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
10 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water regularly after rainstorm at south portal.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
17 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Discharge of turbid water was observed at old discharge point of South Portal. The Contractor should provide proper wastewater treatment and ensure all wastewater was treated prior to discharge from the site.</li> <li>Accumulation of water was observed in lifting holes at South Portal. The Contractor should cover/ fill up the lifting holes to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove the silt accumulated at the boundary and provide proper mitigation measures to prevent runoff from the site .(South Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The old discharge point has been backfilled with concrete.</li> <li>The lifting holes have been filled with rocks.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
24 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed during the site inspection.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>
31 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed during the site inspection.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>

**Contract 3**

9.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 3 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 15, 23 and 30 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.4 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 3** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-2**.

**Table 9-2 Site Observations for Contract 3**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The open slopes at BC02 were observed partially covered only. The Contractor should cover the entire open slope with tarpaulin sheets to prevent muddy runoff.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to properly maintain the sedimentation tank and ensure wastewater was treated prior to discharge.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water regularly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open slope has been covered.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
9 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed during the site inspection.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A</li> </ul>
15 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to review the waste water treatment system at work area of BC02 to ensure discharge water comply with WPCO standard.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
23 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water on the site after rainstorm</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
30 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Direct discharge of waste water was observed near BC02. The contractor should maintain the WWTS 14 functional and ensure all waste water was treated prior to discharge.</li> <li>Uncover slope was observed near BC02. The contractor should provide tarpaulin sheets to cover it.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water on the site after rainstorm</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The pipes have been removed and no direct discharge of waste water.</li> <li>The slope has been covered by tarpaulin sheets.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>

**Contract 4**

9.2.5 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 4 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 20 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.6 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 4** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-3**.

**Table 9-3 Site Observations for Contract 4**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
3 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
10 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
17 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
20 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
31 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

**Contract 6**

9.2.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **2, 9, 16, 23 and 30 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.8 The findings / deficiencies of *Contract 6* that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 9-4*.

**Table 9-4 Site Observations for Contract 6**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
2 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to properly maintain sedimentation tank and prevent muddy discharge at bridge Y.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to maintain the Wepset and diversion system in good condition at Chuk Yuen village</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
9 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
16 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
23 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Overflow of turbid water from wastewater treatment facility was observed at work area of site office. The Contractor should review the capacity of wastewater treatment facility to ensure all wastewater comply with WPCO standard prior to discharge.</li> <li>Silt was observed at public road near water-filled barriers at work area of Bridge Y. The Contractor was advised to clean the silt at public road and provide proper mitigation measure to avoid silt accumulated at public road.</li> <li>The Contractors was reminded to enhance the house-keeping at work area of Bridge Y.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The wastewater have been properly treated.</li> <li>The silt has been cleaned.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
30 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aggregate was observed along the public road near bridge Y. The Contractor should provide proper mitigation measures to avoid accumulation of aggregate and maintain the public road clean and tidy,</li> <li>The pump of wetsep was observed out of order at Chuk Yuen village. The Contractor should maintain the pump functional and ensure waste water was treated before discharge.</li> <li>The Contractors was reminded to rearrange the diversion system at bridge Y.</li> <li>The Contractors was reminded to provide proper mitigation measure to avoid dust emission at Don Don Shan</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The silt has been removed.</li> <li>The pump of wetsep has been maintained.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>

**Contract SS C505**

9.2.9 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract SS C505 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **1, 8, 15, 22 and 29 August 2018** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **22 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.10 The findings / deficiencies of *Contract SS C505* that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 9-5*.

**Table 9-5 Site Observations for Contract SS C505**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
1 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove the</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for</li> </ul>

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	stagnant water regularly to prevent mosquito breeding. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded that stockpiles should cover with tarpaulin sheets to prevent dust emission.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to clean the general refuse and debris regularly.</li> </ul>	reminder. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
8 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Opened cement bags were observed at third floor of PTB. The Contractor should cover it with tarpaulin sheet to minimize dust impact.</li> <li>Stagnant water was observed accumulated at first and third floor of PTB. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water regularly to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to maintain good housekeeping on site.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The cement bags have been removed.</li> <li>Stagnant water has been removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
15 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water regularly after rainstorm to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to provide drip trays for chemical containers and materials.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded that open cement bag should cover with tarpaulin sheet.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
22 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was observed on site. The Contractor should provide proper mitigation measures to prevent mosquito breeding, (under EWY, building 7 )</li> <li>Free standing chemical containers were observed near bridge. The Contractor should provide drip tray for any chemical containers to prevent leakage.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was removed.</li> <li>Drip tray was provided for chemical containers.</li> </ul>
29 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water after rainstorm.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>

**Contract 7**

9.2.11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 7 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 17, 21 and 31 August 2018**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.12 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 7** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-6**.

**Table 9-6 Site Observations for Contract 7**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
27 July 2018 (Last reporting period)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uncovered stockpile was observed on the ground near to site boundary at bridge E. The Contractor should cover it with tarpaulin sheet to avoid dust emission and muddy runoff.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to maintain wastewater treatment system function</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stockpile has been removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
	properly and ensure wastewater was treated by wastewater treatment system prior to discharge.	
3 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Contractor was reminded to maintain the sedimentation tank function properly.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
10 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Contractor was reminded to maintain the site access clean and tidy.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
17 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Free standing chemical container was observed on the ground. The Contractor should provide drip tray for any chemical container to prevent leakage.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The chemical container has been removed.</li> </ul>
21 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stagnant water was observed on the ground near bridge E. The Contractor should provide proper mitigation measures to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stagnant water has been removed..</li> </ul>
31 August 2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NA</li> </ul>

9.2.13 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

**10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE**

**10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTIONS**

10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, one (1) documented environmental complaint was received under the EM&A program of the Project related to works area of Contract 6. No summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for all Contracts. The status of the outstanding investigation report in previous months is summarized below.

Date of complaint	Complaint Detail	Investigation Status
15 August 2018	A public complaint was received by 1823 on 15 August 2018 regarding the cleanliness of road which underneath the viaduct at Lin Ma Hang Road. The complainant expressed that a lot of gravels, mud and muddy water were observed at the construction site exit which pose danger to the road user, in particular during rainy day. The complainant expects that the road condition can be improved as soon as possible.	Investigation was carried out for related Contract 6 accordingly. The IR revealed that muddy trails were observed at the site exit of Bridge Y and it has been rectified immediately. However, the presence of roadside debris and small amount of gravels was related to the frequent use of road by the project as well the villager nearby. In response to the complaint, CCKJV has deployed manual sweeping for road edge behind the water-filled barrier. It is considered that CCKJV has promptly rectified the deficiencies and provided remedial action to response the complaint.  The IR was completed by ET without comment by IEC which enclosed in <i>Appendix O</i> .

10.1.2 The statistical summary of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1, 10-2 and 10-3*.

**Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
19 May 2014 – 31 July 2018	Contract 2	0	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (19) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (5) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust &amp; noise</li> <li>• (1) waste management</li> <li>• (1) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	(7) water quality (2) dust (1) noise
06 Nov 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 3	0	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (2) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 5	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Dust</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 6	0	38	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (23) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Noise</li> <li>• (1) Nuisance</li> <li>• (1) Noise and dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	(7) water quality (3) dust (1) Nuisance (1) Water quality and dust
15 Feb 2016 – 31 July 2018	Contract 7	0	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	(1) Water quality and dust

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	SS C505	0	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> <li>• (1) Water quality</li> </ul>	(1) Water quality and dust
1 – 31 August 2018	Contract 2	0	35	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (19) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (5) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust &amp; noise</li> <li>• (1) waste management</li> <li>• (1) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	NA
	Contract 3	0	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (2) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	NA
	Contract 4	0	0	NA	NA
	Contract 6	1	39	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (24) Water Quality</li> <li>• (8) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Noise</li> <li>• (1) Nuisance</li> <li>• (1) Noise and dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	(1) Water Quality*
	Contract 7	0	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> </ul>	NA
	SS C505	0	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust</li> <li>• (2) Water quality and dust</li> <li>• (1) Water quality</li> </ul>	NA

\* The complaint was partially related to the works under Contract 6.

**Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Summons Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 July 2018	Contract 2	0	1	contravening the Water Pollution Control (General) Regulations
06 Nov 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 July 2018	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 31 August 2018	Contract 2	0	1	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 4	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

**Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecutions**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Prosecutions Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 July 2018	Contract 2	0	1	contravening the Water Pollution Control (General) Regulations
06 Nov 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 July 2018	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 July 2018	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 31 August 2018	Contract 2	0	1	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 4	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

## 11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

### 11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 11-1*.

**Table 11-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures**

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wastewater to be treated by the wastewater treatment facilities i.e. sedimentation tank or similar facility before discharge.</li> </ul>
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain damp / wet surface on access road</li> <li>Low vehicular speed within the works areas.</li> <li>All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site</li> <li>Sprayed water during breaking works</li> <li>A cleaning truck was regularly performed on the public road to prevent fugitive dust emission</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday.</li> <li>Keep good maintenance of plants</li> <li>Place noisy plants away from residence or school</li> <li>Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works</li> <li>Shut down the plants when not in used.</li> </ul>
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On-site sorting prior to disposal</li> <li>Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System”</li> <li>Predict required quantity of concrete accurately</li> <li>Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal</li> </ul>
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site was generally kept tidy and clean.</li> </ul>

### 11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016. Construction activities for other Contracts in the coming month are listed below:

#### Contract 2

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of internal structure for stud tunnel and adit tunnel</li> <li>Building fit out and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>Construction of flexible barrier and permanent drainage</li> <li>Landscaping works.</li> </ul>
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of retaining wall, permanent drainage and slip road</li> <li>Tunnel backfilling, VE panel and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>Construction of tunnel cross passage and internal structure</li> <li>Landscaping works</li> <li>North ventilation building superstructure, internal structure &amp; backfilling</li> </ul>
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of tunnel internal structure, tunnel backfilling and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>South ventilation building fit out and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>Construction of flexible barrier</li> <li>Landscaping works.</li> <li>Backfilling and construction of slip road</li> </ul>
Admin Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Building fit out, permanent drainage and E&amp;M installation</li> <li>Landscaping works</li> </ul>

### Contract 3

- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Remaining works on new Footbridge
- Noise barrier construction
- Road pavement works
- Water main laying works (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Installation of Noise barrier steel column & panel, and sign gantry (on Grade and on bridge deck)
- Parapet Installation on bridge deck
- Road Drainage Works
- Construction of profile barrier & Planter wall on Bridge deck
- Bitumen paving on bridge deck
- Installation of deck cell light inside the bridge deck
- Installation of movement joint on the bridge
- Construction of retaining wall
- Landscaping works

### Contract 4

- E&M installation at Admin Building
- E&M installation at Ventilation Building
- E&A installation at OHVD & tunnel
- High mast erection
- Sign fabrication & installation

### Contract 6

- Bridge construction
- Tunnel Works
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Ventilation Building Construction
- Slip Road/At-grade Road/Periphery Road Construction

### Contract 7

- Profile barrier construction at Bridges A, B, D and E
- Noise barrier construction at Bridge D&E
- Waterproofing and drainage works at Roof of Bridge C
- Drainage and watermains at perimeter road
- Bitumen pavement at perimeter road

### Contract SS C505

- Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) G/F - Structure Works, Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
- PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, Nonstructure Wall Erection, Curtain Wall Installation, Southern Entrance Construction
- PTB - Major Plant Rooms ABWF Works & MEP Installation from G/F to 2/F & E&MF, Lift & Escalator Installation by NSC (Sigma), MVAC Vertical Connection, Plumbing & Drainage Connection
- PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MSRVSS) Superstructure & ABWF Works and MEP Installation
- C&ED Detector Dog Base - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works G/F & R/F Works
- HKPF Building and Observation Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fire Station and Drill Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) - Steel Structure Works, External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) - Structures,

- External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) - Structures, External Works and Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- MXRVSS (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- MXRVSS (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- GV Kiosk (Inbound) - On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- GV Kiosk (Outbound) - Structures Works, On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Public Toilets (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Public Toilets (Outbound) - Structures Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) - Substructure and Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Weigh Station - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- EUVSS & Monitoring Room - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Refuse Collection Point - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Traffic Control Office (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Traffic Control Office (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Inspection Post - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Work
- Guard Booth (Inbound/Outbound/Vehicle Detention Area) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Steel Canopies - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Fire Hydrant Tank & Pump Room - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Irrigation Pump Room - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
- Master Water Meter Room 1,2,3 - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
- Elevated Walkway (E1, E2, E3 & E4) - Structures Works, ABWF and BS Works
- Vehicular bridges 1-5 - Retaining walls, Road and Finishes Works
- External Works - CLP Cable & Power ON Transfer room
- External Works - Water Meter Room Connection
- External Works - Underground Utilities, Structures and Inspection (Inbound & Outbound Areas)
- External Works - Road Works (Inbound & Outbound Area, PTB Area)
- Landscape - Inbound Area, Outbound Area & PTB podium Area
- Testing & Commissioning (T&C) and FSD Inspection, CBI, DOG, Inbound EVA & SFH, HKPF, FSD, CBI, EVA and SFH T&C

### 11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 and SS C505 include:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
  - Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;
  - Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
  - Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
  - Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
  - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
  - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
  - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
  - Management of chemical wastes;
  - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
  - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
  - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures

## 12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 12.1 CONCLUSIONS


- 12.1.1 This is the 61<sup>st</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 31 August 2018.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results triggered the Action or Limit Levels were recorded.
- 12.1.3 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint which triggered an Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 12.1.4 For water quality monitoring, a total of thirty (30) Action Level/Limit Level exceedances, namely sixteen (16) Limit Level exceedance of turbidity and one (1) Action Level and thirteen (13) Limit Level exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded. Investigation Reports for water quality exceedances have been conducted by ET accordingly. It was concluded that all exceedances recorded at WM3x and the exceedances recorded at WM1 on 10 August 2018 were not caused by the works under the Project. However, there were 5 AL/LL exceedances recorded at WM4 concluded as partially related to the work under Contract 2 while the Contractor has promptly rectified the deficiency. Furthermore, the investigation for exceedances recorded at WM2A(a) during 22 to 31 August 2018 and recorded at WM1 on 30 August 2018 are still underway by ET and the investigation result will be presented in next Monthly EM&A Report.
- 12.1.5 In this Reporting Period, one environmental complaint was recorded related to works under contract 6. The IR revealed that some deficiencies at site exit was observed, however, the Contractor has promptly rectified the deficiencies and provided remedial action to response the complaint. Besides, no environmental summons and prosecution were received under the EM&A programme.
- 12.1.6 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, ET with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. For Contract SS C505, weekly joint site inspection was carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and main-contractor whereas IEC performed monthly site inspection. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.

### 12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 During rainy season, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- 12.2.2 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- 12.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 12.2.4 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.
- 12.2.5 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be kept to prevent mosquito breeding on site.

## **Appendix A**

### **Layout plan of the Project**




**LOCATION PLAN**  
SCALE 1 : 3000

**LEGEND:**


UNDERGROUND WORKS

PROJECT NO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	2/1/2015
SCALE	1:3000
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN
DESIGNER	CECC 土木工程發展署 Civil Engineering and Development Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS BRIDGES (HKSAR PORTION) (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
<b>AECOM</b>	
DRGNO.	60212563/PLP/001
DATE	2/1/2015
SCALE	1:3000
PROJECT NAME	PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN
DESIGNER	CECC 土木工程發展署 Civil Engineering and Development Department
CLIENT	LIANJIAN/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CROSS BRIDGES (HKSAR PORTION) (SITE FORMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURES) DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION
PROJECT LAYOUT PLAN	
<b>AECOM</b>	



## **Appendix B**

### **Organization Chart**




**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 2 - (CV/2012/08)**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 2 - CV/2012/08**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Edwin Ching	2171 3301	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
DHK	Project Director	Daniel Altier	3605 0888	2171 3299
DHK	Deputy Project Manager	Alan Kam	9016 8493	2171 3299
DHK	QSE Manager	Roger Lee	6293 8726	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Officer	Simon Wong	2171 3017	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Supervisor	Joshua Tam	9237 3074	2171 3299
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

**Legend:***CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department**AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.**DHK(Main Contractor) –Dragages Hong Kong Ltd.**SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited**AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Alan Lee	2171 3303	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Chun Wo	Project Director	Ken Ko	3758 8735	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Project Manager	William Leung	2638 6136	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Site Agent	Daniel Ho	2638 6144	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Officer	TBA	2638 6151	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental supervisor	Frankie Leung	2638 6125	2638 7077
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

*Remark: (#) The key staff for Environmental Officer has left her position on 10 July 2018 and her replacement will be included in next Reporting Month.*

**Legend:**

*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*Chun Wo (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo Construction Ltd.*


*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

Contract No. NE/2014/02 (C4)

Site Safety and Environmental Organizational Chart

As of 31 Jul 2018



**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 4 - NE/2014/02**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 4 - NE/2014/02**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Leo Lai	2171 3310	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Siemens	Project Manager	Torsetn Jaretzke	9035 2709	--
Siemens	Site Agent	Francis Leung	9027 9565	--
Siemens	Environmental Officer	Michael Leung	9844 7741	--
Siemens	Environmental Supervisors	Eric Lee	9092 3356	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*Siemens (Main Contractor) – Siemens Ltd.*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/08**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No.</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2251 0688	2251 0698
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
CCK JV	Project Director	Wang Yanhua	6190 4212	--
CCK JV	Project Manager	Raymond Mau Sai-Wai	9011 5340	--
CCK JV	Site Agent	Vincent Chan	9655 9404	--
CCK JV	Senior Safety & Environmental Manager	H.Y. Yue	9185 8186	--
CCK JV	Environmental Manager	K M Lui	51138223	--
CCK JV	Assistant Environmental Manager	Pan Fong	9436 9432	--
CCK JV	Environmental Supervisor	Connie Yuen	6316 6931	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization –NE/2014/03

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 7 – NE/2014/03**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No.</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Kelvin lee	2251 0609	2251 0698
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
KRSJV	Project Director	Wong Yu	2682 6691	2682 2783
KRSJV	Project Manager	Cheng Pong Yin	9023 4821	2682 2783
KRSJV	Site Agent	Tsang Wai Cheong, Matthew	9705 7536	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Law Chun Ning, Goosiear	9625 2381	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Supervisor	TBA	6592 3084	2682 2783
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

**Legend:**


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*KRS JV (Main Contractor) –Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



*Environmental Management Organigram*

**Environmental Management Organization for Contract SS C505**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract SS C505**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No.</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
ArchSD	Works agent for the Development Bureau (DEVB)	Mr. William Cheng	2867 3904	2804 6805
Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect/ Architect's Representative	Mr. Justin Cheung	3189 9272	2834 5442
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Leighton	Operation Manager	Mr. Antony Zervaas	2823 1433	2529 8784
Leighton	Project Director	Mr. Steven Wong	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Site Agent	Mr. Ray Ho	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Environmental Officer	Mr. Kim Lui	3973 1003	-
Leighton	Assistant Environmental Officer	Mr. Alex Liu	3973 0818	-
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

*ArchSD (Project Proponent) – Architectural Services Department*

*Ronald Lu & Partners (Architect/ Architect's Representative) – Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd*

*Leighton (Main Contractor) – Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

## **Appendix C**

### **3-month rolling construction program**

## Contract 2

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

CEDD Contract No: CV/2012/08

Main Contractor: Dragages Hong Kong Ltd



### Tentative Three Months (Aug, Sep and Oct 2018) Construction Rolling Program

Item	Construction Activites
1	Admin Bldg - Building fit out, permanent drainage and E&M installation
2	Admin Bldg - Landscaping works
3	Mid Vent Portal - Construction of internal structure for stud tunnel and adit tunnel
4	Mid-Vent Portal - Building fit out and E&M installation
5	Mid-Vent Portal - Construction of flexible barrier and permanent drainage
6	Mid-Vent Portal - Landscaping works.
7	North Portal - Construction of retaining wall, permanent drainage and slip road
8	North Portal - Tunnel backfilling, VE panel and E&M installation
9	North Portal - Construction of tunnel cross passage and internal structure
10	North Portal - Landscaping works
11	North Portal - North ventilation building superstructure, internal structure and backfilling
12	South Portal - Construction of tunnel internal structure, tunnel backfilling and E&M installation
13	South Portal - South ventilation building fit out and E&M installation
14	South Portal - Construction of flexible barrier
15	South Portal - Landscaping works.
16	South Portal - Backfilling and construction of slip road

## Contract 3



## Contract 4

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
CEDD Contract No: NE/2014/02  
Main Contractor: Siemens Ltd.



**Tentative Three Months (August, September and October 2018) Construction Rolling Program**

Item	Construction Activites
1	E&M installation at admin building
2	E&M installation at Ventilation Building
3	E&A installation at OHVD in tunnel
4	High mast erection
5	Sign fabricaiton and Installation

## Contract 6



## **Contract 7**



## **Contract SS C505**

### Tentative Three Months (August, September and October 2018) Construction Rolling Program


Item	Construction Activities
1	Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) G/F - Structure Works, Backfilling & Drainage, Under Ground Utilities, Fence Wall and On Grade Slab
2	PTB - ABWF Works & MEP Installation - Front/Back of House Area, Nonstructure Wall Erection, Curtain Wall Installation, Southern Entrance Construction
3	PTB - Major Plant Rooms ABWF Works & MEP Installation from G/F to 2/F & E&MF, Lift & Escalator Installation by NSC (Sigma), MVAC Vertical Connection, Plumbing & Drainage Connection
4	PTB - External Works incl. Building 21-24, M/F External Wall (Ewall), Roof & Upper Roof Roofing Works, Podium Coach Canopy, 21&22 (C&PC KIOSKS) & 23&24 (PC Examination Building & MSRVSS) Superstructure & ABWF Works and MEP
5	C&ED Detector Dog Base - Integrated ABWF & MEP Works G/F & R/F Works
6	HKPF Building and Observation Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
7	Fire Station and Drill Tower - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
8	Cargo Examination Building (Inbound) - External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
9	Cargo Examination Building (Outbound) - Steel Structure Works, External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
10	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Inbound) - Structures, External Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP
11	Fixed X-ray Vehicle Inspection System (FXRVIS) Buildings (Outbound) - Structures, External Works and Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
12	MXRVSS (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
13	MXRVSS (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
14	GV Kiosk (Inbound) - On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
15	GV Kiosk (Outbound) - Structures Works, On-Grade Slab Construction, Steel Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP
16	Public Toilets (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
17	Public Toilets (Outbound) - Structures Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
18	Disinsection Facilities (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
19	Disinsection Facilities (Outbound) - Substructure and Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
20	Weigh Station - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
21	EUVSS & Monitoring Room - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF & MEP Works
22	Refuse Collection Point - Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
23	Traffic Control Office (Inbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
24	Traffic Control Office (Outbound) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works
25	Inspection Post - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Work
26	Guard Booth (Inbound/Outbound/Vehicle Detention Area) - Structure Works, Integrated ABWF and MEP Works

## **Appendix D**

### **Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual**







LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HK SAR
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
- LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
- X PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATION

MONITORING STATION	CO-ORDINATES	
	EASTING	NORTHING
WMA	837668.635	845171.097
WMA-CONTROL	834185.460	845916.662
WMA	834132.193	844832.910
WMA-CONTROL	835205.329	844200.151
WMA	835184.744	843384.606
WMA-CONTROL	835945.878	843343.625
WMA	836332.622	842604.977
WMA-CONTROL	836163.419	842425.507
WMA	833840.763	838344.842
WMA-CONTROL 4	834038.937	837688.995
WMA-CONTROL 8	833769.123	837406.936

P2	REV 18	MISC	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	DEC 10	MISC	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	HC	HT



CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

PROJECT AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008(CE)  
LIANTANG/YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

TITLE LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS


Developed	HC	HT	Eng. Ck.	EC
Drawn	WJG		Commission	EC
Eng. Ck.	HC		Approved	HT
Scale at A3	Project	255278	Station	PRE
Drawing No	CAU No	255278/01/01/04/04/08/08_14/09	Rev	P2

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

This document is confidential and its use is restricted to the project for which it was prepared. It is not to be distributed outside the project without the prior written consent of the project manager. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the prior written consent of the project manager.

## **Appendix E**

### **Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring**



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
  - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
  - X Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
  - Proposed Air Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Chk'd	App'd



20/F Two Landmark East  
100 Hoo Ming Street  
Kowloon, Hong Kong  
T +852 2518 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client



**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project  
 AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)  
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS


Title  
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	EC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC	
Draw.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	255228\report\env\em&a\00831\FE_21.dgn	PRE
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

0 200 400 600 800 1000m  
 1:20 000 SCALE BM  
 © COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 2.1



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
  - WORKS AREA (TUNNELL)
  - X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
  - Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd



20F Two Landmark East  
100 Hoo Ming Street  
Kowloon, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2518 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client



**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project  
 AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008(CB)  
 LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS


Title  
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	BC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	BC	
Dep.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale of A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	3\255228\report\env\env\ca\00831\FE_3.dgn	PRB
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.

0 200 400 600 800 1000m  
 1:20 000 SCALE BM  
 © COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 3.1



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
  - X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
  - Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
  - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
  - ▲ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Station ID	Location recommended in EM&A Manual		Location found during site visit	
	Coordinates		Coordinates	
	Easting	Northing	Easting	Northing
WM1	83456.833	84577.072	83457.1	84542.1
WM1-Control	83418.480	84591.662	83418.5	84517.3
WM2A	83418.319	84412.910	83420.4	84417.3
WM2A-Control	83505.329	84420.151	83527.0	84424.5
WM2B	83543.744	84339.606	83543.5	84339.7
WM2B-Control	83545.878	84343.625	83535.1	84355.1
WM3	83623.622	84205.377	83624.0	84202.7
WM3-Control	83673.415	84242.507	83673.5	84240.0
WM4	83840.781	83834.842	83850.0	83838.0
WM4-Control A	83408.837	83768.995	83402.8	83765.0
WM4-Control B	83769.123	83740.936	83760.0	83739.5

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A-C (Original)	0835270	0844243
WM2A-Cx (Proposed)	0835377	0844188
WM3 (Original)	0836324	0842402
WM3x (Proposed)	0836206	0842270

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A (Original)	834204	844471
WM2A(a) (Proposed)	834191	844474

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd
P2	NOV 10	MING	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	OCT 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT



**Client**

**CEDD** CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

**Project**

AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

**Title**

LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	HC	Eng.Chk.	EC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC
Dwg.Chk.	HC	Approved	HT

Scale at A1: 1:20000      Project: 255228      Status: PRE

CAD file: S:\255228\REPORTS\EM&A\WQMS\LOC\_A1.dgn

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

## **Appendix F**

### **Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory**

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration: 26/7/2018
Location ID : AM1c	Next Calibration Date: 26/9/2018
	Technician: Eric

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1006.5</span>	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">754.875</span>
Temperature (°C) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">29.6</span>	Temperature (K) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">303</span>

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TISCH</span>	Qstd Slope -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">2.02017</span>
Model-> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">5025A</span>	Qstd Intercept -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">-0.03691</span>
Serial # -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1612</span>	

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	5.6	5.6	11.2	1.657	46	45.49	27.9821	-0.3045	0.9976
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.471	42	41.54			
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.295	36	35.60			
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.045	30	29.67			
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.808	22	21.76			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Date of Calibration:	9/6/2018
Location ID : AM2	Next Calibration Date:	9/8/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # -> 1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.639	50	49.35	Slope = 32.9618 Intercept = -4.4771 Corr. coeff. = 0.9996
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.451	44	43.43	
10	3.3	3.3	6.6	1.273	38	37.51	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.020	30	29.61	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	22	21.71	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road  
 Location ID : AM2

Date of Calibration: 8/8/2018  
 Next Calibration Date: 8/10/2018  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.547	50	49.34	Slope = 31.7234 Intercept = -0.8916 Corr. coeff. = 0.9940
13	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.417	44	43.42	
10	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.254	38	37.50	
7	2	2	4.0	0.995	32	31.58	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	25	24.67	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station  
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 9/6/2018  
 Next Calibration Date: 9/8/2018  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.639	50	49.35	Slope = 28.6872 Intercept = 2.7120 Corr. coeff. = 0.9990
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.468	46	45.40	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	40	39.48	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.066	34	33.56	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	26	25.66	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station	Date of Calibration:	8/8/2018
Location ID : AM3	Next Calibration Date:	8/10/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.6	5.6	11.2	1.653	50	49.34	Slope = 26.7072 Intercept = 5.3642 Corr. coeff. = 0.9963
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.467	46	45.39	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	40	39.47	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.019	32	31.58	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	28	27.63	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	9/6/2018
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	9/8/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.639	52	51.32	Slope = 31.4046 Intercept = -1.8086 Corr. coeff. = 0.9932
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.532	46	45.40	
10	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.365	40	39.48	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.043	32	31.58	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	24	23.69	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	8/8/2018
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	8/10/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.638	54	53.28	Slope = 31.2497 Intercept = 1.8456 Corr. coeff. = 0.9992
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.467	48	47.36	
10	3.3	3.3	6.6	1.273	42	41.44	
7	2	2	4.0	0.995	34	33.55	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.836	28	27.63	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House  
 Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 9/6/2018  
 Next Calibration Date: 9/8/2018  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.682	42	41.45	Slope = 28.4657 Intercept = -6.6993 Corr. coeff. = 0.9986
13	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.548	38	37.51	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	30	29.61	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.020	22	21.71	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.836	18	17.77	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House	Date of Calibration:	8/8/2018
Location ID : AM5a	Next Calibration Date:	8/10/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.682	44	43.42	Slope = 36.9710 Intercept = -19.0002 Corr. coeff. = 0.9965
13	4.5	4.5	9.0	1.484	35	34.54	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	30	29.60	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.043	21	20.72	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	10	9.87	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House	Date of Calibration:	9/6/2018
Location ID : AM6	Next Calibration Date:	9/8/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.4	5.4	10.8	1.624	54	53.30	Slope = 36.3047 Intercept = -5.7026 Corr. coeff. = 0.9963
13	4.2	4.2	8.4	1.434	46	45.40	
10	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.254	42	41.45	
7	2	2	4.0	0.995	30	29.61	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	24	23.69	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House	Date of Calibration:	8/8/2018
Location ID : AM6	Next Calibration Date:	8/10/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.609	52	51.31	Slope = 30.7268 Intercept = 0.3083 Corr. coeff. = 0.9940
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.500	46	45.39	
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.311	40	39.47	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.019	32	31.58	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	26	25.66	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village	Date of Calibration: 9/6/2018
Location ID : AM7b	Next Calibration Date: 9/8/2018
	Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope -> 2.02017
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept -> -0.03691
Serial # -> 1612	

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.639	56	55.27	Slope = 37.5797 Intercept = -5.9717 Corr. coeff. = 0.9989
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.451	50	49.35	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	43	42.44	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.043	33	32.57	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	25	24.68	

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village	Date of Calibration: 8/8/2018
Location ID : AM7b	Next Calibration Date: 8/10/2018
	Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->
Model-> 5025A	2.02017
Serial # -> 1612	Qstd Intercept ->
	-0.03691

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.4	5.4	10.8	1.623	54	53.28	Slope = 37.7511 Intercept = -8.6603 Corr. coeff. = 0.9982
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.500	48	47.36	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	40	39.47	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.043	32	31.58	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.864	24	23.68	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4  
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 9/6/2018  
 Next Calibration Date: 9/8/2018  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.7	5.7	11.4	1.668	58	57.25	Slope = 41.4013 Intercept = -11.6316 Corr. coeff. = 0.9960
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.500	50	49.35	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.292	44	43.43	
7	2.1	2.1	4.2	1.020	32	31.58	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.836	22	21.71	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4  
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 8/8/2018  
 Next Calibration Date: 8/10/2018  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION Slope = 43.9506 Intercept = -17.6019 Corr. coeff. = 0.9952
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.724	58	57.23	
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.532	50	49.34	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.329	44	43.42	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.088	30	29.60	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.864	20	19.73	

**Calculations :**

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H_2O(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(P_a/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Date of Calibration:	9/6/2018
Location ID : AM9b	Next Calibration Date:	9/8/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	999.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	749.325
Temperature (°C)	28.6	Temperature (K)	302

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # -> 1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.5	5.5	11.0	1.639	53	52.31	Slope = 36.1791 Intercept = -6.2482 Corr. coeff. = 0.9961
13	4.2	4.2	8.4	1.434	46	45.40	
10	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.254	41	40.47	
7	2	2	4.0	0.995	31	30.60	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.806	22	21.71	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Date of Calibration:	8/8/2018
Location ID : AM9b	Next Calibration Date:	8/10/2018
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1004.2	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	753.15
Temperature (°C)	30.3	Temperature (K)	303

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.02017
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03691
Serial # -> 1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.682	55	54.27	Slope = 36.6903 Intercept = -8.6996 Corr. coeff. = 0.9952
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.516	46	45.39	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.329	40	39.47	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.066	32	31.58	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.864	23	22.70	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



# Certificate of Calibration

Calibration Certification Information			
Cal. Date: February 13, 2018	Rootsmeter S/N: 438320	Ta: 293	°K
Operator: Jim Tisch		Pa: 763.3	mm Hg
Calibration Model #: TE-5025A	Calibrator S/N: <b>1612</b>		

Run	Vol. Init (m3)	Vol. Final (m3)	ΔVol. (m3)	ΔTime (min)	ΔP (mm Hg)	ΔH (in H2O)
1	1	2	1	1.3970	3.2	2.00
2	3	4	1	1.0000	6.3	4.00
3	5	6	1	0.8900	7.9	5.00
4	7	8	1	0.8440	8.7	5.50
5	9	10	1	0.7010	12.6	8.00

Data Tabulation					
Vstd (m3)	Qstd (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis)	Va	Qa (x-axis)	$\sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)}$ (y-axis)
1.0172	0.7281	1.4293	0.9958	0.7128	0.8762
1.0130	1.0130	2.0213	0.9917	0.9917	1.2392
1.0109	1.1358	2.2599	0.9896	1.1120	1.3854
1.0098	1.1964	2.3702	0.9886	1.1713	1.4530
1.0046	1.4331	2.8586	0.9835	1.4030	1.7524
<b>QSTD</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>2.02017</b>	<b>QA</b>	<b>m=</b>	<b>1.26500</b>
	<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.03691</b>		<b>b=</b>	<b>-0.02263</b>
	<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99988</b>		<b>r=</b>	<b>0.99988</b>

Calculations			
<b>Vstd=</b>	$\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)$	<b>Va=</b>	$\Delta Vol \left( \frac{Pa - \Delta P}{Pa} \right)$
<b>Qstd=</b>	$Vstd / \Delta Time$	<b>Qa=</b>	$Va / \Delta Time$
<b>For subsequent flow rate calculations:</b>			
<b>Qstd=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left( \frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$	<b>Qa=</b>	$1/m \left( \left( \sqrt{\Delta H \left( \frac{Ta}{Pa} \right)} \right) - b \right)$

Standard Conditions	
Tstd:	298.15 °K
Pstd:	760 mm Hg
Key	
ΔH: calibrator manometer reading (in H2O)	
ΔP: rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg)	
Ta: actual absolute temperature (°K)	
Pa: actual barometric pressure (mm Hg)	
b: intercept	
m: slope	

RECALIBRATION
US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 2X6145  
 Equipment Ref: EQ105  
 Job Order HK1815073

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 1 December 2017

### Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 5 January 2018

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	10:27 ~ 12:34	19.3	1015.3	0.011	511	4.0
2hr01min	12:38 ~ 14:39	19.3	1015.3	0.012	598	4.9
2hr08min	14:42 ~ 16:50	19.3	1015.3	0.036	2111	16.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 583 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 583 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9981  
 Date of Issue 9 January 2018



### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 1-Dec-17
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 1-Mar-18

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.8	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.1
Temperature (°C)	21.2	Temperature (K)	294

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Calibration Date->	28-Feb-17	Expiry Date->	28-Feb-18

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.703	54	54.49	Slope = 31.2239 Intercept = 0.7901 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971
13	5	5	10.0	1.518	48	48.44	
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.342	42	42.38	
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.056	32	32.29	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.686	23	23.21	

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366409  
 Equipment Ref: EQ109  
 Job Order HK1815078

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 1 December 2017

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 5 January 2018

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	10:27 ~ 12:34	19.3	1015.3	0.011	474	3.7
2hr01min	12:38 ~ 14:39	19.3	1015.3	0.012	577	4.8
2hr08min	14:42 ~ 16:50	19.3	1015.3	0.036	2097	16.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 520 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 521 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9967  
 Date of Issue 9 January 2018



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366410  
 Equipment Ref: EQ110  
 Job Order HK1815072

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 1 December 2017

### Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 5 January 2018

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	10:27 ~ 12:34	19.3	1015.3	0.011	498	3.9
2hr01min	12:38 ~ 14:39	19.3	1015.3	0.012	571	4.7
2hr08min	14:42 ~ 16:50	19.3	1015.3	0.036	2095	16.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 670 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 669 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X


Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9977

Date of Issue 9 January 2018

### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 1-Dec-17
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 1-Mar-18

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.8	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.1
Temperature (°C)	21.2	Temperature (K)	294

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Calibration Date->	28-Feb-17	Expiry Date->	28-Feb-18

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.703	54	54.49	Slope = 31.2239 Intercept = 0.7901 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971		
13	5	5	10.0	1.518	48	48.44			
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.342	42	42.38			
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.056	32	32.29			
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.686	23	23.21			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 1-Dec-17
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 1-Mar-18

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.8	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.1
Temperature (°C)	21.2	Temperature (K)	294

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Calibration Date->	28-Feb-17	Expiry Date->	28-Feb-18

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.703	54	54.49	Slope = 31.2239 Intercept = 0.7901 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971
13	5	5	10.0	1.518	48	48.44	
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.342	42	42.38	
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.056	32	32.29	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.686	23	23.21	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6503  
 Equipment Ref: EQ112  
 Job Order HK1815077

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 1 December 2017

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 5 January 2018

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	10:27 ~ 12:34	19.3	1015.3	0.011	521	4.1
2hr01min	12:38 ~ 14:39	19.3	1015.3	0.012	674	5.6
2hr08min	14:42 ~ 16:50	19.3	1015.3	0.036	2077	16.3

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 661 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 661 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9976

Date of Issue 9 January 2018



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 1-Dec-17
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 1-Mar-18

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.8	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.1
Temperature (°C)	21.2	Temperature (K)	294

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Calibration Date->	28-Feb-17	Expiry Date->	28-Feb-18

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.703	54	54.49	31.2239	0.7901	0.9971
13	5	5	10.0	1.518	48	48.44			
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.342	42	42.38			
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.056	32	32.29			
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.686	23	23.21			

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 3Y6505  
Equipment Ref: EQ114  
Job Order HK1815074

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 1 December 2017

### Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 5 January 2018

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr07min	10:27 ~ 12:34	19.3	1015.3	0.011	677	5.3
2hr01min	12:38 ~ 14:39	19.3	1015.3	0.012	601	5.0
2hr08min	14:42 ~ 16:50	19.3	1015.3	0.036	2064	16.2

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 591 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 590 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9991

Date of Issue 9 January 2018

### Remarks:

1. **Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
2. Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 9 January 2018

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 1-Dec-17
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 1-Mar-18

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1018.8	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	764.1
Temperature (°C)	21.2	Temperature (K)	294

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Calibration Date->	28-Feb-17	Expiry Date->	28-Feb-18

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.703	54	54.49	Slope = 31.2239 Intercept = 0.7901 Corr. coeff. = 0.9971
13	5	5	10.0	1.518	48	48.44	
10	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.342	42	42.38	
8	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.056	32	32.29	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.686	23	23.21	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure





輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183441

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 13 June 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ008)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285690  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 23 June 2018

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果


The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA


Tested By

測試

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Certified By

核證

  
H C Chan  
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

29 June 2018

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183441

證書編號

1. The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
2. Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
3. The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C180024
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.2

- 6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.1	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.1 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.1
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183441

證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.1	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.2	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.8	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	68.0	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.4	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.1	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.1	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	93.0	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.9	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183441

證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.2	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.1	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.1	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	88.0	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)		
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1		110.0	100	99.9	± 0.5
			60 sec.					90	89.7	± 0.5
			5 min.					80	79.7	± 1.0
								70	69.7	± 1.0

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812705

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level	: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086  
證書編號

**ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目** ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 29 May 2018  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ009)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285722  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(50 \pm 25)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check


**DATE OF TEST / 測試日期** : 10 June 2018


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : K C Lee  
Engineer

Certified By :   
核證 : H C Chan  
Engineer

Date of Issue : 11 June 2018  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.

4. Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C180024
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

5. Test procedure : MA101N.

6. Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.1

6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	104.9	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>	S	Continuous		106.0	Ref.	
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>		500 ms		102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0	

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.5	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.8	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.8	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
12.5 kHz	89.7	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)					

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183086

證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	90.9	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	90.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.7	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)		
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	99.9	± 0.5
								90	90.0	± 0.5
								80	79.0	± 1.0
								70	69.1	± 1.0
			60 sec.			1/10 <sup>2</sup>				
			5 min.			1/10 <sup>3</sup>				
						1/10 <sup>4</sup>				

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2658547

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
	104 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	114 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	Burst equivalent level	: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C182472

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 26 April 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ067)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NL-31

Serial No. / 編號 : 00410221

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting

Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,

35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 12 May 2018

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By


測試



H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By

核證



K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

15 May 2018

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 1 of 4

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C182472  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C180024
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

### 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

#### 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

##### 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	92.9	± 1.1

##### 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

##### 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.1

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C182472  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow				

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.3	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.1	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	90.0	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Mode	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 120	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.3	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	88.1	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C182472  
證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-53A & S/N : 319734

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz :  $\pm 0.35$  dB  
250 Hz - 500 Hz :  $\pm 0.30$  dB  
1 kHz :  $\pm 0.20$  dB  
2 kHz - 4 kHz :  $\pm 0.35$  dB  
8 kHz :  $\pm 0.45$  dB  
12.5 kHz :  $\pm 0.70$  dB  
104 dB : 1 kHz :  $\pm 0.10$  dB (Ref. 94 dB)  
114 dB : 1 kHz :  $\pm 0.10$  dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183260

證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 12 June 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ083)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NC-74

Serial No. / 編號 : 34246492

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 18 June 2018

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.


The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA


Tested By

測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By

核證

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue

簽發日期

20 June 2018

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183260

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C173864
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C181288

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

- 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.3	± 0.2

- 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.001	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183261  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC18-0867 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 12 June 2018

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ086)

Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion

Model No. / 型號 : NC-74

Serial No. / 編號 : 34657230

Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 18 June 2018

### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.

The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.

The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :

測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :

核證

  
K C Lee  
Engineer

Date of Issue :

簽發日期

20 June 2018

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C183261

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C173864
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C181288

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.1	± 0.3	± 0.2

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.002	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 — 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Page 2 of 2



## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1831632
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	25-May-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	31-May-2018

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature
Equipment Type:	Dissolved Oxygen Meter
Brand Name:	YSI
Model No.:	550A
Serial No.:	16A104433
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	30 May, 2018

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



**WORK ORDER:** HK1831632  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 31-May-2018  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

**Equipment Type:** Dissolved Oxygen Meter  
**Brand Name:** YSI  
**Model No.:** 550A  
**Serial No.:** 16A104433  
**Equipment No.:** --  
**Date of Calibration:** 30 May, 2018                      **Date of Next Calibration:** 30 August, 2018

**PARAMETERS:**  
 Dissolved Oxygen    Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500-O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
2.42	2.51	+0.09
4.93	4.87	-0.06
7.54	7.42	-0.12
Tolerance Limit (mg/L)		±0.20

**Temperature**                      Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
10.0	10.3	+0.3
20.5	21.1	+0.6
39.0	38.5	-0.5
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

**Remark:** "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

---

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
 Assistant Manager - Inorganic



## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1831630
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	25-May-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	31-May-2018

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	pH Value and Temperature
Equipment Type:	pH meter
Brand Name:	AZ
Model No.:	8685
Serial No.:	1141943
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	30 May, 2018

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



**WORK ORDER:** HK1831630  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 31-May-2018  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

**Equipment Type:** pH meter  
**Brand Name:** AZ  
**Model No.:** 8685  
**Serial No.:** 1141943  
**Equipment No.:** --  
**Date of Calibration:** 30 May, 2018                      **Date of Next Calibration:** 30 August, 2018

**PARAMETERS:**

pH Value                      Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH unit)	Displayed Reading (pH unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.2	+0.20
7.0	6.9	-0.10
10.0	9.8	-0.20
<b>Tolerance Limit (pH unit)</b>		<b>±0.20</b>

Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
11.0	11.8	+0.8
21.0	22.2	+1.2
38.5	37.9	-0.6
<b>Tolerance Limit (°C)</b>		<b>±2.0</b>

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

---

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
 Assistant Manager - Inorganic



## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1831623
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	25-May-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	01-Jun-2018

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test:	Turbidity
Equipment Type:	Turbidimeter
Brand Name:	Hach
Model No.:	2100Q
Serial No.:	12060C18266
Equipment No.:	--
Date of Calibration:	30 May, 2018

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
Assistant Manager - Inorganic

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK1831623  
SUB-BATCH: 0  
DATE OF ISSUE: 01-Jun-2018  
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Turbidimeter  
Brand Name: Hach  
Model No.: 2100Q  
Serial No.: 12060C18266  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 30 May, 2018      Date of Next Calibration: 30 August, 2018

PARAMETERS:  
Turbidity      Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.14	--
4	4.37	+9.3
40	43.0	+7.5
80	86.8	+8.5
400	434	+8.5
800	863	+7.9
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Ms. Lin Wai Yu  
Assistant Manager - Inorganic



## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION

CONTACT:	MR BEN TAM	WORK ORDER:	HK1846347
CLIENT:	ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING		
ADDRESS:	RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, Kwai Chung, N.T., HONG KONG.	SUB-BATCH:	0
		LABORATORY:	HONG KONG
		DATE RECEIVED:	27-Aug-2018
		DATE OF ISSUE:	04-Sep-2018

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.

**The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.**

**The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principle as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.**

Scope of Test:	<b>Turbidity</b>
Equipment Type:	Turbidimeter
Brand Name:	Hach
Model No.:	2100Q
Serial No.:	11030C008499
Equipment No.:	—
Date of Calibration:	30 August, 2018

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.

Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganic

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK/CALIBRATION



WORK ORDER: HK1846347  
SUB-BATCH: 0  
DATE OF ISSUE: 04-Sep-2018  
CLIENT: ACTION UNITED ENVIRONMENT SERVICES AND CONSULTING

Equipment Type: Turbidimeter  
Brand Name: Hach  
Model No.: 2100Q  
Serial No.: 11030C008499  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 30 August, 2018      Date of Next Calibration: 30 November, 2018

PARAMETERS:  
Turbidity      Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.17	--
4	--	N/A
40	41.10	+2.8
80	84.8	+6.0
400	383	-4.3
800	790	-1.3
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganic



Hong Kong Accreditation Service  
香港認可處

**Certificate of Accreditation**  
認可證書

*This is to certify that*  
特此證明

**ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED**

11/F., Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong  
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

*has been accepted by the HKAS Executive, on the recommendation of the Accreditation Advisory Board, as a*  
為香港認可處執行機關根據認可諮詢委員會建議而接受的

**HOKLAS Accredited Laboratory**  
「香港實驗所認可計劃」認可實驗所

*This laboratory meets the requirements of ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 – General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories and it has been accredited for performing specific tests or calibrations as listed in the HOKLAS Directory of Accredited Laboratories within the test category of*  
此實驗所符合ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 –《測試及校正實驗所能力的通用規定》所訂的要求，獲認可進行載於香港實驗所認可計劃《認可實驗所名冊》內下述測試類別中的指定  
測試或校正工作

**Environmental Testing**  
環境測試

*This laboratory is accredited in accordance with the recognised International Standard ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005.*  
本實驗所乃根據公認的國際標準 ISO / IEC 17025 : 2005 獲得認可。


*This accreditation demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and the operation of a laboratory quality management system (see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).*  
這項認可資格演示在指定範疇所需的技術能力及實驗所質量管理體系的運作  
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

*The common seal of the Hong Kong Accreditation Service is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive*  
香港認可處根據認可處執行機關的權限在此蓋上通用印章

CHAN Sing Sing, Terence, Executive Administrator  
執行幹事 陳成城  
Issue Date : 5 May 2009  
簽發日期：二零零九年五月五日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 066**  
註冊號碼：

Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995  
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日



## **Appendix G**

### **Event and Action Plan**

### Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not
	and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

**Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise**

Event		ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.	
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	

### Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT	ACTION CONTRACTOR			
	ET	IEC	ER	
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 2 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures;</li> <li>5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures;</li> <li>7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.</li> </ol>

## **Appendix H**

### **Impact Monitoring Schedule**

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period – August 2018**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Wed	1-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	2-Aug-18				
Fri	3-Aug-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	4-Aug-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Sun	5-Aug-18				
Mon	6-Aug-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	7-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Wed	8-Aug-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	9-Aug-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Fri	10-Aug-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	11-Aug-18				
Sun	12-Aug-18				
Mon	13-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	14-Aug-18				
Wed	15-Aug-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	16-Aug-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Fri	17-Aug-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	18-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b			
Sun	19-Aug-18				
Mon	20-Aug-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	21-Aug-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Wed	22-Aug-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	23-Aug-18				
Fri	24-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	25-Aug-18				
Sun	26-Aug-18				
Mon	27-Aug-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		
Tue	28-Aug-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	29-Aug-18				
Thu	30-Aug-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	31-Aug-18				

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period – September 2018**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
Sat	1-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	2-Sep-18				
Mon	3-Sep-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	4-Sep-18				
Wed	5-Sep-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	6-Sep-18				
Fri	7-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	8-Sep-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
Sun	9-Sep-18				
Mon	10-Sep-18				
Tue	11-Sep-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Wed	12-Sep-18				
Thu	13-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	14-Sep-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Sat	15-Sep-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	16-Sep-18				
Mon	17-Sep-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	18-Sep-18				
Wed	19-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Thu	20-Sep-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Fri	21-Sep-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sat	22-Sep-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b			
Sun	23-Sep-18				
Mon	24-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Tue	25-Sep-18				
Wed	26-Sep-18	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
Thu	27-Sep-18				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Fri	28-Sep-18	AM1c, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
Sat	29-Sep-18		AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
Sun	30-Sep-18				

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

## **Appendix I**

### **Database of Monitoring Result**

**24-hour TSP Monitoring Data**

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
<b>AM1c – Open Area, Tsung Yuen Ha Village No.63</b>															
4-Aug-18	23028	14826.52	14850.52	1440.00	25	25	25.0	29.8	1004.7	0.89	1287	2.6621	2.7087	0.0466	36
10-Aug-18	23048	14850.52	14874.52	1440.00	22	22	22.0	27.2	1001.7	0.79	1137	2.6754	2.6944	0.0190	17
16-Aug-18	22784	14874.52	14898.52	1440.00	20	20	20.0	28.4	1005.3	0.72	1035	2.6778	2.7025	0.0247	24
22-Aug-18	22806	14898.52	14922.52	1440.00	25	25	25.0	28.5	1000.1	0.89	1286	2.7347	2.7852	0.0505	39
28-Aug-18	22819	14922.52	14946.52	1440.00	22	22	22.0	26.3	1002.2	0.79	1139	2.6707	2.7023	0.0316	28
<b>AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>															
4-Aug-18	23027	10446.63	10470.54	1434.60	32	32	32.0	29.8	1004.7	1.09	1571	2.6641	2.8058	0.1417	90
10-Aug-18	23049	10470.54	10494.40	1431.60	30	30	30.0	27.2	1001.7	0.96	1381	2.6826	2.7400	0.0574	42
16-Aug-18	22783	10494.40	10518.29	1433.40	30	30	30.0	28.4	1005.5	0.96	1383	2.6908	2.7441	0.0533	39
22-Aug-18	22808	10518.29	10542.21	1435.20	32	32	32.0	28.5	1000.1	1.02	1470	2.7124	2.8284	0.1160	79
28-Aug-18	22818	10542.21	10566.17	1437.60	22	22	22.0	26.3	1002.2	0.72	1030	2.6707	2.7351	0.0644	63
<b>AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village</b>															
4-Aug-18	23026	11565.29	11589.29	1440.00	36	36	36.0	29.8	1004.7	1.15	1649	2.6690	2.7645	0.0955	58
10-Aug-18	23050	11589.29	11613.29	1440.00	40	40	40.0	27.2	1001.7	1.28	1847	2.6746	2.7065	0.0319	17
16-Aug-18	22782	11613.29	11637.30	1440.60	32	32	32.0	28.4	1005.5	0.99	1420	2.6964	2.7222	0.0258	18
22-Aug-18	22807	11637.30	11661.29	1439.40	32	32	32.0	28.5	1000.1	0.98	1414	2.7170	2.7385	0.0215	15
28-Aug-18	22817	11661.29	11685.31	1441.20	22	22	22.0	26.3	1002.2	0.62	889	2.6781	2.6921	0.0140	16
<b>AM4b - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village</b>															
3-Aug-18	23022	13565.22	13589.22	1440.00	40	40	40.0	30.1	1003.4	1.31	1893	2.6613	2.7083	0.0470	25
9-Aug-18	23054	13576.56	13600.56	1440.00	36	36	36.0	30.4	1003.3	1.08	1551	2.6693	2.7106	0.0413	27
15-Aug-18	22776	13600.56	13624.56	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.2	999.2	1.14	1644	2.6912	2.7377	0.0465	28
21-Aug-18	22804	13624.56	13648.56	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.3	1000.2	1.14	1645	2.6607	2.7533	0.0926	56
27-Aug-18	22809	13648.56	13672.56	1440.00	38	38	38.0	27	1001.3	1.15	1650	2.7167	2.7915	0.0748	45
<b>AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House</b>															
3-Aug-18	23023	12400.97	12424.70	1423.80	30	30	30.0	30.1	1003.4	1.28	1816	2.6655	2.7114	0.0459	25
9-Aug-18	23030	12424.70	12448.60	1434.00	30	30	30.0	30.4	1003.3	1.31	1884	2.6724	2.7693	0.0969	51
15-Aug-18	22777	12448.66	12472.20	1412.40	38	38	38.0	28.2	999.2	1.53	2160	2.6704	2.7157	0.0453	21
21-Aug-18	22805	12472.20	12496.21	1440.60	36	36	36.0	28.3	1000.2	1.48	2126	2.7220	2.7700	0.0480	23
27-Aug-18	22810	12496.21	12520.36	1449.00	42	42	42.0	27	1001.3	1.64	2376	2.7314	2.8109	0.0795	33
<b>AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>															

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
3-Aug-18	23024	9997.56	10021.56	1440.00	30	30	30.0	30.1	1003.4	0.97	1400	2.6667	2.7528	0.0861	61
9-Aug-18	23053	10021.56	10045.56	1440.00	30	30	30.0	30.4	1003.3	0.95	1372	2.6663	2.7467	0.0804	59
15-Aug-18	22778	10045.56	10069.56	1440.00	25	25	25.0	28.2	999.2	0.79	1143	2.6688	2.7422	0.0734	64
21-Aug-18	22803	10069.56	10093.56	1440.00	28	28	28.0	28.3	1000.2	0.89	1282	2.6650	2.7800	0.1150	90
27-Aug-18	22815	10093.56	10117.56	1440.00	28	28	28.0	27.0	1001.3	0.89	1286	2.6660	2.7812	0.1152	90
<b>AM7b - Loi Tung Village House</b>															
3-Aug-18	23035	19044.27	19068.27	1440.00	42	42	42.0	30.1	1003.4	1.26	1817	2.6690	2.7970	0.1280	70
9-Aug-18	23052	19068.27	19092.27	1440.00	36	36	36.0	30.4	1003.3	1.17	1685	2.6812	2.7418	0.0606	36
15-Aug-18	22779	19092.27	19116.27	1440.00	38	38	38.0	28.2	999.2	1.22	1762	2.6862	2.7262	0.0400	23
21-Aug-18	22774	19116.27	19140.27	1440.00	40	40	40.0	28.5	1005.3	1.28	1841	2.6759	2.7431	0.0672	36
27-Aug-18	22826	19140.27	19164.28	1440.60	32	32	32.0	28.4	1006.1	1.07	1540	2.6768	2.7346	0.0578	38
<b>AM8 - Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4</b>															
3-Aug-18	23036	12941.16	12965.16	1440.00	36	36	36.0	30.1	1003.4	1.14	1640	2.6512	2.7160	0.0648	40
9-Aug-18	23051	12965.16	12989.16	1440.00	32	32	32.0	30.4	1003.3	1.12	1611	2.6846	2.7181	0.0335	21
15-Aug-18	22780	12989.16	13013.16	1440.00	36	36	36.0	28.2	999.2	1.21	1742	2.6952	2.7167	0.0215	12
21-Aug-18	22802	13013.16	13037.16	1440.00	36	36	36.0	28.5	1005.3	1.21	1745	2.6786	2.7577	0.0791	45
27-Aug-18	22816	13037.16	13061.16	1440.00	32	32	32.0	27	1001.3	1.12	1615	2.6779	2.7217	0.0438	27
<b>AM9b - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>															
4-Aug-18	23025	20320.63	20344.63	1440.00	36	36	36.0	29.8	1004.7	1.16	1664	2.6642	2.7061	0.0419	25
10-Aug-18	22775	20344.63	20368.63	1440.00	44	44	44.0	28.4	1005.3	1.42	2052	2.6776	2.6979	0.0203	10
16-Aug-18	22787	20368.63	20392.63	1440.00	32	33	32.5	28.4	1005.5	1.11	1605	2.6863	2.7177	0.0314	20
22-Aug-18	22781	20392.63	20416.63	1440.00	26	26	26.0	28.5	1005.5	0.94	1352	2.6996	2.7549	0.0553	41
28-Aug-18	22820	20416.63	20440.64	1440.60	28	28	28.0	28.5	1006	0.99	1431	2.6487	2.6773	0.0286	20

**Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)**

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
<b>NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63</b>																					
1-Aug-18	9:25	57.5	58.9	55.7	58.2	59.3	55.8	56.0	57.6	54.0	56.4	57.9	54.4	58.2	59.5	55.8	58.8	60.5	56.5	58	NA
7-Aug-18	9:46	59.8	61.1	55.3	60.2	62.7	55.8	58.0	60.2	54.4	57.0	59.6	54.5	56.3	58.9	54.9	57.1	58.8	54.2	58	NA
13-Aug-18	9:36	58.8	59.1	55.1	61.6	61.7	56.5	60.2	60.5	56.1	58.7	59.4	57.4	59.2	60.2	56.2	60.2	60.1	55.2	60	NA
24-Aug-18	9:16	58.6	60.0	55.0	59.9	61.5	57.0	59.1	60.5	56.5	58.7	60.0	57.0	59.3	61.0	57.0	59.3	60.0	58.0	59	NA

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
30-Aug-18	9:33	55.2	57.8	48.8	57.7	61.0	49.8	56.7	59.2	50.4	54.3	57.5	46.0	54.4	57.4	46.2	57.2	59.8	48.7	56	NA
<b>NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>																					
1-Aug-18	13:17	71.1	72.7	60.3	71.5	72.5	58.8	71.2	75.0	61.2	72.4	76.0	63.3	70.0	75.1	62.4	71.0	74.9	61.3	71	74
7-Aug-18	10:32	72.3	68.0	54.1	64.2	69.8	54.9	65.2	70.9	55.1	63.5	68.0	55.8	64.5	69.0	54.8	62.5	67.5	54.8	67	70
13-Aug-18	10:21	72.6	68.3	53.2	64.8	67.5	53.2	63.5	65.6	53.2	61.8	63.8	53.7	64.8	66.9	54.5	64.4	67.8	54.6	67	70
24-Aug-18	10:14	72.1	72.5	63.5	69.3	72.5	65.0	69.5	72.0	66.5	71.8	74.0	67.0	67.9	69.0	65.5	67.9	69.5	65.0	70	73
30-Aug-18	10:28	64.9	68.0	54.9	62.9	66.6	46.1	64.5	68.0	52.8	64.3	67.5	57.3	63.5	66.9	53.4	64.7	68.3	56.4	64	67
<b>NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>																					
10-Aug-18	10:47	62.9	65.1	54.6	59.2	63.0	54.8	58.2	62.2	53.1	59.3	63.0	55.1	57.3	61.2	54.1	58.3	63.2	55.4	60	NA
16-Aug-18	10:37	61.0	64.3	52.4	55.8	52.6	51.6	56.4	57.1	51.5	58.5	59.5	51.1	56.4	58.8	51.7	55.7	57.8	51.1	58	NA
22-Aug-18	10:15	53.9	55.5	49.5	55.2	55.0	50.0	57.4	60.5	50.5	53.9	54.5	50.0	57.2	58.0	50.5	53.4	55.0	50.0	55	NA
28-Aug-18	9:54	54.8	57.0	46.5	60.9	64.0	46.0	59.1	63.5	48.5	53.9	56.5	46.0	59.1	61.5	48.0	66.2	67.5	47.5	61	NA
<b>NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>																					
10-Aug-18	11:32	66.6	67.7	52.7	68.1	70.2	54.8	63.7	64.3	53.8	64.2	65.2	53.6	67.3	68.0	53.5	65.5	66.0	52.3	66	NA
16-Aug-18	11:16	59.2	59.6	50.1	62.8	62.3	48.2	63.4	61.1	49.0	60.8	59.4	49.0	58.2	60.7	48.2	59.3	59.4	48.8	61	NA
22-Aug-18	11:00	65.5	65.5	54.5	60.6	62.0	54.0	62.2	61.0	54.0	60.0	63.0	54.0	62.8	63.5	53.5	64.2	61.0	54.0	63	NA
28-Aug-18	10:44	53.4	56.0	44.0	61.2	67.0	49.5	65.2	70.0	52.5	62.3	63.0	50.0	63.7	68.5	47.5	59.4	63.0	47.0	62	NA
<b>NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>																					
10-Aug-18	13:13	54.8	56.2	50.0	52.3	55.7	50.0	51.2	53.5	49.2	53.1	55.7	49.6	54.1	56.9	49.9	53.2	55.8	49.5	53	NA
16-Aug-18	9:49	59.0	61.5	50.5	60.4	63.0	55.0	58.2	61.0	51.5	58.9	61.0	53.0	59.5	61.5	55.0	58.9	61.5	52.5	59	NA
22-Aug-18	10:54	59.3	60.5	53.0	63.0	60.8	55.3	58.5	59.0	55.7	60.6	60.2	56.7	58.6	61.9	54.6	59.9	60.5	55.6	60	NA
28-Aug-18	13:13	58.5	59.8	53.6	57.2	58.4	53.8	62.8	59.8	51.2	63.3	61.6	52.2	56.8	59.0	53.1	59.0	62.0	50.8	60	NA
<b>NM6 - Tai Tong Wu Village House 2</b>																					
10-Aug-18	13:19	59.0	62.6	54.4	57.7	60.9	52.5	58.1	61.2	53.8	57.4	59.5	52.4	59.5	60.2	54.4	57.9	59.3	53.4	58	NA
16-Aug-18	10:48	59.2	62.0	52.5	59.8	62.0	55.5	59.0	61.5	53.5	59.7	62.5	54.0	59.2	61.5	54.0	58.1	60.5	52.0	59	NA
22-Aug-18	10:09	61.2	60.3	56.7	60.6	60.9	56.8	58.1	58.8	56.1	59.1	59.4	56.4	57.6	59.3	55.8	57.6	58.5	56.2	59	NA
28-Aug-18	10:28	57.0	59.3	51.9	58.0	59.6	53.4	57.1	59.8	52.7	60.4	62.9	52.8	58.1	60.4	52.8	57.0	59.9	52.1	58	NA
<b>NM7 - Po Kat Tsai Village</b>																					
10-Aug-18	13:59	58.9	59.1	55.1	58.2	60.7	55.8	61.4	63.7	54.7	60.3	62.2	54.8	59.5	60.3	55.9	59.7	61.3	55.9	60	NA
16-Aug-18	13:20	62.7	65.0	57.0	62.8	65.5	57.0	63.1	65.5	58.0	64.2	67.0	58.5	65.1	67.5	59.0	63.5	66.0	56.5	64	NA
22-Aug-18	13:23	72.6	77.5	44.7	74.3	77.3	63.2	73.2	76.0	64.2	73.6	75.8	67.6	73.3	76.2	66.2	72.3	74.4	66.8	73	NA
28-Aug-18	9:22	63.0	64.0	55.8	60.2	61.8	57.7	60.7	61.6	56.9	63.7	66.7	53.5	62.6	66.5	56.5	59.5	61.8	55.5	62	NA
<b>NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang</b>																					
1-Aug-18	11:11	57.7	54.2	45.9	62.1	68.1	44.9	57.1	55.7	44	59	65	48	60.4	66.9	48.5	55.5	56.9	48.2	59	NA

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
7-Aug-18	13:32	64.1	66.4	51.9	57	58.9	50.5	68.2	68.2	56.8	68.9	70.5	61.7	67	69.9	61.1	66.4	68.6	62	67	NA
13-Aug-18	13:07	57.8	58.8	54.9	62.2	60.7	54.7	58.9	61.4	54.5	61.3	63.9	54.9	57.8	59.2	54.6	62.3	64.8	54.9	60	NA
22-Aug-18	10:53	54.5	58.1	47.3	54.1	57.3	47.3	53.7	58.1	45.8	54.1	58.1	45.2	53.4	57.4	45.6	58.4	58.4	47.5	55	NA
30-Aug-18	9:16	60.6	64.3	53.1	60	63.4	53.9	59.3	63.5	53.8	58.1	58.7	54.1	61.3	66.1	54.1	59.5	63	53.9	60	NA
<b>NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village</b>																					
1-Aug-18	10:19	62.2	66.8	54.1	59.7	63.6	53.8	61.0	64.1	54.0	60.2	64.0	53.3	59.9	65.0	53.0	60.3	62.9	53.3	61	NA
7-Aug-18	10:28	55.7	57.2	53.0	60.0	61.7	54.7	58.5	59.4	54.1	57.0	59.0	52.0	57.1	58.6	53.3	59.3	60.4	52.2	58	NA
13-Aug-18	10:51	56.7	58.2	53.8	57.5	58.7	53.9	59.4	61.4	55.2	63.3	65.2	59.1	60.3	62.0	57.9	61.2	63.6	58.3	60	NA
24-Aug-18	10:11	61.4	64.1	52.6	57.9	61.8	44.7	59.2	62.2	44.8	62.8	63.5	55.9	61.4	64.8	55.6	59.7	62.0	55.9	61	NA
30-Aug-18	10:48	65.3	67.4	58.8	68.3	71.2	63.7	70.9	72.6	63.1	71.8	72.3	61.4	65.8	67.3	59.5	62.7	63.2	59.4	69	NA
<b>NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>																					
1-Aug-18	9:33	60.1	60.0	56.3	58.9	60.7	56.7	58.7	60.4	56.7	61.8	60.3	56.4	58.4	59.9	56.6	59.3	61.3	56.3	60	63
7-Aug-18	9:43	65.4	66.9	57.6	62.4	63.3	61.0	62.9	62.9	61.1	61.9	62.5	60.8	62.4	66.3	56.4	59.6	61.5	54.8	63	66
13-Aug-18	10:10	60.0	61.3	58.4	60.5	62.1	58.5	60.8	61.9	59.3	58.9	61.4	51.5	59.0	60.3	52.7	57.6	58.1	54.4	60	63
24-Aug-18	9:25	59.5	62.9	51.3	67.3	66.9	52.7	59.5	62.3	50.1	55.9	58.9	49.3	56.8	60.0	50.0	56.1	58.9	48.9	61	64
30-Aug-18	9:59	62.2	63.5	60.6	62.1	63.3	60.5	62.0	63.4	60.3	61.9	63.4	60.0	62.2	63.7	60.2	61.9	63.3	60.3	62	65

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6 and SS C505**

Date: 1-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:00	0.25	29.2	29.2	7.5	7.5	97.6	97.9	9.3	9.4	7.2	7.2	5	5.0
			29.2		7.52		98.1		9.4		7.2		5	
WM1	9:50	0.25	29.1	29.1	7.27	7.3	94.1	94.1	17.0	17.3	7.4	7.4	11	10.5
			29.1		7.26		94.0		17.6		7.4		10	

Date: 3-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:20	0.25	30	30.0	7.2	7.2	95.2	94.8	92.3	93.1	7.5	7.5	53	52.5
			30		7.18		94.3		93.8		7.5		52	
WM1	10:10	0.25	30.1	30.1	6.56	6.6	86.9	87.3	110.0	110.5	7.4	7.4	62	61.0
			30.1		6.61		87.7		111.0		7.4		60	

Date: 6-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:10	0.25	28.7	28.7	7.07	7.1	91.3	91.5	17.3	17.3	7.7	7.7	13	13.0
			28.7		7.09		91.6		17.3		7.7		13	
WM1	10:00	0.25	28.7	28.7	6.59	6.6	84.8	84.8	22.5	22.6	7.5	7.5	18	17.0
			28.7		6.58		84.8		22.7		7.5		16	

Date: 8-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:13	0.25	28.6	28.6	6.95	7.0	89.3	89.7	14.8	14.7	7.3	7.3	13	12.5
			28.6		6.99		90.0		14.6		7.3		12	
WM1	10:05	0.25	28.6	28.6	6.68	6.7	86.2	86.4	21.1	21.0	7.3	7.3	15	15.5
			28.6		6.7		86.5		20.8		7.3		16	

Date: 10-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:10	0.30	28.4	28.4	7.32	7.3	93.9	94.2	55.4	56.8	7.1	7.1	44	45.5
			28.4		7.34		94.4		58.2		7.1		47	
WM1	11:00	0.30	28	28.0	7.26	7.3	92.8	92.9	81.8	80.9	7.3	7.3	69	68.0
			28		7.27		92.9		80.0		7.3		67	

Date: 11-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.50							over range	overrange			1740	1740.0
													1740	
WM1	9:40	1.20							over range	overrange			1110	1110.0
												1110		

Date: 13-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:45	0.30	27	27.0	7.54	7.5	94.7	94.7	25.8	25.0	6.9	6.9	16	16.5
			27		7.52		94.7		24.2		6.9		17	
WM1	9:35	0.90	27.1	27.1	7.33	7.3	92.1	92.4	27.5	27.0	7.3	7.3	25	25.5
			27.1		7.36		92.6		26.4		7.3		26	

Date: 15-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:00	0.50	27.7	27.7	6.86	6.9	86.9	87.1	over range	overrange	7.2	7.2	528	547.0
			27.7		6.89		87.3		over range		7.2		566	
WM1	9:50	1.10	27.8	27.8	6.78	6.8	85.9	86.0	over range	overrange	7.3	7.3	641	635.5
			27.8		6.78		86.0		over range		7.3		630	

Date: 17-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:45	0.25	27	27.0	7.54	7.5	94.5	94.6	17.0	17.1	7.1	7.1	5	5.0
			27		7.53		94.7		17.2		7.1		5	
WM1	10:35	0.25	26.9	26.9	8.07	8.0	101.1	100.6	26.0	26.0	7.4	7.4	14	14.0
			26.9		8.02		100.1		26.0		7.4		14	

Date: 20-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:55	0.25	27	27.0	7.59	7.6	94.9	95.3	23.1	22.3	7	7.0	20	20.0
			27		7.63		95.7		21.5		7		20	
WM1	10:50	0.20	26.9	26.9	7.81	7.8	97.8	97.9	43.9	44.1	7.1	7.1	46	45.0
			26.9		7.83		98.0		44.2		7.1		44	

Date: 22-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:40	0.25	28.2	28.2	8.17	8.2	104.4	104.6	17.6	17.6	7.1	7.1	11	11.0
			28.2		8.17		104.7		17.6		7.1		11	
WM1	10:30	0.20	28	28.0	7.74	7.7	98.4	98.5	25.4	25.5	7.1	7.1	24	23.5
			28		7.75		98.6		25.5		7.1		23	

Date: 24-Aug-18														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:20	0.28	27	27.0	7.76	7.8	97.3	97.6	25.5	26.0	7.1	7.1	18	18.0
			27		7.79		97.8		26.4		7.1		18	
WM1	10:10	0.25	27	27.0	7.85	7.9	98.4	98.5	27.8	28.0	7.1	7.1	22	22.0
			27		7.85		98.6		28.1		7.1		22	

Date: 28-Aug-18													
-----------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.61) – August 2018



Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:30	0.30	27.6	27.6	7.86	7.9	99.6	99.7	20.6	20.3	7.1	7.1	9	9.0
			27.6		7.87		99.7		19.9		7.1		9	
WM1	10:25	0.25	27.7	27.5	7.35	7.4	93.0	93.5	19.9	19.9	7.2	7.2	13	13.0
			27.2		7.39		94.0		19.9		7.2		13	

Date		30-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM1-C	10:30	0.35	25.8	25.8	7.22	7.2	88.5	88.9	371.0	369.5	6.7	6.7	318	319.0	
			25.8		7.26		89.2		368.0		6.7		320		
WM1	10:20	1.50	26	26.0	7.11	7.1	87.5	87.8	overange	overange	6.9	6.9	629	626.5	
			26		7.13		88.0		overange		6.9		624		

Date		31-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM1-C	11:10	0.35							939.0	931.5			530	530.0	
									924.0		530				
WM1	11:00	1.00							901.0	911.0			626	626.0	
									921.0		626				

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	Action Level
	Limit Level

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 3**

Date	1-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:25	0.14	28.4	28.4	7.55	7.6	97.2	97.5	3.9	3.8	7.3	7.3	<2	<2
			28.4		7.58		97.7		3.8		7.3			
WM4-CB	11:35	0.22	29.9	29.9	5.7	5.7	75.2	75.5	13.7	13.4	7	7.0	17	17.0
			29.9		5.7		75.8		13.1		7		17	
WM4	11:15	0.20	29.4	29.4	6.62	6.6	86.4	86.7	16.7	16.8	7.2	7.2	15	14.5
			29.4		6.65		87.0		16.9		7.2		14	

Date	3-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:40	0.14	28.8	28.8	7.61	7.6	98.4	98.8	7.2	7.2	7.6	7.6	2	2.5
			28.8		7.65		99.1		7.2		7.6		3	
WM4-CB	11:55	0.25	30.7	30.7	5.33	5.3	71.4	71.3	13.9	13.2	7.4	7.4	12	12.0
			30.7		5.32		71.2		12.5		7.4		12	
WM4	11:35	0.20	29.6	29.6	6.28	6.3	82.5	82.5	21.3	21.2	7.5	7.5	13	13.5
			29.6		6.26		82.4		21.1		7.5		14	

Date	6-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.14	29	29.0	7.56	7.6	97.9	98.1	5.6	5.5	7.4	7.4	3	3.5
			29		7.55		98.2		5.3		7.4		4	
WM4-CB	11:37	0.22	30.7	30.7	5.65	5.7	75.4	75.9	13.9	13.8	7.2	7.2	17	17.5
			30.7		5.71		76.3		13.7		7.2		18	
WM4	11:20	0.20	29.7	29.7	6.42	6.5	84.2	84.5	17.4	17.7	7.3	7.3	12	11.5
			29.7		6.48		84.8		18.0		7.3		11	

Date	8-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.20	29	29.0	7.51	7.5	97.6	97.9	4.2	4.0	7	7.0	3	3.5
			29		7.53		98.1		3.7		7		4	
WM4-CB	11:40	0.25	30.5	30.5	5.59	5.6	74.5	75.4	11.9	11.3	6.7	6.7	11	11.0
			30.5		5.67		76.2		10.6		6.7		11	
WM4	11:20	0.20	29.6	29.6	6.33	6.3	83.1	83.4	11.2	11.4	6.8	6.8	9	9.0
			29.6		6.36		83.6		11.6		6.8		9	

Date	10-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	14:30	0.15	28.3	28.3	7.31	7.3	93.6	93.8	9.2	9.1	7.1	7.1	8	7.5
			28.3		7.33		94.0		9.0		7.1		7	
WM4-CB	14:40	0.25	29.6	29.6	5.03	5.0	65.9	66.3	7.9	7.5	7.1	7.1	7	7.5

WM4	14:20	0.20	29.6	29.1	5.06	6.5	66.6	85.2	7.1	15.0	7.1	7.1	8	16.0
			29.1		6.52		85.0		15.3		7.1		17	
			29.1		6.54		85.4		14.7		7.1		15	

Date	13-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:20	0.20	27.4	27.4	7.57	7.6	96.0	96.1	6.2	6.4	7.4	7.4	3	3.0
			27.4		7.58		96.2		6.6		7.4		3	
WM4-CB	11:30	0.30	29.2	29.2	5.49	5.5	71.6	71.9	13.5	13.8	7.1	7.1	12	11.5
			29.2		5.52		72.2		14.0		7.1		11	
WM4	11:10	0.20	28.5	28.5	7.3	7.3	93.7	94.0	58.3	55.3	7.5	7.5	46	45.5
			28.5		7.32		94.2		52.3		7.5		45	

Date	14-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:35	0.20							7.7	7.4			3	3.0
											7.0		3	
WM4-CB	13:55	0.30							9.4	9.0			9	9.0
											8.5		9	
WM4	13:10	0.25							54.2	54.1			35	35.0
											54.0		35	

Date	15-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:00	0.20	26.6	26.6	7.65	7.7	95.4	95.5	24.5	22.9	7.3	7.3	5	5.5
			26.6		7.65		95.5		21.2		7.3		6	
WM4-CB	12:10	0.30	28.1	28.1	5.39	5.4	69.0	69.5	20.8	21.4	7.1	7.1	11	10.5
			28.1		5.43		69.9		21.9		7.1		10	
WM4	11:50	0.23	27.7	27.7	7.13	7.2	90.5	90.4	83.0	85.1	7.3	7.3	74	75.5
			27.7		7.21		90.2		87.1		7.3		77	

Date	16-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:10	0.20							7.1	6.9			5	5.0
											6.7		5	
WM4-CB	12:20	0.30							8.6	8.5			10	10.0
											8.5		10	
WM4	12:05	0.20							26.7	27.6			21	21.0
											28.4		21	

Date	17-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:25	0.18	26.1	26.1	7.83	7.8	96.8	96.9	9.9	9.4	7.3	7.3	<2	<2

			26.1		7.83		96.9		8.9		7.3		<2	
WM4-CB	12:35	0.28	27.8	27.8	5.42	5.5	68.7	69.2	21.4	22.2	7.1	7.1	11	11.0
			27.8		5.48		69.7		23.0		7.1		11	
WM4	12:15	0.20	27.1	27.1	7.14	7.2	89.7	89.9	30.7	31.4	7.3	7.3	23	23.5
			27.1		7.17		90.1		32.1		7.3		24	

Date	20-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:10	0.18	26.5	26.5	7.85	7.9	97.6	97.9	3.7	3.5	6.8	6.8	4	4.0
			26.5		7.87		98.1		3.3		6.8		4	
WM4-CB	12:20	0.25	27.9	27.9	6.48	6.5	82.6	83.0	10.5	10.2	6.8	6.8	9	9.0
			27.9		6.51		83.3		10.0		6.8		9	
WM4	12:00	0.20	27.4	27.4	7.4	7.4	93.5	93.7	29.9	29.7	6.7	6.7	27	27.0
			27.4		7.41		93.8		29.5		6.7		27	

Date	22-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:45	0.14	29.1	29.1	7.45	7.5	96.8	97.2	4.7	5.2	7.2	7.2	3	3.5
			29.1		7.48		97.6		5.7		7.2		4	
WM4-CB	12:55	0.25	30.6	30.6	5.87	5.9	78.4	78.9	13.3	13.1	7	7.0	21	21.5
			30.6		5.93		79.3		12.8		7		22	
WM4	12:40	0.20	30	30.0	7.26	7.3	95.8	96.2	12.8	12.4	6.9	6.9	14	14.0
			30		7.3		96.5		11.9		6.9		14	

Date	24-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:55	0.16	26.5	26.5	7.74	7.8	96.2	96.6	5.1	5.5	7.4	7.4	3	2.5
			26.5		7.77		96.9		5.8		7.4		2	
WM4-CB	12:05	0.30	28.4	28.4	7.35	7.4	94.5	94.9	115.0	114.5	7.1	7.1	74	74.5
			28.4		7.38		95.2		114.0		7.1		75	
WM4	11:50	0.22	28.3	28.3	7.36	7.4	94.8	94.9	35.3	34.8	7.4	7.4	28	27.5
			28.3		7.37		95.0		34.2		7.4		27	

Date	28-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:25	0.20	26	26.0	7.57	7.7	93.1	93.3	8.4	8.6	7	7.0	4	4.0
			26		7.84		93.5		8.8		7		4	
WM4-CB	12:35	0.30	27.4	27.4	6.06	6.1	76.5	76.4	18.2	18.7	6.9	6.9	12	12.5
			27.4		6.04		76.3		19.1		6.9		13	
WM4	12:15	0.22	27.1	27.1	7.17	7.2	89.9	90.1	21.4	21.3	7	7.0	16	16.0
			27.1		7.17		90.2		21.2		7		16	

Date	30-Aug-18													
------	-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.61) – August 2018



Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:10	0.22	25.1	25.1	7.94	7.9	96.3	96.4	11.6	11.1	6.9	6.9	10	9.5
			25.1		7.95		96.5		10.6		6.9		9	
WM4-CB	12:20	0.32	25.9	25.9	5.66	5.7	69.6	69.9	18.4	18.3	6.8	6.8	18	18.5
			25.9		5.68		70.1		18.1		6.8		19	
WM4	12:00	0.25	25.7	25.7	7.61	7.6	93.4	93.7	27.8	27.2	6.9	6.9	24	24.5
			25.7		7.64		94.0		26.6		6.9		25	

**Remarks:** # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	<b>Action Level</b>
	<b>Limit Level</b>

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6**

Date		1-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.23	26.5	26.5	7.45	7.5	92.0	92.2	9.6	9.6	7.30	7.3	<2	<2
			26.5		7.46		92.3		9.7		7.30			
WM2A	10:20	0.20	26.5	26.5	8.11	8.1	94.1	94.1	12.3	12.3	7.30	7.3	14	14.5
			26.5		8.1		94.0		12.2		7.30		15	

Date		3-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:40	0.23	27	27.0	6.6	6.6	82.8	83.3	152.0	156.0	7.40	7.4	77	76.5
			27		6.64		83.8		160.0		7.40		76	
WM2A	10:30	0.20	29	29.0	7.53	7.5	90.9	91.0	10.3	10.0	7.60	7.6	4	3.5
			29		7.54		91.1		9.6		7.60		3	

Date		6-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:30	0.21	26.6	26.6	6.8	6.8	84.4	84.5	10.4	10.4	7.40	7.4	4	4.0
			26.6		6.81		84.5		10.3		7.40		4	
WM2A	10:20	0.20	26.6	26.6	7.02	7.0	84.9	85.0	7.7	7.7	7.00	7.0	6	6.0
			26.6		7.03		85.1		7.6		7.00		6	

Date		8-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:35	0.22	26.7	26.7	6.88	6.9	84.9	85.9	9.4	9.5	7.30	7.3	5	4.5
			26.7		6.98		86.9		9.6		7.30		4	
WM2A	10:45	0.20	26.7	26.7	5.31	5.3	63.4	63.5	6.7	6.6	7.30	7.3	5	4.5
			26.7		5.31		63.5		6.5		7.30		4	

Date		10-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:30	0.25	27	27.0	6.89	6.9	86.1	86.7	31.1	30.9	7.10	7.1	21	20.5
			27		6.97		87.2		30.7		7.10		20	
WM2A	11:20	0.20	27.1	27.1	7.69	7.7	91.9	92.2	26.7	26.2	7.20	7.2	19	19.0
			27.1		7.71		92.4		25.7		7.20		19	

Date		13-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	

WM2A-C	10:15	0.28	25.8	25.8	7.61	7.6	93.5	93.6	7.2	7.1	6.70	6.7	6	6.0
			25.8		7.61		93.7		7.0		6.70		6	
WM2A	10:00	0.20	26.8	26.8	7.84	7.8	94.1	94.2	19.6	19.9	6.90	6.9	14	14.0
			26.8		7.84		94.2		20.2		6.90		14	

Date		15-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:25	0.25	27.7	27.7	7.89	7.9	95.9	96.1	190.0	185.5	7.10	7.1	126	122.5
			27.7		7.9		96.2		181.0		7.10		119	
WM2A	10:15	0.20	27.9	27.9	7.93	8.0	94.2	95.3	24.5	25.2	7.10	7.1	5	5.0
			27.9		8.09		96.4		25.9		7.10		5	

Date		17-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:10	0.27	25.4	25.4	7.72	7.7	94.2	94.4	12.0	12.1	7.10	7.1	4	4.5
			25.4		7.73		94.5		12.2		7.10		5	
WM2A	11:00	0.20	25.5	25.5	8.06	8.1	94.2	94.4	10.8	11.1	7.20	7.2	3	2.5
			25.5		8.08		94.6		11.3		7.20		2	

Date		20-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:20	0.22	25.7	25.7	7.77	7.8	94.6	94.9	7.7	7.7	7.10	7.1	3	3.0
			25.7		7.78		95.2		7.7		7.10		3	
WM2A	11:10	0.20	25.8	25.8	7.66	7.7	91.0	91.1	14.5	14.7	7.30	7.3	5	5.5
			25.8		7.65		91.1		14.9		7.30		6	

Date		22-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:10	0.23	26.2	26.2	7.6	7.6	93.5	93.7	10.2	9.9	7.20	7.2	4	4.5
			26.2		7.62		93.9		9.7		7.20		5	
WM2A	11:00	0.20	28.1	28.1	6.72	6.7	85.7	86.0	54.6	<b>53.9</b>	6.10	6.1	43	<b>43.0</b>
			28.1		6.76		86.3		53.2		6.10		43	

Date		23-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:30	0.28							4.6	4.8			4	4.0
							4.9				4			
WM2A	9:45	0.22							427.0	<b>428.0</b>			169	<b>169.0</b>

								429.0			169	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------	--	--	-----	--

Date		24-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:45	0.25	25.9	25.9	7.81	7.8	95.8	96.0	10.9	11.2	7.10	7.1	17	17.0
			25.9		7.83		96.2		11.5		7.10		17	
WM2A	10:30	0.22	26.5	26.5	7.49	7.5	93.1	93.3	Overange	over range	7.10	7.1	1260	1235.0
			26.5		7.52		93.5		Overange		7.10		1210	

Date		25-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:30	0.23							5.7	5.9			15	15.0
							6.2				15			
WM2A	9:15	0.20							20.6	20.7			18	18.0
							20.8				18			

Date		27-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	15:00	0.28							8.6	8.0			6	6.0
							7.4				6			
WM2A	14:45	0.22							64.4	66.6			60	60.0
							68.7				60			

Date		28-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:05	0.23	25.6	25.6	7.57	7.6	91.9	92.2	11.0	11.0	7.10	7.1	6	6.0
			25.6		7.58		92.4		11.0		7.10		6	
WM2A	10:45	0.22	26.6	26.6	6.95	7.0	86.5	87.0	63.6	64.2	7.10	7.1	51	51.5
			26.6		7		87.4		64.8		7.10		52	

Date		29-Aug-18												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:10	0.30							32.7	32.3			28	28.0
							31.9				28			
WM2A	10:00	0.25							124.0	124.5			101	101.0
							125.0				101			

Date: <b>30-Aug-18</b>														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:55	0.30	25	25.0	7.96	8.0	96.4	96.8	16.7	16.3	6.90	6.9	13	13.5
			25		8.01		97.1		15.8		6.90		14	
WM2A	10:40	0.25	25.4	25.4	7.69	7.7	93.8	94.0	343.0	<b>356.0</b>	6.90	6.9	280	<b>281.0</b>
			25.4		7.71		94.2		369.0		6.90		282	

Date: <b>31-Aug-18</b>														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	11:30	0.30							16.4	16.6			18	18.0
							16.7		18					
WM2A	11:20	0.25							301.0	<b>300.0</b>			200	<b>200.0</b>
							299.0		200					

**Remarks:** # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	<b>Action Level</b>
	<b>Limit Level</b>

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 6**

Date	1-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:40	0.15	29.3	29.3	7.25	7.3	95.1	95.4	4.8	5.4	8.5	8.5	14	13.5
			29.3		7.26		95.6		6.0		8.5		13	
WM3	10:55	0.15	28.5	28.5	6.26	6.3	80.7	81.1	4.6	4.8	8.3	8.3	<2	<2
			28.5		6.29		81.4		5.1		8.3		<2	

Date	3-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:07	0.15	29.9	29.9	6.98	7.0	92.2	92.8	31.9	31.0	6.6	6.6	70	70.5
			29.9		7.02		93.3		30.0		6.6		71	
WM3	11:15	0.15	28.8	28.8	6.42	6.4	83.0	83.1	14.6	15.0	6.9	6.9	11	10.5
			28.8		6.43		83.1		15.3		6.9		10	

Date	6-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:55	0.15	29.7	29.7	7.13	7.1	94.8	94.6	2.1	2.1	7.1	7.1	7	6.5
			29.7		7.16		94.4		2.1		7.1		6	
WM3	11:05	0.15	30.4	30.4	6.88	6.9	91.9	92.0	12.9	13.1	7.3	7.3	10	11.0
			30.4		6.9		92.0		13.2		7.3		12	

Date	8-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:55	0.15	29.7	29.7	7.13	7.1	94.1	94.4	5.3	4.8	4.5	4.5	3	2.5
			29.7		7.15		94.6		4.4		4.5		2	
WM3	11:05	0.15	28.9	28.9	6.52	6.5	84.6	84.9	3.8	3.8	6.1	6.1	4	4.5
			28.9		6.54		85.1		3.7		6.1		5	

Date	10-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	13:00	0.15	29.6	29.6	7.12	7.1	93.6	93.7	5.4	5.8	9	9.0	21	20.5
			29.6		7.13		93.8		6.2		9		20	
WM3	13:10	0.15	28.2	28.2	6.4	6.4	82.0	82.4	10.1	10.1	7.4	7.4	9	9.5
			28.2		6.45		82.8		10.0		7.4		10	

Date	13-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:35	0.15	28.7	28.7	7.09	7.1	92.0	92.2	8.0	8.0	10.3	10.3	15	15.0
			28.7		7.11		92.3		8.1		10.3		15	
WM3	10:45	0.20	27.8	27.8	6.57	6.6	83.1	83.5	76.6	<b>79.0</b>	8.3	8.3	73	<b>73.5</b>
			27.8		6.6		83.8		81.4		8.3		74	

Date	14-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:40	0.15							16.0	16.7			20	20.0
											17.3		20	
WM3	11:30	0.20							30.6	<b>31.1</b>			22	22.0
											31.5		22	

Date	15-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:40	0.18	27.8	27.8	7.21	7.2	92.3	92.2	46.3	43.9	10.2	10.2	21	22.0
			27.8		7.22		92.1		41.4		10.2		23	
WM3	10:50	0.20	27	27.0	6.33	6.3	79.3	79.7	21.4	20.5	8.3	8.3	9	9.0
			27		6.36		80.0		19.5		8.3		9	

Date	16-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:30								20.8	22.9			32	32.0
											25.0		32	
WM3	11:40								11.6	11.6			5	5.0
											11.5		5	

Date	17-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:35	0.15	28.1	28.1	7.11	7.1	91.1	91.3	25.2	24.8	10.6	10.6	26	27.0
			28.1		7.15		91.4		24.4		10.6		28	
WM3	11:45	0.20	27.2	27.2	6.47	6.5	81.2	81.4	16.3	16.0	8.5	8.5	5	5.5
			27.2		6.49		81.5		15.7		8.5		6	

Date	20-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:35	0.17	28.5	28.5	7.35	7.4	94.9	95.2	13.1	12.6	4.4	4.4	29	28.5
			28.5		7.38		95.4		12.0		4.4		28	
WM3	11:45	0.18	27.3	27.3	6.73	6.7	84.9	85.2	70.0	<b>72.1</b>	6.1	6.1	40	<b>40.5</b>
			27.3		6.76		85.5		74.1		6.1		41	

Date	21-Aug-18													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	9:30	0.14							5.5	5.4			28	28.0
											5.3		28	
WM3	9:20	0.15							6.1	5.8			4	4.0
											5.5		4	

Date														22-Aug-18	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM3-C	11:35	0.15	29.6	29.6	7	7.0	91.9	92.3	4.2	3.7	4.9	4.9	4	4.0	
			29.6		7.03		92.6		3.1		4.9		4		
WM3	11:40	0.18	28.2	28.2	6.53	6.5	83.4	83.6	8.1	7.9	5.2	5.2	8	8.0	
			28.2		6.54		83.7		7.8		5.2		8		

Date														24-Aug-18	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM3-C	11:10	0.15	28.5	28.5	7.22	7.2	93.1	93.3	8.4	7.4	9.5	9.5	19	19.5	
			28.5		7.23		93.5		6.5		9.5		20		
WM3	11:25	0.20	29.1	29.1	7.03	7.0	91.6	91.7	13.1	13.2	7.7	7.7	12	12.0	
			29.1		7.04		91.7		13.3		7.7		12		

Date														28-Aug-18	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM3-C	11:25	0.16	27.6	27.6	7.04	7.1	89.3	89.7	16.3	16.1	8.3	8.3	20	20.0	
			27.6		7.07		90.0		15.8		8.3		20		
WM3	11:35	0.20	26.7	26.7	6.46	6.5	80.6	80.7	17.2	17.3	7.7	7.7	10	10.0	
			26.7		6.47		80.8		17.4		7.7		10		

Date														30-Aug-18	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM3-C	11:20	0.20	26.4	26.4	7.42	7.4	92.3	92.0	40.2	39.6	7	7.0	51	50.5	
			26.4		7.38		91.6		38.9		7		50		
WM3	11:30	0.25	25.7	25.7	7.2	7.2	88.2	88.3	18.0	18.3	7	7.0	15	15.0	
			25.7		7.21		88.3		18.6		7		15		




Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.




	Action Level
	Limit Level




## **Appendix J**

### **Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result**




**Air Quality – 1-hour TSP**
















**Air Quality – 24-hour TSP**














**Noise**





















**Water Quality**









## **Appendix K**

### **Meteorological Data**

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Ta Kwu Ling Station			
				Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Aug-18	Wed	Mainly cloudy tonight. Moderate southwesterly winds.	2.7	29.4	8.9	79.2	S/SW
2-Aug-18	Thu	Very hot with sunny periods	6.1	29.1	6.5	79.7	S/SW
3-Aug-18	Fri	Very hot with sunny periods	Trace	29.1	6.1	82.2	S
4-Aug-18	Sat	Light to moderate east to southeasterly winds.	5	29.7	10	79	W
5-Aug-18	Sun	a few showers and thunderstorms in the afternoon.	0.5	29.4	9.1	82.5	S/SW
6-Aug-18	Mon	Very hot with sunny periods	0	30	5.5	78.2	N/NW
7-Aug-18	Tue	Very hot with sunny periods in the afternoon.	0	30.1	7.5	76.2	E/NE
8-Aug-18	Wed	Moderate easterly winds, occasionally fresh later.	0.5	29.5	7.3	76.2	E/NE
9-Aug-18	Thu	Mainly fine and very hot apart from isolated showers.	Trace	30.5	9.7	73	E/NE
10-Aug-18	Fri	Mainly cloudy with occasional squally showers and a few thunderstorms.	47.9	28.2	7.2	84.2	E/NE
11-Aug-18	Sat	Moderate to fresh east to southeasterly winds	51.9	26.8	25.5	93	E
12-Aug-18	Sun	Moderate to fresh east to southeasterly winds	18.9	26.4	8.3	94	E/NE
13-Aug-18	Mon	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals	0.1	29.2	7.6	81.7	E/NE
14-Aug-18	Tue	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals and occasional showers.	32.9	28.4	10.8	86.2	E/NE
15-Aug-18	Wed	Moderate south to southeasterly winds.	2.2	28	6.1	85.7	E/NE
16-Aug-18	Thu	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals	3.2	27.6	7.7	89.2	E/NE
17-Aug-18	Fri	Sunny intervals in the afternoon. Light winds.	36.1	27.2	4.8	86.2	E/SE
18-Aug-18	Sat	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals	21.8	28.1	14.2	84	w
19-Aug-18	Sun	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals	31.2	28.6	4.2	81.7	W/SW
20-Aug-18	Mon	Mainly cloudy with a few showers and isolated thunderstorms.	61.1	28.6	4.8	83.7	S/SW
21-Aug-18	Tue	Hot with sunny periods and relatively low visibility.	25.7	28.5	5	83	E/NE
22-Aug-18	Wed	Mainly cloudy with sunny intervals, some haze	26.4	28.5	9	83	S/SW
23-Aug-18	Thu	a few showers and isolated thunderstorms. Light winds.	24.9	28.4	5.1	85.5	S/SW
24-Aug-18	Fri	Hot with sunny periods and relatively low visibility.	0.1	28.5	3.7	79.5	N/NW
25-Aug-18	Sat	Light winds, becoming moderate southwesterlies later.	0	30.5	20.7	73	W
26-Aug-18	Sun	There will be isolated thunderstorms later.	80.2	29	5.5	73.2	S/SE
27-Aug-18	Mon	Mainly cloudy with a few showers	27.3	27.2	4.9	86.2	S/SW
28-Aug-18	Tue	Cloudy to overcast with heavy showers and squally thunderstorms.	71.6	27	7.8	88.5	E/NE
29-Aug-18	Wed	Mainly cloudy with a few showers	23.3	25.7	8.2	94	N/NW
30-Aug-18	Thu	Moderate southerly winds.	6.3	26.4	6.6	91.2	N/NW
31-Aug-18	Fri	Mainly cloudy with a few showers and isolated thunderstorms.	7.2	27.2	4.2	91	E/SE

## **Appendix L**

### **Waste Flow Table**

**APPENDIX G: MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE**

**FOR: 2018**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill*	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse#
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000 kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	86.6400	0.0000	0.0000	5.2900	81.3500	1.6570	45.0000	0.3100	2.8000	4.5760	0.6575
Feb	33.2700	0.0000	0.0000	3.6700	29.6000	1.3470	32.0000	0.2500	2.4000	1.9500	0.2850
Mar	39.7600	0.0000	0.0000	3.4600	36.3000	1.3380	36.0000	0.3050	2.7000	9.8560	0.6290
Apr	55.5979	0.0000	0.0000	3.3680	52.2299	1.2470	33.7800	0.3240	2.5000	0.0000	0.5748
May	12.9815	0.0000	0.0000	4.6780	8.3035	1.1470	30.1400	0.3040	2.6000	44.9600	0.7056
June	9.0720	0.0000	0.0000	3.1910	5.8810	1.2200	31.7800	0.2870	2.3000	0.1760	0.7534
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>237.3214</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>23.6570</b>	<b>213.6644</b>	<b>7.9560</b>	<b>208.7000</b>	<b>1.7800</b>	<b>15.3000</b>	<b>61.5180</b>	<b>3.6053</b>
July	6.0440	0.0000	0.0000	0.5840	5.4600	1.4570	30.7500	0.2750	2.1000	1.5840	0.8810
Aug	5.4100	0.0000	0.0000	0.7600	4.6500	1.3520	31.5900	0.2570	2.2000	3.0800	0.8400
Sep	0.0000										
Oct	0.0000										
Nov	0.0000										
Dec	0.0000										
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>11.4540</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>1.3440</b>	<b>10.1100</b>	<b>2.8090</b>	<b>62.3400</b>	<b>0.5320</b>	<b>4.3000</b>	<b>4.6640</b>	<b>1.7210</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>248.7754</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>0.0000</b>	<b>25.0010</b>	<b>223.7744</b>	<b>10.7650</b>	<b>271.0400</b>	<b>2.3120</b>	<b>19.6000</b>	<b>66.1820</b>	<b>5.3263</b>

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS 1.100(14)(a)
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the amount of C&D materials.
- (5) Assumption: 1m<sup>3</sup> of inert material weight 2.2 tonne    1m<sup>3</sup> of non-inert material weight 1.6 tonne    1m<sup>3</sup> of chemical waste weight 0.88 tonne

### Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	3.089	0.304	0.060	0.000	2.725	0.923	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.150
Feb	2.697	0.256	0.150	0.000	2.292	1.144	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.095
Mar	1.524	0.141	0.120	0.000	1.263	0.211	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.085
Apr	2.880	0.786	0.360	0.000	1.734	0.788	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.125
May	1.164	0.290	0.101	0.000	0.773	0.185	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.150
Jun	0.862	0.082	0.515	0.000	0.265	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.110
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>12.216</b>	<b>1.859</b>	<b>1.306</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>9.051</b>	<b>3.251</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.715</b>
Jul	1.520	0.261	0.476	0.000	0.783	0.039	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.135
Aug	2.372	0.478	0.613	0.000	1.281	0.193	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.095
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
<b>Total</b>	<b>16.108</b>	<b>2.598</b>	<b>2.395</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>11.115</b>	<b>3.483</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.945</b>

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill<sup>3</sup> is 2 ton/m
  2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete<sup>3</sup> is 2.5 ton/m
  3. Assume each truck of C&D waste<sup>3</sup> is 5m
  4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
  5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
  6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
  7. Assume the density of metal is <sup>3</sup>7,850 kg/m
  8. Assume the density of plastic<sup>3</sup> is 941 kg/
  9. Assume the density of paper <sup>3</sup>800 kg/

Name of Department: CEDD

Contract No.: NE/2014/02

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
2016	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2017	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jan-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Feb-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Mar-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Apr-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
May-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jun-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Jul-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Aug-18	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Sep-18											
Oct-18											
Nov-18											
Dec-18											
Total	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract*										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
0.500	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.500	0.200	0.000	0.000	0.200

Notes :

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.84(14).
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Sites.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging materials.
- (4) Estimate 6m<sup>3</sup> capacity per dump truck

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	4.152	0	0.629	1.947	1.576	0	0	0.240	0	0	0.892
Feb	2.740	0	0.867	0.544	1.329	0	0	0.402	0	0	0.578
Mar	3.269	0	1.581	0.969	0.719	0	0	0.380	0	0	0.725
Apr	2.901	0	0.255	1.955	0.691	0	0	0.360	0	0	0.921
May	3.194	0	0.068	1.964	1.162	0	0	0.384	0	0	1.340
Jun	2.206	0	0	0.9775	1.228	0	0	0.270	0	0	0.714
Sub-total	18.462	0.000	3.400	8.357	6.705	0.000	0.000	2.036	0.000	0.000	5.170
Jul	1.512	0	0	0.816	0.696	0	0	1.608	0	0	0.846
Aug	2.562	0	0	1.989	0.573	0	0	0.360	0	0	0.866
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	1020.929	0.000	166.627	281.805	572.499	53.939	0.000	10.383	0.007	34.045	15.633

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
  - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
  - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

**MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE**Name of Department:           CEDD          Contract Title: Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point  
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 7Contract No.:           NE/2014/03          **Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018 (year)**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Non-Inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastic (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	0.015	0	0	0	0.015	0	14.5	0.5	0.001	0	0.15
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0.18	0.001	0	0.13
Mar	0.005	0	0	0	0.005	0	6	0.15	0.001	0	0.2
Apr	1.1	0	0	0	1.1	0	6.6	0.22	0.001	0	0.3
May	0.077	0	0	0	0.077	0	1.3	0.15	0.001	0	0.1
June	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.4	0.001	0	0.05
Sub-total	1.197	0	0	0	1.197	0	43.4	1.6	0.006	0	0.93
July	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0	2.5	0.1	0.001	0	0.2
Aug	0.047	0	0	0	0.047	0	5.8	0.1	0.001	0	0.1
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	1.744	0	0	0	1.744	0	51.7	1.8	0.008	0	1.230

Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.  
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Contract No. / Works Order No.: - SSC505**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2018** [year] [to be submitted not later than the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month following reporting month]

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places.)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly				
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	5.298	0.646	0.160	0.000	4.492
Feb	7.243	0.572	0.320	0.000	6.351
Mar	11.241	0.831	0.225	0.000	10.186
Apr	3.717	1.458	0.257	0.000	2.002
May	5.346	0.788	0.300	0.000	4.258
Jun	6.828	0.661	0.376	0.000	5.792
Sub-total	39.672	4.956	1.638	0.000	33.079
Jul	11.637	0.051	0.282	0.000	11.304
Aug	16.440	0.142	0.263	0.000	16.036
Sep					
Oct					
Nov					
Dec					
<b>Total</b>	<b>67.749</b>	<b>5.149</b>	<b>2.183</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>60.418</b>

Month	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly												
	Timber		Metals		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Plastics (see Note 3)		Chemical Waste		Other Recyclable Materials (see Page 3)		General Refuse disposed of at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan	0.000	0.000	375.870	375.870	0.220	0.220	0.032	0.032	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1.918
Feb	0.000	0.000	720.120	720.120	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.223
Mar	0.000	0.000	220.860	220.860	0.830	0.830	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.005	2.711
Apr	0.000	0.000	202.130	202.130	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.470
May	0.000	0.000	294.330	294.330	0.000	0.000	0.042	0.042	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.490
Jun	0.000	0.000	242.170	242.170	0.990	0.990	0.000	0.000	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.997
Sub-total	0.000	0.000	2,055.480	2,055.480	2.040	2.040	0.079	0.079	1.200	0.000	0.005	0.005	14.809
Jul	0.000	0.000	218.990	218.990	0.280	0.280	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.146
Aug	0.000	0.000	466.220	466.220	0.230	0.230	0.000	0.000	1.200	0.000	0.000	0.000	3.114
Sep													
Oct													
Nov													
Dec													
Total	0.000	0.000	2,740.690	2,740.690	2.550	2.550	0.079	0.079	2.400	0.000	0.005	0.005	21.069

**Appendix M**

**Implementation Schedule for  
Environmental Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b>Air Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
3.6.1.1	2.1	<p><b>General Dust Control Measures</b></p> <p>The following dust suppression measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities</li> <li>■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets</li> <li>■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr</li> <li>■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.6.1.2	2.1	<p><b>Best Practice for Dust Control</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good site management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust.</li> <li>■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission.</li> <li>■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly.</li> <li>■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions.</li> <li>■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning.</li> </ul> <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies.</li> </ul> <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides.</li> <li>Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped.</li> </ul> <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards.</li> </ul> <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> </ul> <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> <li>Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.</li> </ul> <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting.</li> </ul>					
<b><u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
3.5.2.2	2.2	<p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work.</li> <li>Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission.</li> <li>Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity.</li> <li>Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs.</li> </ul>	To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP	DSD	BCP	Operation Phase	EIA recommendation
<b><u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Adoption of Quieter PME</b></p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in <b>Table 4.14</b>, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Movable Noise Barrier</b></p> <p>The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</b></p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</b></p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Good Site Practice</b></p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible;</li> <li>• Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> <li>• Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and</li> <li>• Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
<b><u>Noise Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
<u>Road Traffic Noise</u>							
Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4	3.2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section.	To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP	Contractor	Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>							
Table 4.46	3.2	Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time.	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.5.2.4	3.2	<p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced;</li> <li>Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment);</li> <li>Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable;</li> <li>Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures;</li> <li>Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building;</li> <li>Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and</li> <li>Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise.</li> </ul>	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<b>Water Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Construction site runoff and drainage</b></p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.</li> </ul>	To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>▪ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.</li> <li>▪ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> <li>▪ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94.</li> <li>▪ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</li> <li>▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> <li>▪ Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> <li>▪ Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.</li> <li>▪ Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</b></p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds	Contractor	Construction Works Sites within the water gathering	Construction Phase	ProPECC Note PN 1/94

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments.</li> <li>▪ No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site.</li> <li>▪ All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible.</li> <li>▪ Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks.</li> <li>▪ Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time.</li> <li>▪ All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected form erosion.</li> <li>▪ Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided.</li> <li>▪ Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately.</li> <li>▪ No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps.</li> <li>▪ Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of</li> </ul>			grounds		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained.</li> <li>▪ Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March,</li> <li>▪ Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.2	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices of general construction activities</b></p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation
5.6.1.3	4.1	<p><b>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</b></p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)
5.6.1.4	4.1	<p><b>Hydrogeological Impact</b></p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and WPCO
<b>Water Quality Impact (Operation)</b>							
No mitigation measure is required.							

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
6.7	5	The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector.	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
6.6.3	5	Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	BCP	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
6.5.3	5	Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	Administration Building	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u></b>							
7.6.1.1	6	<p><b>Good Site Practices</b></p> <p>Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site</li> <li>▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures</li> <li>▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste</li> <li>▪ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers</li> <li>▪ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse environmental impact	Contractor	Construction works sites (general)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road</li> <li>▪ Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&amp;D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away</li> <li>▪ Designate different locations for storage of C&amp;D material to enhance reuse</li> <li>▪ Well planned programme for transportation of C&amp;D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&amp;D material is not anticipated</li> <li>▪ Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly</li> <li>▪ Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.2	6	<p><b>Waste Reduction Measures</b></p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal</li> <li>▪ Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force</li> <li>▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials</li> <li>▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount</li> </ul>	To reduce the quantity of wastes	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes.</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.3	6	<p><b>C&amp;D Materials</b></p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&amp;D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&amp;D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&amp;D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of C&amp;D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included.</li> </ul>	To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material	Contractor	Construction Works Sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004
7.6.1.4	6	<p><b>General refuse</b></p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&amp;D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation
7.6.1.5	6	<p><b>Chemical waste</b></p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

**Appendix N**

**Investigation Report for Exceedance**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008
<b>Date</b>		30 July 2018
<b>Location</b>		WM3x
<b>Time</b>		11:15
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU) / Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day / 12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day / 12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	9.7 / 8.5
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>130.0 / 108.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level / Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the construction activities carried out at South Portal Site (upstream of WM3x) on 30 July 2018 included construction of Sha Tau Kok Interchange and road diversion. The monitoring locations and works areas are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 30 July 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was appeared to be clear. Besides, turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages. (<i>Photos 1 &amp; 2 &amp; Figure 1</i>).</li> <li>3. Upon detection of the exceedance on 30 July 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear. (<i>Photo 3</i>)</li> <li>4. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted on 26 July 2018 to audit the site environmental performance. The findings of the inspection are summarized below:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly and the effluent was clear. (<i>Photo 4</i>)</li> <li>(b) As water quality mitigation measures, the cut slopes adjacent to the river channel were cover with tarpaulin sheet. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</li> <li>(c) The construction site was general in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. In our investigation, CCKJV had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Since turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages, it is considered that the exceedances were likely external source of muddy water and not caused by the works under Contract 6.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been</li> </ol>

	increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 31 July and 1 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon \_\_\_\_\_

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 15 August 2018 \_\_\_\_\_

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water sampling on 30 July 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x. Moreover, turbid water was observed at the channel side which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 30 July 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

Inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 on 30 July 2018 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear.



**Photo 4**

During site inspection on 26 July 2018, it was observed that wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly.



**Photo 5**

The cut slopes adjacent to the river channel were cover with tarpaulin sheet.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008
<b>Date</b>		30 July 2018
<b>Location</b>		WM3x
<b>Time</b>		11:15
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU) / Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day / 12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day / 12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	WM3-C	9.7 / 8.5
	WM3x	<b>130.0 / 108.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 30 July 2018 at North Portal Site included tunnel internal work and construction of slip road, permanent drainage and ventilation building while construction of permanent drainage and fitting out and construction of car park were conducted at Admin Building Site. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring locations are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 30 July 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was appeared to be clear. Besides, turbid water was observed at the channel side of WM3x which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages. (<i>Photos 1 &amp; 2 &amp; Figure 1</i>).</li> <li>3. Joint site inspections with AECOM, IEC, DHK and ET were carried out on 27 July 2018, the observation and implementation of water quality mitigation measures are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site properly, and the water quality outside the discharge point at downstream Loi Tung Stream was visually clear. (<i>Photos 3 and 4</i>)</li> <li>(b) At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited. Besides, DHK reported that the water quality at the adjacent channel was clear on 30 July 2018. (<i>Photo 5 and 6</i>)</li> <li>(c) Muddy trails and insufficient wheel washing facilities were observed at site entrance of Mid Vent Portal Site and the deficiencies have been rectified by DHK immediately after the inspection. It is considered that the deficiencies would not affect the water quality on the days afterwards.</li> </ol> </li> <li>4. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented and well maintained the wastewater treatment facilities and no adverse water quality impact was identified at North Portal Site and Admin Building Site. For the deficiencies observed at Mid Vent Portal Site, they were rectified immediately after the site inspection. In view of the external source of muddy water observed, it is considered that the exceedances were related to other source of turbid water and not caused by the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>5. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no</li> </ol>

	<p>exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 31 July and 1 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 15 August 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water sampling on 30 July 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x. Moreover, turbid water was observed at the channel side which suspected flowing from the storm drain of Sha Tau Kok Road or adjacent villages.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 30 July 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.




**Photo 3**

During site inspection on 27 July 2018, it was observed wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site properly.



**Photo 4**

During site inspection on 27 July 2018, it was observed the water quality outside the discharge point at downstream Loi Tung Stream was clear.



**Photo 5**

At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited.



**Photo 6**

DHK reported that the water quality at the adjacent channel was clear on 30 July 2018.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008				
<b>Date</b>		13 Aug 2018	14 Aug 2018	15 Aug 2018	13 Aug 2018	15 Aug 2018
<b>Location</b>		<b>WM4</b>				
<b>Time</b>		11:10	13:10	11:50	11:10	11:50
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
<b>Action Level</b>		35.2 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			39.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Limit Level</b>		38.4 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			45.5 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM4-CA</b>	6.4	7.4	22.9	3.0	5.5
	<b>WM4-CB</b>	13.8	9.0	21.4	11.5	10.5
	<b>WM4</b>	<b>55.3</b>	<b>54.1</b>	<b>85.1</b>	<b>45.5</b>	<b>75.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Action Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of Contract 2 (DHK), construction activities carried out at South Portal Site on 13 to 15 August 2018 included tunnel internal work, construction of slip road, asphalt paving, installation of permanent drainage and E&amp;M works and internal finishing for south ventilation building. <b>(Figure 1)</b> The construction site was generally hard paved to minimize muddy runoff.</li> <li>2. According to the site photos taken by ET on 13 and 14 August 2018, muddy water was observed at impact station WM4 while the water quality at control station WM4-CA was clear and at control station WM4-CB was slightly turbid. <b>(Photos 1 to 6)</b> On 15 August 2018, some sediment was observed at both sides of the channel of WM4-CA but the flowing water was clear. Besides, turbid water was observed at impact station WM4 and control station WM4-CB. <b>(Photos 7 to 9)</b></li> <li>3. As reported by Contractor of Contract 3 (Chun Wo) on 13 and 14 August 2018 and during ET's site inspection on 15 August 2018, there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02 (under Contract 3). <b>(Photos 10 to 12 &amp; Figure 1)</b> According to the weather record from the Observatory, there was heavy rainstorm with total rainfall of 32.9mm recorded on 14 August 2018 while it was showery conditions on 13 and 15 August 2018. The water quality of the river channel was deteriorated by the rainfall and stirred up sediment as well as the surface runoff from the environmental even outside the site area.</li> <li>4. Weekly joint site inspection by the RE, DHK, IEC and ET was conducted at South Portal Site on 10 and 17 August 2018. The observation during site inspection is summarized in below: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities implemented in South Portal Site was functioned properly and the discharge was clear. <b>(Photos 13 to 15)</b></li> <li>(b) Discharge of muddy water from former discharge point was</li> </ol> </li> </ol>				

	<p>observed on 17 August 2018. DHK advised that there was some site runoff entering the storm drain through the former discharge point during heavy rainstorm. As remedial action, the storm drain connected to the former discharge point has been backfilled with concrete after the site inspection. <b>(Photos 16 to 17)</b></p> <p>(c) The footing of site hoarding has been patched with cementations materials and sand bags to avoid seepage of muddy water. <b>(Photo 18)</b></p> <p>5. In our investigation, DHK has implemented water mitigation measures to minimize the water quality impact. The deficiency recorded during site inspection was considered as short term impact which has been rectified after site inspection. In view of the inflow of muddy water from outside site boundary and deficiencies for water quality impact observed during site inspection, it was considered that the exceedances were related to the external muddy water and partially related to Contract 2. As the concerned storm drain has been backfilled by DHK and no exceedance was recorded in following days, no corrective action is required.</p> <p>6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at exceed station shall be increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered at WM4 on 16 and 17 August 2018. However, the Contractor should continue to implement the environmental mitigation measures recommended in implementation schedule in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	--

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 4 September 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.




**Photo 2**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, it was observed that the flowing water at WM4-CA was clear.



**Photo 3**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was slightly turbid.



**Photo 4**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.



**Photo 5**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, the flowing water at WM4-CA was clear.



**Photo 6**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was slightly turbid.



**Photo 7**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.



**Photo 8**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, some sediment was observed at both sides of the channel of WM4-CA but the flowing water was clear.



**Photo 9**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was found to be turbid.



**Photo 10**  
On 13 August 2018, Chun Wo reported that there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02.



**Photo 11**  
On 14 August 2018, Chun Wo reported that there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02.



**Photo 12**  
During site inspection with Contractor of Contract 3 on 15 August 2018, unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area of Contract 3 via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road to BC02 was observed.



**Photo 13**  
Wastewater treatment facilities implemented in South Portal Site was functioned properly.



**Photo 14**  
During site inspection on 10 August 2018, it was observed that wastewater treatment facilities implemented in South Portal Site was functioned properly and the discharge was clear.



**Photo 15**  
During site inspection on 17 August 2018, it was observed that wastewater treatment facilities implemented in South Portal Site was functioned properly and the discharge was clear.



**Photo 16**  
Discharge of muddy water from former discharge point was observed on 17 August 2018. DHK advised that there was some site runoff entering the storm drain through the former discharge point during heavy rainstorm.



**Photo 17**  
As remedial action, the storm drain connected to the former discharge point has been backfilled with concrete after the site inspection.



**Photo 18**  
The footing of site hoarding has been patched with cementations materials to avoid seepage of muddy water.




Figure 1. Location of Water Quality Monitoring Location

---

**To** Mr. Daniel Ho **Fax No** 2638 7077

**Company** Chun Wo Construction Ltd

cc

**From** Nicola Hon **Date** 23 August 2018

**Our Ref** TCS00670/13/300/F1749 **No of Pages** 7 (Incl. cover sheet)

**RE** Agreement No. CE 45/2008  
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
Investigation Report of Exceedance of Water Quality at Location WM4 on 13, 14 and  
15 August 2018 (Contract 3)

---

*If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.*

---

Dear Mr. Ho,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) reference of the following.

TCS00670/13/300/F1730 dated 13 August 2018

TCS00670/13/300/F1736 dated 15 August 2018

TCS00670/13/300/F1748 dated 20 August 2018

Please find attached the "Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance" referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079.**

Yours Faithfully,

For and on Behalf of

**Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting**



Nicola Hon

Environmental Consultant

Encl.

c.c. Ms. Clara U (EPD) Fax: 2685 1133  
Mr. Alan Lee (ER of C3, AECOM) Fax: 2171 3498  
Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC) By e-mail

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008				
<b>Date</b>		13 Aug 2018	14 Aug 2018	15 Aug 2018	13 Aug 2018	15 Aug 2018
<b>Location</b>		<b>WM4</b>				
<b>Time</b>		11:10	13:10	11:50	11:10	11:50
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
<b>Action Level</b>		35.2 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			39.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Limit Level</b>		38.4 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			45.5 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM4-CA</b>	6.4	7.4	22.9	3.0	5.5
	<b>WM4-CB</b>	13.8	9.0	21.4	11.5	10.5
	<b>WM4</b>	<b>55.3</b>	<b>54.1</b>	<b>85.1</b>	<b>45.5</b>	<b>75.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Action Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of C3 (Chun Wo), the construction activities carried out on 13 to 15 August 2018 were general site works such as excavation, construction of Retaining Wall and road works. Water quality mitigation measures were implemented to minimize the impact by the construction works.</li> <li>2. According to the site photos taken by ET on 13 and 14 August 2018, muddy water was observed at impact station WM4 while the water quality at control station WM4-CA was clear and at control station WM4-CB was slightly turbid. <b>(Photos 1 to 6)</b> On 15 August 2018, some sediment was observed at both sides of the channel of WM4-CA but the flowing water was clear. Besides, turbid water was observed at impact station WM4 and control station WM4-CB. <b>(Photos 7 to 9)</b></li> <li>3. On 13 and 14 August 2018, Chun Wo reported that there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02 (under Contract 3). <b>(Photos 10 to 11 &amp; Figure 1)</b> Moreover, there was heavy rainstorm with total rainfall of 32.9mm recorded on 14 August 2018, the water quality of the river channel was deteriorated by the rainfall and stirred up sediment as well as the surface runoff from the environmental even outside the site area.</li> <li>4. Joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, Chun Wo and ET was carried out on 15 August 2018 for site investigation. The findings of the inspection are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area of Contract 3 via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road to BC02 was observed. <b>(Photo 12)</b></li> <li>(b) No adverse water quality impact was observed at the works area adjacent to the diversion flow at BC02. The exposed surface was covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable to minimize muddy runoff. <b>(Photos 13 &amp; 14)</b></li> <li>(c) Wastewater treatment facilities implemented on-site were</li> </ol> </li> </ol>				

	<p>functioned properly and no adverse water quality impact was observed.</p> <p>(d) As water quality mitigation measures, the dusty material was covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable. <b>(Photo 15)</b></p> <p>5. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented water quality mitigation measures properly and no adverse water quality impact was observed during the site inspections. In viewing of inflow of muddy water from outside the site boundary, it is considered that the exceedances were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 3.</p> <p>6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at exceed station shall be increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered at WM4 on 16 and 17 August 2018. However, the Contractor should continue to implement the environmental mitigation measures recommended in implementation schedule in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 23 August 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.




**Photo 2**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, it was observed that the flowing water at WM4-CA was clear.



**Photo 3**

During water quality monitoring on 13 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was slightly turbid.



**Photo 4**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.



**Photo 5**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, the flowing water at WM4-CA was clear.



**Photo 6**

During water quality monitoring on 14 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was slightly turbid.



**Photo 7**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM4.



**Photo 8**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, some sediment was observed at both sides of the channel of WM4-CA but the flowing water was clear.



**Photo 9**  
During water quality monitoring on 15 August 2018, the water quality at WM4-CB was found to be turbid.



**Photo 10**  
On 13 August 2018, Chun Wo reported that there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02.



**Photo 11**  
On 14 August 2018, Chun Wo reported that there was unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road (outside C3) to BC02.



**Photo 12**  
During site inspection on 15 August 2018, unknown source of muddy water attributed to site area of Contract 3 via an underground pipe from box culvert in Kiu Tau Road to BC02 was observed.

**Photo 13**

No adverse water quality impact was observed at the works area adjacent to the diversion flow at BC02. The muddy water in the diversion flow was related to the unknown source of muddy water from underground pipe at in Kiu Tau Road. The exposed surface was covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable to minimize muddy runoff.

**Photo 14**

As water quality mitigation measures, the exposed surface was covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable to minimize muddy runoff.

**Photo 15**

As water quality mitigation measures, the dusty material was covered by tarpaulin sheet as far as practicable.



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		10 August 2018	
<b>Location</b>		WM1	
<b>Time</b>		11:00	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		51.3 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	54.5 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		67.6 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	64.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Levels</b>	<b>WM1-C</b>	56.8	45.5
	<b>WM1</b>	<b>80.9</b>	<b>68.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 10 August 2018 at Bridge Y (near WM1) include construction of retaining wall and drainage system at Bridge Y. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the field photos taken on 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed throughout the river channel including impact station WM1 and control station WM1-C. (<b>Photos 1 to 2</b>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, there was heavy rainstorm with total rainfall of 47.9mm recorded on 10 August 2018. The water quality throughout the river course was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment other than the construction site.</li> <li>4. Joint site inspection was carried out by the RE, IEC, CCKJV and ET on 2 and 9 August 2018. The observation during the site inspections were summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Based on the site condition and construction activities, wastewater generated from the works was limited and no adverse water quality impact contributed to WM1 was observed. (<b>Photos 3</b>)</li> <li>(b) Wheel washing was carried out on the paved ground to minimize the generation of muddy water. (<b>Photo 4</b>)</li> <li>(c) Temporary bunding was provided to minimize the risk of site runoff flowing into the stream. (<b>Photo 5</b>)</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. In our investigation, the water quality mitigation implemented for Bridge Y and site condition was generally in order. It was considered that exceedances were related to the rainstorm and not due to the works under Contract 6.</li> <li>6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM1 has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. Additional monitoring was carried out on 11 and 13 August 2018 and no exceedances were triggered. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>	

---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 23 August 2018

**Photo Record****Photo 1**

On 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM1.

**Photo 2**

On 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM1-C.

**Photo 3**


During site inspection on 2 and 9 August 2018, according to the site condition and construction activities, wastewater generated from the works was limited. No adverse water quality impact was observed and contributed to WM1.

**Photo 4**

Wheel washing was carried out on the paved ground.

**Photo 5**

Temporary bunding was provided to minimize the risk site runoff flowing into the stream.



**Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM1 and WM1-C**

---

**To** Mr. Alex Liu **Fax No** 2752 0696

**Company** Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited

**cc**

**From** Nicola Hon **Date** 27 August 2018

**Our Ref** TCS00769/15/300/F0280 **No of Pages** 7 (Incl. cover sheet)

**RE** Architectural Services Department (ArchSD) Contract No: SS C505  
Construction of Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP  
Buildings and Associated Facilities  
Investigation Report of Exceedance of Water Quality at Location WM1 on 10 August  
2018

---

*If you do not receive all pages, or transmission is illegible, please contact the originator on (852) 2959-6059 to re-send. Should this facsimile be sent to the wrong fax number, would receiver please destroy this copy and notify Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting immediately. Thank you.*

---

Dear Sir,

Further to the Notification of Exceedance (NOE) ref. of following:-

TCS00769/15/300/F0277 dated 10 August 2018

TCS00769/15/300/F0279 dated 20 August 2018

Please find attached the “Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance” referenced above for your follow up action.

Should you have any queries or need further information, please do not hesitate to contact us or the undersigned at **Tel: 2959-6059 or Fax: 2959-6079**.

Yours Faithfully,

For and on Behalf of

**Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting**



Nicola Hon  
Environmental Consultant  
Encl.

c.c.	Ms. Clara U (EPD)	Fax:	2685 1133
	Mr. William WL Cheng (ASD)		By e-mail
	Mr. Justin Cheung (Ronald Lu)		By e-mail
	Mr. Antony Wong (IEC, SMEC)		By e-mail
	Mr. Simon Leung (ER, AECOM)	Fax:	2674 7732

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Contract</b>		SS C505	
<b>Location</b>		WM1	
<b>Date</b>		10 August 2018	
<b>Time</b>		11:00	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		51.3 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	54.5 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		67.6 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	64.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured levels</b>	<b>WM1-C</b>	56.8	45.5
	<b>WM1</b>	<b>80.9</b>	<b>68.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor (LCAL), the major construction activities carried out on 10 August 2018 included excavation, rebar fixing, erection of formwork, concreting, superstructure and backfilling they are illustrated in <b>Figure 1</b>. The water quality monitoring location and site boundary of Contract SS C505 is illustrated in <b>Figure 2</b>.</li> <li>2. According to the field photos taken on 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed throughout the river channel including impact station WM1 and control station WM1-C. (<b>Photos 1 to 2</b>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, there was heavy rainstorm with total rainfall of 47.9mm recorded on 10 August 2018. The water quality throughout the river course was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment other than the construction site.</li> <li>4. During inspection on 8 August 2018, the site observation and implementation of mitigation measures are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) According to the site observation, wastewater generated from the construction activities was limited. (<b>Photo 3</b>)</li> <li>(b) Wheel washing facility was implemented at the site exit (Gate 3), a ditch was constructed to collect the wastewater generated by wheel washing for recycling purpose. (<b>Photo 4</b>) As advised by LCAL, no water would be discharge to the drainage at Lin Ma Hang Road.</li> <li>(c) The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented as per the temporary site drainage plan. (<b>Photos 5 and 6 and Figure 3</b>)</li> <li>(d) During rainy day, the surface runoff from the site will be collected by the temporary drainage which built according to the temporary site drainage plan. All wastewater generated from the site will pass through the perimeter channel and divert to the wastewater treatment facilities for treatment prior to discharge. (<b>Figure 3</b>)</li> <li>(e) Opened cement bags and stagnant water were observed at the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor of Passenger Terminal Building (PTB) and the deficiencies have been rectified by the Contractor immediately. It is considered that the deficiencies were unlikely related to the water quality exceedance.</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. In our investigation, the implementation of water quality mitigation</li> </ol>	

	<p>implemented and no water quality impact was observed, it was considered that exceedances were related to the impact of rain and not due to the works under Contract SS C505.</p> <p>6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM1 has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. Additional monitoring was carried out on 11 and 13 August 2018 and no exceedances were triggered. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 27 August 2018



**Photo 1**  
On 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM1.



**Photo 2**  
On 10 August 2018, muddy water was observed at WM1-C.



**Photo 3**  
During site inspection on 8 August 2018, it was observed that wastewater generated from the active construction activities was limited.




**Photo 4**  
Wheel washing facility was implemented at the site exit (Gate 3), a ditch was constructed to collect the wastewater generated by wheel washing for recycling purpose.




**Photo 5**  
The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented as per the drainage plan.



**Photo 6**  
The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented as per the drainage plan.



**Figure 1 Location Map of Construction Activities on 10 August 2018**



**Figure 2 Location Map of Water Monitoring Location**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008		
<b>Date</b>		13 August 2018	14 August 2018	13 August 2018
<b>Location</b>		WM3x		
<b>Time</b>		10:45	11:30	10:45
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	8.0	16.7	15.0
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>79.0</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>73.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the construction activities carried out at South Portal Site (upstream of WM3x) on 13 and 14 August 2018 included construction of Sha Tau Kok Interchange and road diversion. The monitoring locations and works areas are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 13 and 14 August 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear. It was observed that the water flowing in the channel of WM3x was very rapid during rainstorm on 14 August 2018. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. (<i>Photos 1 to 4 &amp; Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, total of rainfall of 18.9mm, and 32.9mm were recorded on 12 and 14 August 2018 respectively. Under the impact of rainstorm, the water quality throughout the river channel was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment even outside the construction site.</li> <li>4. Upon detection of the exceedance on 13 and 14 August 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear. (<i>Photos 5 to 6</i>)</li> <li>5. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted on 9 and 16 August 2018 to audit the site environmental performance. The findings of the inspection are summarized below:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly and the effluent was clear. (<i>Photo 7</i>)</li> <li>(b) The site area adjacent to the stream was completely sealed to minimize the risk of site runoff flowing into the exiting stream. (<i>Photo 8</i>)</li> <li>(c) The construction site was general in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>		

	<p>6. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. It is considered that the exceedances were related to other source of turbid water and not caused by the works under Contract 6.</p> <p>7. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered at WM3x on 15 and 16 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 5 September 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water sampling on 13 August 2018, the water quality observed at WM3x was turbid. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 13 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

During water sampling on 14 August 2018, the water quality observed at WM3x was turbid and the water flowing in the channel was very rapid under the impact after rainstorm. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 4**

During water sampling on 14 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 5**

Upon detection of exceedance on 13 August 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear.



**Photo 6**

Upon detection of exceedance on 14 August 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear.



**Photo 7**

Joint site inspection was conducted on 16 August 2018. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly and the effluent was clear.



**Photo 8**

The site area adjacent to the stream was completely sealed to minimize the risk of site runoff flowing into the exiting stream.





Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Figure 3 Drainage Plan**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008		
<b>Date</b>		13 August 2018	14 August 2018	13 August 2018
<b>Location</b>		WM3x		
<b>Time</b>		10:45	11:30	10:45
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	8.0	8.0	16.7	15.0
	<b>79.0</b>	<b>79.0</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>73.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 13 and 14 August 2018 at North Portal Site included tunnel internal work and construction of slip road, permanent drainage and ventilation building while construction of permanent drainage and fitting out and construction of car park were conducted at Admin Building Site. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring locations are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 13 and 14 August 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear. It was observed that the water flowing in the channel of WM3x was very rapid during rainstorm on 14 August 2018. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. (<i>Photos 1 to 4 &amp; Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, total of rainfall of 18.9mm, and 32.9mm were recorded on 12 and 14 August 2018 respectively. Under the impact of rainstorm, the water quality throughout the river channel was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment even outside the construction site.</li> <li>4. Joint site inspections with AECOM, IEC, DHK and ET were carried out on 17 August 2018, the observation and implementation of water quality mitigation measures are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site properly, and the water quality outside the discharge point at downstream Loi Tung Stream was visually clear. (<i>Photos 5 and 6</i>)</li> <li>(b) At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited. The water quality at the adjacent channel was clear. (<i>Photos 7 and 8</i>)</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented and well maintained the wastewater treatment facilities and no adverse water quality impact was identified during site inspection. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. It is considered that the exceedances were related to other source of turbid water and not caused by the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered at WM3x on 15 and 16 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as</li> </ol>		

	recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 5 September 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

During water sampling on 13 August 2018, the water quality observed at WM3x was turbid. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 13 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

During water sampling on 14 August 2018, the water quality observed at WM3x was turbid and the water flowing in the channel was very rapid under the impact after rainstorm. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 4**

During water sampling on 14 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 5**

During site inspection on 17 August 2018, Wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site and the effluent quality to be discharge was visually clear.



**Photo 6**

The water quality at Loi Tung stream which located at downstream of North Portal Site was visually clear.



**Photo 7**

At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited.



**Photo 8**

At Admin Building Site, the water quality at the adjacent channel was clear.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		20 August 2018	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		11:45	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	12.6	28.5
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>72.1</b>	<b>40.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided by the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the construction activities carried out at South Portal Site (upstream of WM3x) on 20 August 2018 included construction of Sha Tau Kok Interchange and road diversion. The monitoring locations and works areas are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 20 August 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was slightly turbid. It was observed that the water flowing in the channel of WM3x was very rapid during rainstorm on 20 August 2018. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. (<i>Photos 1 to 2 &amp; Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, total of rainfall of 61.1mm was recorded on 20 August 2018 and the Amber Rainstorm Warning Signal was effective from 05:10 to 07:45 on 20 August 2018. Under the impact of rainstorm, the water quality throughout the river channel was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment even outside the construction site.</li> <li>4. Upon detection of the exceedance on 20 August 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and it was observed that water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear. (<i>Photo 3</i>) Moreover, self-checking on performance of wastewater treatment facility was conducted by CCKJV on 20 August 2018 and the treated water was found to be clear. (<i>Photo 4</i>)</li> <li>5. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted on 16 August 2018 to audit the site environmental performance. The findings of the inspection are summarized below:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly and the effluent was clear. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</li> <li>(b) The site area adjacent to the stream was completely sealed to minimize the risk of site runoff flowing into the exiting stream. (<i>Photo 6</i>)</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	

	<p>(c) The construction site was general in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed.</p> <p>6. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented water quality mitigation measures and no adverse water quality impact was observed during site inspection. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. It is considered that the exceedances were related to other source of turbid water and not caused by the works under Contract 6.</p> <p>7. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered at WM3x on 21 and 22 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 11 September 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 20 August 2018, the water quality at WM3x was turbid. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 20 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was slightly turbid.



**Photo 3**

Upon detection of exceedance on 20 August 2018, inspection was carried out at the river channel crossing of works area of Contract 6 and water flowing from site area of Contract 6 was clear.



**Photo 4**

Self-checking on performance of wastewater treatment facility was conducted by CCKJV on 20 August 2018 and the treated water was found to be clear.



**Photo 5**

During joint inspection on 16 August 2018, Wastewater treatment facilities at South Portal were function properly and the effluent was clear.



**Photo 6**

The site area adjacent to the stream was completely sealed to minimize the risk of site runoff flowing into the exiting stream.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		20 August 2018	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		11:45	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	WM3-C	12.6	28.5
	WM3x	<b>72.1</b>	<b>40.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 20 August 2018 at North Portal Site included tunnel internal work and construction of slip road, permanent drainage and ventilation building while construction of permanent drainage and fitting out and construction of car park were conducted at Admin Building Site. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring locations are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken on 20 August 2018, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was slightly turbid. It was observed that the water flowing in the channel of WM3x was very rapid during rainstorm on 20 August 2018. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. (<i>Photos 1 to 2 &amp; Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>3. According to the weather information from the Observatory, total of rainfall of 61.1mm was recorded on 20 August 2018 and the Amber Rainstorm Warning Signal was effective from 05:10 to 07:45 on 20 August 2018. Under the impact of rainstorm, the water quality throughout the river channel was highly affected by the stirred up sediment and muddy runoff from the surrounding environment even outside the construction site.</li> <li>4. Joint site inspections with AECOM, IEC, DHK and ET were carried out on 17 and 24 August 2018, the observation and implementation of water quality mitigation measures are summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site properly, and the water quality outside the discharge point at downstream Loi Tung Stream was visually clear. (<i>Photos 3 and 4</i>)</li> <li>(b) At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited. The water quality at the adjacent channel was clear. (<i>Photos 5 and 6</i>)</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented and well maintained the wastewater treatment facilities and no adverse water quality impact was identified at North Portal Site and Admin Building Site during site inspection. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed. It is considered that the exceedances were related to other source of turbid water and not caused by the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances</li> </ol>	

	triggered at WM3x on 21 and 22 August 2018. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 5 September 2018

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 20 August 2018, the water quality at WM3x was turbid. Moreover, suspected inflow of turbid stormwater from Sha Tau Kok Road and adjacent villages to the channel of WM3x was observed.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 20 August 2018, the water quality flowing at WM3-C was slightly turbid.




**Photo 3**

During site inspection on 17 August 2018, Wastewater treatment facilities were in place at North Portal Site and the effluent quality to be discharge was visually clear.



**Photo 4**

The water quality at Loi Tung stream which located at downstream of North Portal Site was visually clear.



**Photo 5**

At Admin Building Site, the recent condition of site area was hard paved and wastewater generated from the construction works was limited.



**Photo 6**

At Admin Building Site, the water quality at the adjacent channel was clear.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location

## **Appendix O**

### **Investigation Report for Complaint**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires**

<b>Log No.</b>	CE 45/2008 – 76
<b>Received Date by ET</b>	20 August 2018
<b>Contract under Investigation</b>	Contract 6
<b>Complaint Details</b>	在興建中的行車天橋下馬路，(近地盤出入口) 路面多石及多沙及有泥水，令路面非常危險，天雨路滑更加危險，有電單車司機在此路段因為泥水覆蓋沙石而看不清地面情況而炒車受傷。希望盡快改善該路段路況。
<b>Location</b>	Lin Ma Hang (LMH) Road
<b>Date of Complaint</b>	15 August 2018
<b>Environmental Aspect</b>	Muddy water
<b>Complainant</b>	undisclosed
<b>Complaint Route</b>	by 1823
<b>Investigation Result</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A public complaint was received by 1823 on 15 August 2018 regarding the cleanliness of road which underneath the viaduct at Lin Ma Hang Road. The complainant expressed that a lot of gravels, mud and muddy water were observed at the construction site exit which pose danger to the road user, in particular during rainy day. The complainant expects that the road condition can be improved as soon as possible. According to the information provided by the complainant, the complaint location is illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>2. Joint site inspection by RE, IEC, Contractor of C6 (CCKJV) and ET was carried out on 23 August 2018 along the concerned section of LMH Road and related construction site exits for complaint investigation. The observations during site inspection are summarized in below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Wheel washing was carried out on the hard paved road before site exit of Bridge Y. No muddy water was observed, however, muddy trails were observed at the site exit. The Contractor was advised to clean the muddy trails properly and maintain the wheel washing facility and cleanliness of the site exit. (<i>Photos 1 to 2 and Figure 1</i>) Moreover, accumulation of silt was observed at LMH road near water-filled barriers at work area of Bridge Y. It is suspected that the silt was caused by frequent use of vehicle from the project as well as the villager nearby. (<i>Photo 5</i>)</li> <li>(b) Wheel washing was carried out on the hard paved road at the temporary site exit on LMH Road. Neither accumulation of muddy water nor muddy trails were observed at the site exit. (<i>Photo 3 and Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>(c) Wheel washing facilities was implemented within the site area at Chuk Yuen Road. Neither accumulation of muddy water nor muddy trails were observed at the site exit. (<i>Photo 4 and Figure 1</i>)</li> <li>(d) Along the concerned road underneath the viaduct of LMH Road, no mud brought from the construction site and no muddy water were observed. However, roadside debris and small amount of gravels were found at the edge of the road. It is considered that the</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Environmental Complaint / Enquires**

	<p>presence of roadside debris and gravels was caused by frequent use of vehicle from the project as well as the villager nearby. (<i>Photos 5 to 8</i>)</p> <p>3. As advised by CCKJV, in order to remove the debris and gravels on road surface and minimize the generation of muddy water during rain, road sweeper has been deployed on LMH Road every day and the route for road sweeper fully covered the concerned road section. According to the photo provided by CCKJV on 24 August 2018 for the road condition after cleaning by road sweeper, large amount of debris and gravels were removed, however, some debris at the most edge of the road were unable to clean. (<i>Photos 9 to 10</i>) Having discussed with CCKJV, in order to clean the debris/ silt at road edge, they can deploy manual sweeping behind the water-filled barriers, given that the condition is safe and accessible by the labour. (<i>Photos 11 to 12</i>)</p> <p>4. Follow up inspection by IEC and ET was conducted on 30 August 2018, it was observed that the site exit of Bridge Y was clear of mud and the silt underneath the water-filled barrier has been cleared. (<i>Photos 13 to 14</i>) In our investigation, the presence of roadside debris and small amount of gravels was related to the frequent use of road by the project as well the villager nearby, however, in response to the complaint, CCKJV has deployed manual sweeping for road edge behind the water-filled barrier. It is considered that CCKJV has promptly rectified the deficiencies and provided remedial action to response the complaint.</p> <p>5. Since the site arrangement is subject to change all the time, the ET will keep closely inspect the site condition and cleanliness of adjoined roads in subsequent weekly site inspection.</p>
--	---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon  
**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 12 September 2018

**Photo Record**



**Photo 1 (Site Exit at Bridge Y)**  
Wheel washing was carried out on the hard paved road before the site exit of Bridge Y.



**Photo 2 (Site Exit at Bridge Y)**  
At work area of Bridge Y, no muddy water but muddy trails were observed at the site exit. The Contractor was advised to clean the muddy trails properly and maintain wheel washing facility and cleanliness of the site exit.



**Photo 3 (Temporary site exit on LMH Road)**  
Wheel washing was carried out on the hard paved road at the temporary site exit on LMH Road. Neither accumulation of muddy water nor muddy trails were observed at the site exit.



**Photo 4 (Site Exit at Chuk Yuen Road)**  
Wheel washing facilities was implemented within the site area at Chuk Yuen Road. Neither accumulation of muddy water nor muddy trails were observed at the site exit.



**Photo 5**  
Accumulation of silt was observed at LMH road near water-filled barriers at work area of Bridge Y. It is considered that the silt is roadside debris. In addition, no mud carrying from the construction site and muddy water were observed.



**Photo 6**  
Along the concerned section of LMH Road, no mud carrying from the construction site and muddy water were observed. However, roadside debris and small amount of gravels were observed at the edge of the road near water-filled barriers.



**Photo 7**

Along the concerned section of LMH Road, no mud carrying from the construction site and muddy water were observed. However, roadside debris and small amount of gravels were observed at the edge of the road near water-filled barriers.



**Photo 8**

Along the concerned section of LMH Road, no mud carrying from the construction site and muddy water were observed. However, roadside debris and small amount of gravels were observed at the edge of the road near water-filled barriers.



**Photo 9**

The condition of road after cleaning by road sweeper on 24 August 2018.



**Photo 10**

The condition of road after cleaning by road sweeper on 24 August 2018.



**Photo 11**

In order to clean the debris/ silt at road edge, manual sweeping has been deployed behind the water-filled barrier as far as possible.



**Photo 12**

In order to clean the debris/ silt at road edge, manual sweeping has been deployed behind the water-filled barrier as far as possible.



**Photo 13**

During follow up inspection on 30 August 2018, it was observed that the site exit of Bridge Y was clear of mud.



**Photo 14**

The silt underneath the water-filled barrier was cleared.

Agreement No. CE 45/2008  
Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Work



Figure 1 The complaint location and concerned site exits on Lin Ma Hang Road