

JOB No.: TCS00694/13

AGREEMENT NO. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI  
BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT  
REPORT (NO.45) – APRIL 2017

PREPARED FOR  
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT  
(CEDD)

Date	Reference No.	Prepared By	Certified By
15 May 2017	TCS00694/13/600/R0969v2	 Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant)	 Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader)

Version	Date	Remarks
1	10 May 2017	First Submission
2	15 May 2017	Amended against the IEC's comments on 12 May 2017

Unit A-C, 27/F Ford Glory Plaza  
37- 39 Wing Hong Street  
Cheung Sha Wan, Kowloon, Hong Kong  
T +852 3995 8100 F +852 3995 8101 E hongkong@smec.com  
[www.smec.com](http://www.smec.com)

15 May 2017

Our ref: 7076192/L21804/AB/AW/MC/rw

AECOM  
8/F, Grand Central Plaza, Tower 2  
138 Shatin Rural Committee Road  
Shatin, N.T.

**By Email & Post****Attention: Mr Simon LEUNG**

Dear Sir

**Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
Independent Environmental Checker – Investigation  
Monthly EM&A Report (No. 45) – April 2017**

With reference to the Monthly EM&A Report No. 45 for April 2017 (Version 2) certified by the ET Leader, please be noted that we have no adverse comments on the captioned submission. We herewith verify the captioned submission in accordance with Condition 5.4 of the Environmental Permit No. EP-404/2011/D.

Thank you for your attention and please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on tel. 3995-8120 or by email to [antony.wong@smec.com](mailto:antony.wong@smec.com); or our Mr Man CHEUNG on tel. 3995 8132 or by email to [man.cheung@smec.com](mailto:man.cheung@smec.com).

Yours faithfully  
for and on behalf of  
SMEC Asia Limited



**Antony WONG**  
Independent Environmental Checker

cc	CEDD/BCP	-	Mr Desmond LAM	by fax: 3547 1659
	ArchSD	-	Mr William WL CHENG	by fax: 2804 6805
	AECOM	-	Mr Pat LAM / Mr Perry YAM	by email
	Ronald Lu	-	Mr Peter YAM / Mr Justin CHEUNG	by email
	CW	-	Mr Daniel HO	by email
	DHK	-	Mr Daniel ALTIER	by email
	CCKJV	-	Mr Vincent CHAN	by email
	KRSJV	-	Mr TY LEUNG	by email
	Leighton	-	Mr Jon KITCHING	by email
	AUES	-	Mr TW TAM	by email

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES01 This is the 45<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the reporting period from **1 to 30 April 2017** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

### ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

ES02 To facilitate the project management and implementation, Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project is divided to seven CEDD contracts including Contract 2 (CV/2012/08), Contract 3 (CV/2012/09), Contract 4 (NE/2014/02), Contract 5 (CV/2013/03), Contract 6 (CV/2013/08) and Contract 7 (NE/2014/03) and an ArshSD contract (Contract SS C505).

ES03 In the Reporting Period, the major construction works under Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works of the Project included Contract 2, Contract 3, Contract 6, Contract 7 and Contract SS C505. Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

Environmental Aspect	Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection	Reporting Period	
		Number of Monitoring Locations to undertake	Total Occasions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	9	150
	24-hour TSP	9	45
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	10	40
Water Quality	Water in-situ measurement and/or sampling	WM1 & WM1-C	12 Scheduled & 3 extra
		WM2A(a) & WM2A-Cx	12 Scheduled & 3 extra
		WM2B & WM2B-C	12 Scheduled & 0 extra
		WM3x & WM3-C	12 Scheduled & 3 extra
		WM4, WM4-CA & WM4-CB	12 Scheduled & 1 extra
Ecology	Woodland compensation i) General Health condition of planted species ii) Survival of planted species	9 Quadrats	1
Joint Site Inspection / Audit	IEC, ET, the Contractor and RE joint site Environmental Inspection and Auditing	Contract 2	4
		Contract 3	4
		Contract 6	4
		Contract 7	4
		Contract SS C505 (#)	4

*Note: Extra monitoring day was due to measurement results exceedance*

*# IEC only joined one (1) event of site inspection for Contract SS C505.*

### ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE

ES04 In the Reporting Period, no air quality and construction noise exceedances were recorded. For water quality monitoring, twenty-two (22) Limit Level exceedances were recorded under the Project. The summary of exceedance in the Reporting Period is shown below.

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Air Quality	1-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--
	24-hour TSP	0	0	0	--	--	--
Construction Noise	$L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime	0	0	0	--	--	--

Environmental Aspect	Monitoring Parameters	Action Level	Limit Level	Event & Action			
				NOE Issued	Investigation Result	Project related exceedance	Corrective Actions
Water Quality	DO	0	0	0	-	--	--
	Turbidity	0	10	10	- exceedances recorded on 8, 10, 12, 13 and 14 April 2017 were concluded as non-project related exceedances 22, 25 and 26 April 2017 were under investigation.	0	The Contractors were reminded to implement water quality mitigation measures in accordance with ISEMM of the EM&A Manual requirements
	SS	0	12	12		0	

**ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT**

- ES05 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue related to Contracts 2 and 6. Investigation report (IR) for complaints revealed that all the complaints were not related to the Contract.
- ES06 The summary of complaint received in the Reporting Period is summarized below.

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint		
		Frequency	Complaint Nature	Project related complaint
1 – 30 April 2017	Contract 2	2	Noise (1) Wastewater (1)	0
	Contract 3	1	wastewater	0
	Contract 6	0	NA	NA
	Contract 7	0	NA	NA
	SS C505	0	NA	NA

**NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS**

- ES07 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.

**REPORTING CHANGE**

- ES08 In the Reporting Period, EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which included the proposal for not necessary to perform noise measurement during restricted hours periods. Therefore, noise measurement during restricted hours periods will be ceased on 7 April 2017 in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 3.1.5) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)
- ES09 Moreover, EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which proposed that (1) if the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring; and (2) If no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 4.1.4) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)

**SITE INSPECTION**

- ES10 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 2** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **7, 12, 21 and 28 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES11 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 3** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 19 and 24 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES12 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 6** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 13, 20 and 27 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES13 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract SS C505** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **5, 12, 19 and 26 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.
- ES14 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection to evaluate the site environmental performance at **Contract 7** has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 11, 18 and 25 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

**FUTURE KEY ISSUES**

- ES15 During wet season, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- ES16 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- ES17 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- ES18 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
1.2	REPORT STRUCTURE	1
<b>2</b>	<b>PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING	3
2.2	PROJECT ORGANIZATION	5
2.3	CONCURRENT PROJECTS	7
2.4	CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS	7
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS	9
<b>3</b>	<b>SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	GENERAL	14
3.2	MONITORING PARAMETERS	14
3.3	MONITORING LOCATIONS	14
3.4	MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD	16
3.5	MONITORING EQUIPMENT	17
3.6	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	19
3.7	EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION	21
3.8	DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS	21
3.9	DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL	22
<b>4</b>	<b>AIR QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	GENERAL	23
4.2	AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS	23
<b>5</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1	GENERAL	26
5.2	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NORMAL DAYTIME)	26
5.3	NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (RESTRICTED HOURS)	26
<b>6</b>	<b>WATER QUALITY MONITORING</b>	<b>28</b>
6.1	GENERAL	28
6.2	RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING	28
<b>7</b>	<b>ECOLOGY MONITORING</b>	<b>31</b>
7.1	GENERAL	31
<b>8</b>	<b>WASTE MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>32</b>
8.1	GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	32
8.2	RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES	32
<b>9</b>	<b>SITE INSPECTION</b>	<b>33</b>
9.1	REQUIREMENTS	33
9.2	FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH	33
<b>10</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE</b>	<b>36</b>
10.1	ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION	36
<b>11</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	<b>40</b>
11.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	40
11.2	TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH	40
11.3	KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH	41
<b>12</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>43</b>
12.1	CONCLUSIONS	43
12.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	43

**LIST OF TABLES**

TABLE 3-1	SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS
TABLE 3-2	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY
TABLE 3-3	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-4	IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - WATER QUALITY
TABLE 3-5	AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-6	CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-7	WATER QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT
TABLE 3-8	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING
TABLE 3-9	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE
TABLE 3-10	ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR WATER QUALITY
TABLE 4-1	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM1A
TABLE 4-2	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM2
TABLE 4-3	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM3
TABLE 4-4	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM4B
TABLE 4-5	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM5A
TABLE 4-6	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM6
TABLE 4-7	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM7A
TABLE 4-8	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM8
TABLE 4-9	SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS – AM9B
TABLE 5-1	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-2	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS
TABLE 5-3	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (EVENING TIME)
TABLE 5-4	SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NIGHT TIME)
TABLE 6-1	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 3
TABLE 6-2	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 5 AND SS C505
TABLE 6-3	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 6-4	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS FOR CONTRACT 2 AND 6
TABLE 6-5	ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS EXCEEDANCE RECORDED
TABLE 6-6	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE IN THE REPORTING PERIOD
TABLE 6-7	SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY EXCEEDANCE WHICH WERE UNDER REVIEW BY IEC IN APRIL 2016
TABLE 8-1	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS
TABLE 8-2	SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES
TABLE 9-1	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 2
TABLE 9-2	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 3
TABLE 9-3	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 5
TABLE 9-4	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 6
TABLE 9-5	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT SS C505
TABLE 9-6	SITE OBSERVATIONS FOR CONTRACT 7
TABLE 10-1	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS
TABLE 10-2	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS
TABLE 10-3	STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION
TABLE 11-1	ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A	LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT
APPENDIX B	ORGANIZATION CHART
APPENDIX C	3-MONTH ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM
APPENDIX D	DESIGNATED MONITORING LOCATIONS AS RECOMMENDED IN THE APPROVED EM&A MANUAL
APPENDIX E	MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING
APPENDIX F	CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY
APPENDIX G	EVENT AND ACTION PLAN
APPENDIX H	IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE
APPENDIX I	DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX J	GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT
APPENDIX K	METEOROLOGICAL DATA
APPENDIX L	WASTE FLOW TABLE
APPENDIX M	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES
APPENDIX N	INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR EXCEEDANCE

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Civil Engineering and Development Department is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of *Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE) Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works*, which is a Designated Project to be implemented under Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/C granted on 12 March 2015 and the latest Environmental Permit number EP-404/2011/D granted on 20 January 2017.
- 1.1.2 The Project consists of two main components: Construction of a Boundary Control Point (hereinafter referred as “BCP”); and Construction of a connecting road alignment. Layout plan of the Project is shown in *Appendix A*.
- 1.1.3 The proposed BCP is located at the boundary with Shenzhen near the existing Chuk Yuen Village, comprising a main passenger building with passenger and cargo processing facilities and the associated customs, transport and ancillary facilities. The connecting road alignment consists of six main sections:
- 1) Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area (FCA) Boundary – this section comprises at-grade and viaducts and includes the improvement works at Lin Ma Hang Road;
  - 2) Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan – this section stretches from the Frontier Closed Area Boundary to the tunnel portal at Cheung Shan and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Ping Yeung;
  - 3) North Tunnel – this section comprises the tunnel segment at Cheung Shan and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel;
  - 4) Sha Tau Kok Road – this section stretches from the tunnel portal at Wo Keng Shan to the tunnel portal south of Loi Tung and comprises at-grade and viaducts including an interchange at Sha Tau Kok and an administration building;
  - 5) South Tunnel – this section comprises a tunnel segment that stretches from Loi Tung to Fanling and includes a ventilation building at the portals on either end of the tunnel as well as a ventilation building in the middle of the tunnel near Lau Shui Heung;
  - 6) Fanling – this section comprises the at-grade, viaducts and interchange connection to the existing Fanling Highway.
- 1.1.4 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting has been commissioned as an Independent ET to implement the relevant EM&A program in accordance with the approved EM&A Manual, as well as the associated duties. As part of the EM&A program, the baseline monitoring has carried out between **13 June 2013** and **12 July 2013** for all parameters including air quality, noise and water quality before construction work commencement. The Baseline Monitoring Report summarized the key findings and the rationale behind determining a set of Action and Limit Levels (A/L Levels) from the baseline data. Also, the Project baseline monitoring report which verified by the IEC has been submitted to EPD on **16 July 2013** for endorsement. The major construction works of the Project was commenced on **16 August 2013** in accordance with the EP Section 5.3 stipulation.
- 1.1.5 This is **45<sup>th</sup>** monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for reporting period from **1** to **30 April 2017**.

### 1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE

- 1.2.1 The Monthly Environmental Monitoring and Audit (EM&A) Report is structured into the following sections:-
- Section 1 Introduction*
  - Section 2 Project Organization and Construction Progress*
  - Section 3 Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements*
  - Section 4 Air Quality Monitoring*
  - Section 5 Construction Noise Monitoring*

<b>Section 6</b>	<i>Water Quality Monitoring</i>
<b>Section 7</b>	<i>Waste Management</i>
<b>Section 8</b>	<i>Site Inspections</i>
<b>Section 9</b>	<i>Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance</i>
<b>Section 10</b>	<i>Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures</i>
<b>Section 11</b>	<i>Conclusions and Recommendations</i>

## 2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

### 2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project would be divided by the following contracts:

- Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)
- Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)
- Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)
- Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)
- Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)
- Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)
- ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.2 The details of each contracts is summarized below and the delineation of each contracts is shown in *Appendix A*.

#### Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.1.3 Contract 2 has awarded in December 2013 and construction work was commenced on 19 May 2014. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- construction of an approximately 5.2km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.4km of at-grade road and 4.8km of tunnel) connecting the Fanling Interchange with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange;
- construction of a ventilation adit tunnel and the mid-ventilation building;
- construction of the north and south portal buildings of the Lung Shan Tunnel and their associated slope works;
- provision and installation of ventilation system, E&M works and building services works for Lung Shan tunnel and Cheung Shan tunnel and their portal buildings;
- construction of Tunnel Administration Building adjacent to Wo Keng Shan Road and the associated E&M and building services works; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.1.4 Contract 3 was awarded in July 2013 and construction work was commenced on 5 November 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- construction of four link roads connecting the existing Fanling Highway and the south portal of the Lung Shan Tunnel;
- realignment of the existing Tai Wo Service Road West and Tai Wo Service Road East;
- widening of the existing Fanling Highway (HyD's entrustment works);
- demolishing existing Kiu Tau vehicular bridge and Kiu Tau footbridge and reconstruction of the existing Kiu Tau Footbridge (HyD's entrustment works); and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

#### Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.1.5 Contract 4 has awarded in mid-April 2016 and construction work will be commenced on 2 May 2017. The scope of work of the Contract 4 includes:

- design, supply, delivery, installation, testing and commissioning of a traffic control and surveillance system for the connecting road linking up the Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and the existing Fanling Highway.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.1.6 Contract 5 has awarded in April 2013 and construction work was commenced in August 2013. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:

- site formation of about 23 hectares of land for the development of the BCP;
- construction of an approximately 1.6 km long perimeter road at the BCP including a 175m long depressed road;
- associated diversion/modification works at existing local roads and junctions including Lin Ma Hang Road;
- construction of pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provision of resite area with supporting infrastructure for reprovisioning of the affected village houses; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

2.1.7 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 6 would be included below:

- construction of an approximately 4.6km long dual two-lane connecting road (with about 0.6km of at-grade road, 3.3km of viaduct and 0.7km of tunnel) connecting the BCP with the proposed Sha Tau Kok Road Interchange and the associated ventilation buildings;
- associated diversion/modification works at access roads to the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- provision of sewage collection, treatment and disposal facilities for the BCP and the resite of Chuk Yuen Village;
- construction of a pedestrian subway linking the BCP to Lin Ma Hang Road;
- provisioning of the affected facilities including Wo Keng Shan Road garden; and
- construction of associated footpath, slopes, retaining structures, drainage, sewerage, waterworks, landscaping works and other ancillary works.

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

2.1.8 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and the construction works of Contract 7 was commenced on 15 February 2016. Major Scope of Work of the Contract 7 would be included below:

- construction of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) portion of four vehicular bridge
- construction of one pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen (SZ) River (cross boundary bridges)

ArchSD Contract No. SS C505

2.1.9 SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. Major Scope of Work of the SS C505 would be included below:

- passenger-related facilities including processing kiosks and examination facilities for private cars and coaches, passenger clearance building and halls, the interior fitting works for the pedestrian bridge crossing Shenzhen River, etc.;
- cargo processing facilities including kiosks for clearance of goods vehicles, customs inspection platforms, X-ray building, etc.;
- accommodation for the facilities inside of the Government departments providing services in connection with the BCP;
- transport-related facilities inside the BCP including road networks, public transport interchange, transport drop-off and pick-up areas, vehicle holding areas and associated road furniture etc;
- a public carpark; and

- other ancillary facilities such as sewerage and drainage, building services provisions and electronic systems, associated environmental mitigation measure and landscape works.

## 2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization is shown in *Appendix B*. The responsibilities of respective parties are:

### Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD)

2.2.2 CEDD is the Project Proponent and the Permit Holder of the EP of the development of the Project and will assume overall responsibility for the project. An Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) shall be employed by CEDD to audit the results of the EM&A works carried out by the ET.

### Architectural Services Department (ArchSD)

2.2.3 ArchSD acts as the works agent for Development Bureau (DEVB), for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities.

### Environmental Protection Department (EPD)

2.2.4 EPD is the statutory enforcement body for environmental protection matters in Hong Kong.

### Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd (The Architect)

2.2.5 Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd is appointed by ArchSD as an Architect for Contract SS C505 Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point (BCP) – BCP Buildings and Associated Facilities. It responsible for overseeing the construction works of Contract SS C505 and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the Architect with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors' and ET's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

### Engineer or Engineers Representative (ER)

2.2.6 The ER is responsible for overseeing the construction works and for ensuring that the works are undertaken by the Contractor in accordance with the specification and contract requirements. The duties and responsibilities of the ER with respect to EM&A are:

- Monitor the Contractors' compliance with contract specifications, including the implementation and operation of the environmental mitigation measures and their effectiveness
- Monitor Contractors's, ET's and IEC's compliance with the requirements in the Environmental Permit (EP) and EM&A Manual
- Facilitate ET's implementation of the EM&A programme
- Participate in joint site inspection by the ET and IEC
- Oversee the implementation of the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance

- Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
- Liaison with DSD, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the "Construction of the DSD's Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage 4 (RSR 4)" Project discussing regarding the cumulative impact issues.

The Contractor(s)

- 2.2.7 There will be one contractor for each individual works contract. Once the contractors are appointed, EPD, ET and IEC will be notified the details of the contractor.
- 2.2.8 The Contractor for Contracts under CEDD should report to the ER. For ArchSD Contract, the Contractor should report to the Architect or Architect's Representative (AR). The duties and responsibilities of the Contractor are:
- Comply with the relevant contract conditions and specifications on environmental protection
  - Employ an Environmental Team (ET) to undertake monitoring, laboratory analysis and reporting of EM & A Facilitate ET's monitoring and site inspection activities
  - Participate in the site inspections by the ET and IEC, and undertake any corrective actions
  - Provide information / advice to the ET regarding works programme and activities which may contribute to the generation of adverse environmental impacts
  - Submit proposals on mitigation measures in case of exceedances of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event / Action Plans
  - Implement measures to reduce impact where Action and Limit levels are exceeded
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

Environmental Team (ET)

- 2.2.9 Once the ET is appointed, the EPD, CEDD, ER, Architect and IEC will be notified the details of the ET.
- 2.2.10 The ET shall not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor(s), and shall be employed by the Project Proponent/Contractor to conduct the EM&A programme. The ET should be managed by the ET Leader. The ET Leader shall be a person who has at least 7 years' experience in EM&A and has relevant professional qualifications. Suitably qualified staff should be included in the ET, and resources for the implementation of the EM&A programme should be allocated in time under the Contract(s), to enable fulfillment of the Project's EM&A requirements as specified in the EM&A Manual during construction of the Project. The ET shall report to the Project Proponent and the duties shall include:
- Monitor and audit various environmental parameters as required in this EM&A Manual
  - Analyse the environmental monitoring and audit data, review the success of EM&A programme and the adequacy of mitigation measures implemented, confirm the validity of the EIA predictions and identify any adverse environmental impacts arising
  - Carry out regular site inspection to investigate and audit the Contractors' site practice, equipment/plant and work methodologies with respect to pollution control and environmental mitigation, and effect proactive action to pre-empt problems
  - Monitor compliance with conditions in the EP, environmental protection, pollution prevention and control regulations and contract specifications
  - Audit environmental conditions on site
  - Report on the environmental monitoring and audit results to EPD, the ER, the Architect, the IEC and Contractor or their delegated representatives
  - Recommend suitable mitigation measures to the Contractor in the case of exceedance of Action and Limit levels in accordance with the Event and Action Plans
  - Liaise with the IEC on all environmental performance matters and timely submit all relevant EM&A proforma for approval by IEC
  - Advise the Contractor(s) on environmental improvement, awareness, enhancement measures etc., on site
  - Adhere to the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation

- Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, ET, IEC and the Contractor(s) of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

Independent Environmental Checker (IEC)

- 2.2.11 One IEC will be employed for this Project. Once the IEC is appointed, EPD, ER, the Architect and ET will be notified the details of the IEC.
- 2.2.12 The Independent Environmental Checker (IEC) should not be in any way an associated body of the Contractor or the ET for the Project. The IEC should be employed by the Permit Holder (i.e., CEDD) prior to the commencement of the construction of the Project. The IEC should have at least 10 years' experience in EM&A and have relevant professional qualifications. The appointment of IEC should be subject to the approval of EPD. The IEC should:
- Provide proactive advice to the ER and the Project Proponent on EM&A matters related to the project, independent from the management of construction works, but empowered to audit the environmental performance of construction
  - Review and audit all aspects of the EM&A programme implemented by the ET
  - Review and verify the monitoring data and all submissions in connection with the EP and EM&A Manual submitted by the ET
  - Arrange and conduct regular, at least monthly site inspections of the works during construction phase, and ad hoc inspections if significant environmental problems are identified
  - Check compliance with the agreed Event / Action Plan in the event of any exceedance
  - Check compliance with the procedures for carrying out complaint investigation
  - Check the effectiveness of corrective measures
  - Feedback audit results to ET by signing off relevant EM&A proforma
  - Check that the mitigation measures are effectively implemented
  - Verify the log-book(s) mentioned in Condition 2.2 of the EP, notify the Director by fax, within one working day of receipt of notification from the ET Leader of each and every occurrence, change of circumstances or non-compliance with the EIA Report and/or the EP, which might affect the monitoring or control of adverse environmental impacts from the Project
  - Report the works conducted, the findings, recommendation and improvement of the site inspections, after reviewing ET's and Contractor's works, and advices to the ER and Project Proponent on a monthly basis
  - Liaison with the client departments, Engineer/Engineer's Representative, the Architect, ET, IEC and the Contractor of the concurrent projects as listed under Section 2.3 below regarding the cumulative impact issues.

## 2.3 CONCURRENT PROJECTS

- 2.3.1 The concurrent construction works that may be carried out include, but not limited to, the following:
- (a) Regulation of Shenzhen River Stage IV;
  - (b) Widening of Fanling Highway – Tai Hang to Wo Hop Shek Interchange – Contract No. HY/2012/06;
  - (c) Construction of BCP facilities in Shenzhen.

## 2.4 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

- 2.4.1 In the Reporting Period, the major construction activity conducted under the Project is located in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 and they are summarized in below. Moreover, 3-month rolling construction program for all the current contracts is enclosed in **Appendix C**.

Contract 2 (CV/2012/08)

2.4.2 The contract commenced in May 2014. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- |                |   |                                                                              |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mid-Vent       | • | Tunnel Boring Machine (TBM) U-Turn                                           |
| Portal         | • | Adit invert slab, waterproofing and lining                                   |
|                | • | Stud tunnel post-excavation activities                                       |
|                | • | Structure connecting the adit tunnel and ventilation building superstructure |
|                | • | Mid-Vent building structure and backfilling                                  |
| North Portal   | • | Retaining wall                                                               |
|                | • | Southbound tunnel enlargement                                                |
|                | • | Southbound tunnel internal structure, backfilling and cross passage          |
|                | • | North Bound Tunnel bench excavation, water proofing and lining               |
|                | • | North ventilation building superstructure                                    |
| South Portal   | • | Southbound and northbound Drill & Blast Excavation                           |
|                | • | South ventilation and building superstructure                                |
|                | • | Tunnel invert, waterproofing, lining, internal structure and cross passage   |
|                | • | Mucking out from tunnels                                                     |
| Admin Building | • | Building superstructure, fence wall, drainage and E&M installation           |

Contract 3 (CV/2012/09)

2.4.3 The Contract commenced in November 2013. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:

- Boundary Wall for DSD Pumping Station
- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Footbridge construction
- Noise barrier construction
- Pier table construction
- Portal construction
- Road works
- Viaduct Segment Erection
- Water Main Laying
- Gabion wall construction
- Installation of Noise Barrier Steel Column & Panel
- Per-drilling for noise barrier
- Pit construction for heading works.
- Parapet installation
- Planter Wall Construction
- Drainage Work
- Mini-pile Installation
- Construction of Profile Barrier on Viaduct deck
- Stressing of External Tendon

Contract 4 (NE/2014/02)

2.4.4 The Contract was awarded in mid-April 2016 and the major construction work has not yet commenced.

Contract 5 (CV/2013/03)

2.4.5 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016.

Contract 6 (CV/2013/08)

- 2.4.6 Contract 6 has awarded in June 2015 and construction work was commenced on 23 October 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- Bored Piling
  - Pile Cap Construction
  - Bridge Pier Construction
  - Bridge Segment Erection
  - Tunnel Excavation
  - Sewage Treatment Plant Construction

Contract 7 (NE/2014/03)

- 2.4.7 Contract 7 has awarded in December 2015 and construction work was commenced on 15 February 2016. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- U-trough construction at Bridges A and E
  - Pile Caps construction at Bridge E
  - Column construction at Bridges B and D
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> floor slab construction at Bridge C

Contract SS C505

- 2.4.8 Contract SS C505 has awarded in July 2015 and construction work was commenced on 1 September 2015. In this Reporting Period, construction activities conducted are listed below:
- Building no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 26, 30, 36 and 41 construction
  - ABWF Works and Contractors Testing for Building no.36
  - Tower crane operation
  - Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap, pier, abutment, road and finishes works
  - Underground drainage works, Road Works and Landscaping
  - Formwork and falsework for PTB's slab construction
  - Construction PTB M/F & 1/F flat slab
  - Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
  - PTB backfilling works
  - Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1 – 5

## 2.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUBMISSIONS

- 2.5.1 In according to the EP, the required documents have submitted to EPD which listed in below:
- Project Layout Plans of Contracts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
  - Landscape Plan
  - Topsoil Management Plan
  - Environmental Monitoring and Audit Programme
  - Baseline Monitoring Report (TCS00690/13/600/R0030v3) for the Project
  - Waste Management Plan of the Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and SS C505
  - Contamination Assessment Plan (CAP) and Contamination Assessment Report (CAR) for Po Kat Tsai, Loi Tung and the workshops in Fanling
  - Vegetation Survey Report
  - Woodland Compensation Plan
  - Habitat Creation Management Plan
  - Wetland Compensation Plan
- 2.5.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of each contracts are presented in **Table 2-1**.

**Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contracts**

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
<b>Contract 2</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref No.: 368864	31 Dec 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	<i>North Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-652-D2523-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<i>Mid-Vent Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2524-01	25 Mar 2014	Till Contract ends
		<i>South Portal</i> Waste Producers Number: No.5213-634-D2526-01	9 Apr 2014	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.WT00018374-2014	8 Oct 2014	30 Sep 2019
		No.: W5/11389	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
		No. WT00023063-2015	18 Dec 2015	31 Mar 2019
		No.: W5/11392	28 Mar 2014	31 Mar 2019
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7019105	8 Jan 2014	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0759-16	12-Oct-2016	11-Apr-2017
		GW-RN0839-16	20-Nov-2016	07-May-2017
		GW-RN0895-16	20-Dec-2016	11-Jun-2017
		GW-RN0214-17	30-Mar-2017	14-Sep 2017
		GW-RN0201-17	28-Mar-2017	14-Sep-2017
		GW-RN0288-17	26-Apr-2017	20-Sep-2017
		GW-RN0291-17	26-Apr-2017	20-Sep-2017
		GW-RN0318-17	09-May-2017	27-Oct-2017
6	Specified Process License (Mortar Plant Operation)	L-3-251(1)	12 Apr 2016	11 Apr 2021
<b>Contract 3</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 362101	17 Jul 2013	Till Contract ends
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number: No.:5113-634-C3817-01	7 Oct 2013	Till Contract ends
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.:WT00016832 – 2013	28 Aug 13	31 Aug 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017914	2 Aug 13	Till Contract ends
5	Construction Noise	GW-RN0756-16	18 Oct 2016	13 Apr 2017

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
	Permit	GW-RN0759-16	5 Nov 2016	29 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0833-16	13 Nov2016	10 May 2017
		GW-RN0843-16	18 Nov2016	17 May 2017
		GW-RN0870-16	30 Nov2016	13 May 2017
		GW-RN0871-16	29 Nov2016	20 May 2017
		GW-RN0872-16	29 Nov2016	20 May 2017
		GW-RN0901-16	11 Dec 2016	4 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0939-16	22 Dec 2016	21 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0002-17	8 Jan 2017	4 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0021-17	19 Jan 2017	8 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0029-17	21 Jan 2017	8 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0040-17	25 Jan 2017	24 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0048-17	3 Feb 2017	16 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0066-17	15 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0069-17	15 Feb 2017	14 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0070-17	3 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0071-17	16 Feb 2017	15 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0078-17	21 Feb 2017	21 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0084-17	8 Feb 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0096-17	19 Feb 2017	10 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0099-17	17 Feb 2017	12 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0111-17	26 Feb 2017	30 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0115-17	2 Mar 2017	26 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0161-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0168-17	2 Apr 2017	25 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0185-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0204-17	30 Mar 2017	29 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0213-17	6 Apr 2017	9 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0219-17	31 Mar 201	30 Sep 2017
		GW-RN0235-17	11 Apr 2017	7 Oct 2017
GW-RN0236-17	10 Apr 2017	16 Sep 2017		
GW-RN0302-17	30 Apr 2017	29 Oct 2017		
GW-RN0203-17	11 May 2017	10 Oct 2017		
GW-RN0205-17	30 Apr 2017	30 Jul 2017		
<b>Contract 5</b>				
<b>1</b>	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 359338	13 May 2013	Till the end of Contract
<b>2</b>	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-642-S3735-01	8 Jun 2013	Till the end of Contract

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: W5/1G44/1	8 Jun 13	30 Jun 2018
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7017351	29 Apr 13	Till the end of Contract
<b>Contract 6</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390614	29 Jun 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producers Number No.: 5213-652-C3969-01	31 Aug 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022707	9 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
4	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024574-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024576-2016	31 May 2016	31 May 2021
		No.: WT00024742-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
		No.: WT00024746-2016	14 June 2016	30 June 2021
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0003-17	16 Jan 2017	15 Jul 2017
		GW-RN0005-17	1 Apr 2017	30 Jun 2017
		GW-RN0062-17	27 Jan 2017	26 Apr 2017
		GW-RN0090-17	15 Feb 2017	14 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0126-17	3 Mar 2017	27 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0230-17	6 Apr 2017	27 May 2017
		GW-RN0251-17	17 Apr 2017	12 Oct 2017
<b>Contract SS C505</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 390974	13 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5213-642-L1048-07	16 Sep 2015	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024865-2016	8 Jul 2016	30 Nov 2020
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7022831	23 Jul 2015	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0803-16	5 Nov 2016	4 May 2017
		GW-RN0065-17	7 Feb 2017	6 Aug 2017
		GW-RN0290-17	5 May 2017	4 Nov 2017
<b>Contract 7</b>				

Item	Description	License/Permit Status		
		Ref. no.	Effective Date	Expiry Date
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No: 397015	21 Dec 2015	Till the end of Contract
2	Chemical Waste Producer Registration	Waste Producer No.: 5214-641-K3202-01	24 Mar 2016	Till the end of Contract
3	Water Pollution Control Ordinance - Discharge License	No.: WT00024422-2016	10 May 2016	31 May 2021
4	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024129	21 Jan 2016	Till the end of Contract
5	Construction Noise Permit	GW-RN0799-16	5 Nov 2016	4 May 2017
<b>Contract 4</b>				
1	Air pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation	Ref. No. 405353	22 July 2016	Till the end of Contract
2	Waste Disposal Regulation - Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste	Account No. 7024973	13 May 2016	Till the end of Contract

### 3 SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

#### 3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

#### 3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality;
- Construction noise; and
- Water quality

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

**Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements**

Environmental Issue	Parameters
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and</li> <li>• 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler.</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>L_{eq(30min)}</math> in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday; and</li> <li>• 3 sets of consecutive <math>L_{eq(5min)}</math> on restricted hours i.e. 19:00 to 07:00 next day, and whole day of public holiday or Sunday</li> <li>• Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as <math>L_{10}</math> and <math>L_{90}</math> shall also be obtained for reference.</li> </ul>
Water Quality	<b>In-situ Measurements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Concentration (mg/L);</li> <li>• Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (%);</li> <li>• Turbidity (NTU);</li> <li>• pH unit;</li> <li>• Water depth (m); and</li> <li>• Temperature (°C).</li> </ul>
	<b>Laboratory Analysis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suspended Solids (mg/L)</li> </ul>

#### 3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 The designated monitoring locations as recommended in the *EM&A Manual* are shown in *Appendix D*. As the access to some of the designated monitoring locations was questionable due to safety reason or denied by the landlords, alternative locations therefore have had proposed. The proposed alternative monitoring locations has updated in the revised EM&A Programme which verified by IEC and certified by ET Leader prior submitted to EPD on 10 July 2013. *Table 3-2*, *Table 3-3* and *Table 3-4* are respectively listed the air quality, construction noise and water quality monitoring locations for the Project and a map showing these monitoring stations is presented in *Appendix E*.

**Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations - Air Quality**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
AM1b^	Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
AM2	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM3	Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta	LMH to Frontier	Contract 6

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
	Kwu Ling Village.	Closed Area	
AM4b <sup>^</sup>	House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village	LMH to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
AM5a <sup>^</sup>	Ping Yeung Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM6	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
AM7b <sup>@</sup>	Loi Tung Village House	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2 Contract 6
AM8	Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
AM9b <sup>#</sup>	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

*# Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM9a to AM9b was submitted to EPD on 4 Nov 2013 after verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (15) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.10 dated 8 Nov 2013).*

*\* Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM1 to AM1a was submitted to EPD on 24 March 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (6) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).*

*@ Proposal for the change of air quality monitoring location from AM7a to AM7b was submitted to EPD on 4 June 2014 after verified by the IEC. It was approved by EPD (EPD's ref.: (7) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.12 dated 9 Jun 2014).*

*<sup>^</sup> Proposal for change of air quality monitoring locations was enclosed in the updated EM&A Programme which approval by EPD on 29 Mar 2016.*

**Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations - Construction Noise**

Station ID	Description	Works Area	Related to the Work Contract
NM1	Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63	BCP	SS C505 Contract 7
NM2a <sup>#</sup>	Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Lin Ma Hang to Frontier Closed Area	Contract 6
NM3	Ping Yeung Village House (facade facing northeast)	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM4	Wo Keng Shan Village House	Ping Yeung to Wo Keng Shan	Contract 6
NM5	Village House, Loi Tung	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM6	Tai Tong Wu Village House 2	Sha Tau Kok Road	Contract 2, Contract 6
NM7	Po Kat Tsai Village	Po Kat Tsai	Contract 2
NM8	Village House, Tong Hang	Fanling	Contract 2 Contract 3
NM9	Village House, Kiu Tau Village	Fanling	Contract 3
NM10	Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80	Fanling	Contract 3

*# Proposal for the change of construction noise monitoring location from NM2 to NM2a was verified by the IEC on 6 May 2016 and was effective on 9 May 2016.*

Table 3-4 Impact Monitoring Stations - Water Quality

Station ID	Description	Coordinates of Designated / Alternative Location		Nature of the location	Related to the Work Contract
		Easting	Northing		
WM1	Downstream of Kong Yiu Channel	833 679	845 421	Alternative location located at upstream 51m of the designated location	SS C505 Contract 6
WM1-Control	Upstream of Kong Yiu Channel	834 185	845 917	NA	SS C505 Contract 6
WM2A	Downstream of River Ganges	834 204	844 471	Alternative location located at upstream 81m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A(a)*	Downstream of River Ganges	834 191	844 474	Alternative location located at upstream 70m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2A-Controlx#	Upstream of River Ganges	835 377	844 188	Alternative location located at upstream 160m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM2B	Downstream of River Ganges	835 433	843 397	NA	Contract 6
WM2B-Control	Upstream of River Ganges	835 835	843 351	Alternative location located at downstream 31m of the designated location	Contract 6
WM3x#	Downstream of River Indus	836 206	842 270	Alternative location located at downstream 180m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM3-Control	Upstream of River Indus	836 763	842 400	Alternative location located at downstream 26m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 6
WM4	Downstream of Ma Wat Channel	833 850	838 338	Alternative location located at upstream 11m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control A	Kau Lung Hang Stream	834 028	837 695	Alternative location located at downstream 28m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3
WM4-Control B	Upstream of Ma Wat Channel	833760	837395	Alternative location located at upstream 15m of the designated location	Contract 2 Contract 3

Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which proposed that (1) if the measured water depth of the monitoring station is lower than 150 mm, alternative location based on the criteria were selected to perform water monitoring; and (2) If no suitable alternative location could be found within 15m far from the original location, the sampling at that location will be cancelled since sampling at too far from the designated location could not make a representative sample in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 4.1.4) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)

(\*) Proposal for the change of water monitoring location from WM2A to WM2A(a) was verified by the IEC and it was approved by EPD. (EPD's ref. (10) in EP 2/N7/A/52 Pt.19)

(#) Proposal for the change of water quality monitoring location (EM3x and WM2A-Cx) was included in the EM&A Programme Rev .05 which approved by EPD on 29 March 2016 (EPD ref.: (3) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.19)

### 3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

The requirements of impact monitoring are stipulated in Sections 2.1.6, 3.1.5 and 4.1.6 of the

approved *EM&A Manual* and presented as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

- 3.4.1 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:
- 1-hour TSP                      3 times every six days during course of works
  - 24-hour TSP                      Once every 6 days during course of works.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.4.2 One set of  $L_{eq(30min)}$  as 6 consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  between 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays and once every week during course of works. If construction work necessary to carry out at other time periods, i.e. restricted time period (19:00 to 07:00 the next morning and whole day on public holidays) (hereinafter referred as “the restricted hours”), additional weekly impact monitoring for  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurement shall be employed during respective restricted hours periods.. Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as  $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$  shall also be obtained for reference.

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.4.3 The water quality monitoring frequency shall be 3 days per week during course of works. The interval between two sets of monitoring shall not be less than 36 hours.

**3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT**

Air Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to approve.
- 3.5.2 The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.
- 3.5.3 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in **Table 3-5**.

**Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
<b>24-Hr TSP</b>	
High Volume Air Sampler	TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170*
Calibration Kit	TISCH Model TE-5025A*
<b>1-Hour TSP</b>	
Portable Dust Meter	Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter*

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

Wind Data Monitoring Equipment

- 3.5.4 According to the approved EM&A Manual, wind data monitoring equipment shall also be provided and set up for logging wind speed and wind direction near the dust monitoring locations. The equipment installation location shall be proposed by the ET and agreed with the IEC. For installation and operation of wind data monitoring equipment, the following points shall be observed:
- 1) The wind sensors should be installed 10 m above ground so that they are clear of obstructions or turbulence caused by buildings.
  - 2) The wind data should be captured by a data logger. The data shall be downloaded for analysis at least once a month.
  - 3) The wind data monitoring equipment should be re-calibrated at least once every six months.

4) Wind direction should be divided into 16 sectors of 22.5 degrees each.

- 3.5.5 ET has liaised with the landlords of the successful granted HVS installation premises. However, the owners rejected to provide premises for wind data monitoring equipment installation.
- 3.5.6 Under this situation, the ET proposed alternative methods to obtain representative wind data. Meteorological information as extracted from “the Hong Kong Observatory Ta Kwu Ling Station” is alternative method to obtain representative wind data. For Ta Kwu Ling Station, it is located nearby the Project site. Moreover, this station is located at 15m above mean sea level while its anemometer is located at 13m above the existing ground which in compliance with the general setting up requirement. Furthermore, this station also can be to provide the humidity, rainfall, and air pressure and temperature etc. meteorological information. In Hong Kong of a lot development projects, weather information extracted from Hong Kong Observatory is common alternative method if weather station installation not allowed.

Noise Monitoring

- 3.5.7 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.
- 3.5.8 Noise monitoring equipment to be used for monitoring is listed in *Table 3-6*.

**Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Integrating Sound Level Meter	B&K Type 2238* or Rion NL-31 or Rion NL-52*
Calibrator	B&K Type 4231* or Cesva CB-5 or Rion NC-74*
Portable Wind Speed Indicator	Testo Anemometer

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

- 3.5.9 Sound level meters listed above comply with the *International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1)* specifications, as recommended in TM issued under the NCO. The acoustic calibrator and sound level meter to be used in the impact monitoring will be calibrated yearly.

Water Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.10 DO and water temperature should be measured in-situ by a DO/temperature meter. The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a membrane electrode with automatic temperature compensation complete with a cable. The equipment should be capable of measuring:
- a DO level in the range of 0-20 mg/l and 0-200% saturation; and
  - a temperature of between 0 and 45 degree Celsius.
- 3.5.11 A portable pH meter capable of measuring a range between 0.0 and 14.0 should be provided to measure pH under the specified conditions accordingly to the APHA Standard Methods.
- 3.5.12 The instrument should be portable and weatherproof using a DC power source. It should have a photoelectric sensor capable of measuring turbidity between 0-1000 NTU.
- 3.5.13 A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measure will be used for the determination of water depth at each designated monitoring station as appropriate.
- 3.5.14 A water sampler e.g. Kahlsico Water Sampler, which is a transparent PVC cylinder with capacity not less than 2 litres, will be used for water sampling if water depth over than 0.5m. For

sampling from very shallow water depths e.g. <0.5 m, water sample collection will be directly from water surface below 100mm use sampling plastic bottle to avoid inclusion of bottom sediment or humus. Moreover, Teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling buckets maybe used for water sampling. The equipment used for sampling will be depended the sampling location and depth situations.

- 3.5.15 Water samples for laboratory measurement of SS will be collected in high density polythene bottles, packed in ice (cooled to 4 °C without being frozen), and delivered to the laboratory in the same day as the samples were collected.
- 3.5.16 Analysis of suspended solids should be carried out in a HOKLAS or other accredited laboratory. Water samples of about 1L should be collected at the monitoring stations for carrying out the laboratory suspended solids determination. The SS determination work should start within 24 hours after collection of the water samples. The SS analyses should follow the *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* with Limit of Reporting of 2 mg/L.
- 3.5.17 Water quality monitoring equipment used in the impact monitoring is listed in **Table 3-7**. Suspended solids (SS) analysis is carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited laboratory, namely *ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd*.

**Table 3-7 Water Quality Monitoring Equipment**

Equipment	Model
Water Depth Detector	Eagle Sonar or tape measures
Water Sampler	A 2-litre transparent PVC cylinder with latex cups at both ends or teflon/stainless steel bailer or self-made sampling bucket
Thermometer & DO meter	YSI Professional Plus /YSI PRO20 Handheld Dissolved Oxygen Instrument/ YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter*/ YSI Professional DSS
pH meter	YSI Professional Plus / AZ8685 pH pen-style meter*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Turbidimeter	Hach 2100Q*/ YSI 6820/ 650MDS/ YSI Professional DSS
Sample Container	High density polythene bottles (provided by laboratory)
Storage Container	‘Willow’ 33-liter plastic cool box with Ice pad

\* Instrument was used in the Reporting Period and the calibration certificate could be referred in Appendix F.

### 3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

#### 1-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
  - (a.) A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
  - (b.) A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
  - (c.) A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.

- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter is used within the valid period as follow manufacturer’s Operation and Service Manual.

#### 24-hour TSP Monitoring

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Tisch Environmental, Inc. Model TE-5170 TSP high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:

- (a.) An anodized aluminum shelter;
  - (b.) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
  - (c.) A blower motor assembly;
  - (d.) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
  - (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
  - (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
  - (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz
- 3.6.4 The HVS is operated and calibrated on a regular basis in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A. Calibration would carry out in two month interval.
- 3.6.5 24-hour TSP is collected by the ET on filters of HVS and quantified by a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory, ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd (ALS), upon receipt of the samples. The ET keep all the sampled 24-hour TSP filters in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% RH (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

#### Noise Monitoring

- 3.6.6 Noise measurements were taken in terms of the A-weighted equivalent sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ) measured in decibels dB(A). Supplementary statistical results ( $L_{10}$  and  $L_{90}$ ) were also obtained for reference.
- 3.6.7 During the monitoring, all noise measurements would be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level ( $L_{eq}$ ).  $L_{eq(30min)}$  in six consecutive  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements will use as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 0700-1900 hours on weekdays;  $L_{eq(5min)}$  measurements would be used as monitoring parameter for other time periods (e.g. during restricted hours), if necessary.
- 3.6.8 Prior of noise measurement, the accuracy of the sound level meter is checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. The checking is performed before and after the noise measurement.

#### Water Quality

- 3.6.9 Water quality monitoring is conducted at the designated or alternative locations. The sampling procedures with the in-situ monitoring are presented as below:

##### *Sampling Procedure*

- 3.6.10 A Digital Global Positioning System (GPS) is used to identify the designated monitoring stations prior to water sampling. A portable, battery-operated echo sounder or tape measurement is used for the determination of water depth at each station. At each station, water sample would be collected from 0.1m below water surface or the water surface to prevent the river bed sediment for stirring.
- 3.6.11 The sample container will be rinsed with a portion of the water sample. The water sample then will be transferred to the high-density polythene bottles as provided by the laboratory, labeled with a unique sample number and sealed with a screw cap.
- 3.6.12 Before sampling, general information such as the date and time of sampling, weather condition as well as the personnel responsible for the monitoring would be recorded on the field data sheet.
- 3.6.13 A 'Willow' 33-liter plastic cool box packed with ice will be used to preserve the water samples prior to arrival at the laboratory for chemical determination. The water temperature of the cool box is maintained at a temperature as close to 4°C as possible without being frozen. Samples collected are delivered to the laboratory upon collection.

#### In-situ Measurement

- 3.6.14 YSI 550A Multifunctional Meter is used for water in-situ measures, which automates the measurements and data logging of temperature, dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen saturation.
- 3.6.15 A portable AZ Model 8685 is used for in-situ pH measurement. The pH meter is capable of measuring pH in the range of 0 – 14 and readable to 0.1.
- 3.6.16 A portable Hach 2100Q Turbidimeter is used for in-situ turbidity measurement. The turbidity meter is capable of measuring turbidity in the range of 0 – 1000 NTU.
- 3.6.17 All in-situ measurement equipment are calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month interval.

Laboratory Analysis

- 3.6.18 All water samples analyzed Suspended Solids (SS) will be carried out by a local HOKLAS-accredited testing laboratory (ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd HOKLAS registration no. 66). SS determination using *APHA Standard Methods 2540D* as specified in the *EM&A Manual* will start within 48 hours of water sample receipt.

**3.7 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION**

- 3.7.1 Calibration of the HVS is performed upon installation and thereafter at bimonthly intervals in accordance with the manufacturer’s instruction using the certified standard calibrator (TISCH Model TE-5025A). Moreover, the Calibration Kit would be calibrated annually. The calibration data are properly documented and the records are maintained by ET for future reference.
- 3.7.2 The 1-hour TSP meter was calibrated by the supplier prior to purchase. Zero response of the equipment would be checked before and after each monitoring event. Annually calibration with the High Volume Sampler (HVS) in same condition would be undertaken by the Laboratory.
- 3.7.3 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis.
- 3.7.4 All water quality monitoring equipment would be calibrated by HOKLAS accredited laboratory of three month intervals.
- 3.7.5 The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in *Appendix F*.

**3.8 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS**

- 3.8.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise and water quality criteria were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in *Tables 3-8, 3-9* and *3-10*.

**Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring**

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM1b	265	143	500	260
AM2	268	149		
AM3	269	145		
AM4b	267	148		
AM5a	268	143		
AM6	269	148		
AM7b	275	156		

Monitoring Station	Action Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )		Limit Level ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	
	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP	1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP
AM8	269	144		
AM9b	271	151		

**Table 3-9 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise**

Monitoring Location	Action Level	Limit Level in dB(A)
	Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays	
NM1, NM2a, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8, NM9, NM10	When one or more documented complaints are received	75 dB(A) <sup>Note 1 &amp; Note 2</sup>

Note 1: Acceptable Noise Levels for school should be reduced to 70 dB(A) and 65 dB(A) during examination period

Note 2: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.

**Table 3-10 Action and Limit Levels for Water Quality**

Parameter	Performance criteria	Monitoring Location				
		WM1	WM2A(a)	WM2B	WM3x	WM4
DO (mg/L)	Action Level	(*)4.23	(**)4.00	(*)4.74	(**)4.00	(*)4.14
	Limit Level	(#)4.19	(**)4.00	(#)4.60	(**)4.00	(#)4.08
Turbidity (NTU)	Action Level	51.3	24.9	11.4	13.4	35.2
	Limit Level	AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day				
SS (mg/L)	Action Level	54.5	14.6	11.8	12.6	39.4
	Limit Level	AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day				

**Remarks:**

(\*) The Proposed **Action Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 5%-ile of baseline data

(\*\*) The Proposed **Action & Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is used 4mg/L

(#) The Proposed **Limit Level** of Dissolved Oxygen is adopted to be used 1%-ile of baseline data

3.8.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in **Appendix G**.

**3.9 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL**

3.9.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.9.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

## 4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

### 4.1 GENERAL

4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505. Hence, air quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations.

4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 4.2 AIR QUALITY MONITORING RESULTS

4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **150** events of 1-hour TSP and **45** events 24-hours TSP monitoring were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM1b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Apr-17	83	6-Apr-17	9:15	147	118	112
11-Apr-17	66	12-Apr-17	9:40	90	87	87
17-Apr-17	80	18-Apr-17	9:32	131	122	123
22-Apr-17	78	24-Apr-17	9:25	64	54	53
28-Apr-17	77	28-Apr-17	9:40	59	52	60
Average (Range)	<b>77</b> (66 – 83)	Average (Range)		<b>91</b> (52 – 147)		

**Table 4-2 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM2**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Apr-17	125	6-Apr-17	9:11	160	126	121
11-Apr-17	97	12-Apr-17	9:48	115	112	107
17-Apr-17	79	18-Apr-17	9:37	139	124	123
22-Apr-17	113	24-Apr-17	9:32	70	68	63
28-Apr-17	144	28-Apr-17	9:49	76	74	72
Average (Range)	<b>112</b> (79 – 144)	Average (Range)		<b>103</b> (63 – 160)		

**Table 4-3 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM3**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Apr-17	111	6-Apr-17	9:06	141	108	94
11-Apr-17	104	12-Apr-17	9:57	113	116	107
17-Apr-17	97	18-Apr-17	9:41	144	147	142
22-Apr-17	118	24-Apr-17	9:41	64	73	76
28-Apr-17	135	28-Apr-17	9:58	62	62	68
Average (Range)	<b>113</b> (97 – 135)	Average (Range)		<b>101</b> (62 – 147)		

**Table 4-4 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM4b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
6-Apr-17	54	1-Apr-17	8:57	83	75	75
12-Apr-17	46	7-Apr-17	9:00	95	82	112
18-Apr-17	99	13-Apr-17	9:23	114	130	132
24-Apr-17	72	19-Apr-17	10:31	118	134	136
29-Apr-17	71	25-Apr-17	9:23	100	106	108
		29-Apr-17	12:48	109	107	104
Average (Range)	<b>68</b> (46 – 99)	Average (Range)		<b>107</b> (75 – 136)		

**Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM5a**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
6-Apr-17	55	1-Apr-17	9:01	78	71	71
12-Apr-17	64	7-Apr-17	9:02	74	59	82
18-Apr-17	108	13-Apr-17	9:20	113	127	131
24-Apr-17	80	19-Apr-17	10:28	123	138	142
29-Apr-17	64	25-Apr-17	9:19	108	119	120
		29-Apr-17	12:45	117	120	115
Average (Range)	<b>74</b> (55 – 108)	Average (Range)		<b>106</b> (59 – 142)		

**Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM6**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
6-Apr-17	145	1-Apr-17	9:13	80	72	73
12-Apr-17	124	7-Apr-17	9:08	111	141	116
18-Apr-17	131	13-Apr-17	9:10	120	122	123
24-Apr-17	126	19-Apr-17	10:24	137	139	140
29-Apr-17	147	25-Apr-17	9:12	102	114	113
		29-Apr-17	9:35	89	82	80
Average (Range)	<b>135</b> (126 – 147)	Average (Range)		<b>109</b> (72 – 141)		

**Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM7b**

Date	24-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )	1-hour TSP ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
6-Apr-17	36	1-Apr-17	13:00	76	72	75
12-Apr-17	62	7-Apr-17	9:13	107	137	111
18-Apr-17	123	13-Apr-17	9:00	108	110	111
24-Apr-17	86	19-Apr-17	10:20	131	121	130
29-Apr-17	88	25-Apr-17	9:07	99	120	118
		29-Apr-17	9:28	82	84	80
Average (Range)	<b>79</b> (36 – 123)	Average (Range)		<b>104</b> (72 – 137)		

**Table 4-8 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM8**

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
6-Apr-17	56	1-Apr-17	13:04	78	76	79
12-Apr-17	107	7-Apr-17	13:00	78	64	86
18-Apr-17	104	13-Apr-17	13:00	98	88	97
24-Apr-17	87	19-Apr-17	10:15	124	126	128
29-Apr-17	97	25-Apr-17	12:45	93	107	111
		29-Apr-17	9:18	88	91	87
Average (Range)	<b>90</b> <b>(56 – 107)</b>	Average (Range)		<b>94</b> <b>(64 -128)</b>		

**Table 4-9 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results – AM9b**

Date	24-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1-hour TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )				
		Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> reading	2 <sup>nd</sup> reading	3 <sup>rd</sup> reading
5-Apr-17	35	6-Apr-17	13:26	120	110	90
11-Apr-17	45	10-Apr-17	13:18	48	58	48
17-Apr-17	39	18-Apr-17	13:10	77	89	91
22-Apr-17	49	24-Apr-17	10:04	59	63	59
28-Apr-17	34	28-Apr-17	9:34	75	77	64
Average (Range)	<b>40</b> <b>(35 – 49)</b>	Average (Range)		<b>75</b> <b>(48 – 120)</b>		

4.2.1 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-9*, all the 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results were below the Action/Limit Levels. No Notification of Exceedance (NOE) was issued in this Reporting Period.

4.2.2 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix K*.

## 5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

### 5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project have been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and noise monitoring was performed at all designated locations.
- 5.1.2 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (NORMAL DAYTIME)

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **40** event noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations. The sound level meter was set in 1m from the exterior of the building façade including noise monitoring locations NM1, NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6, NM7, NM8 and NM9. Therefore, no façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines. However, free-field status were performed at NM2a and NM10 and façade correction (+3 dB(A)) has added according to the requirement in this month. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM2a <sup>(*)</sup>	NM8	NM9	NM10 <sup>(*)</sup>
6-Apr-17	61	75	59	62	62
12-Apr-17	61	70	56	66	61
18-Apr-17	56	71	60	62	62
24-Apr-17	55	67	61	64	61
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

Remarks

<sup>(\*)</sup> façade correction (+3 dB(A)) is added according to acoustical principles and EPD guidelines

**Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq30min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM3	NM4	NM5	NM6	NM7
7-Apr-17	57	63	55	58	60
13-Apr-17	59	62	53	61	57
19-Apr-17	59	62	53	61	55
25-Apr-17	64	65	53	59	65
<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>75 dB(A)</b>				

- 5.2.2 As shown in *Tables 5-1 and 5-2*, the noise level measured at all designated monitoring locations were below 75dB(A). Moreover, no valid noise complaint (which triggered Action Level exceedance) was recorded in the Reporting Period.

### 5.3 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS (RESTRICTED HOURS)

- 5.3.1 In the Reporting Period, CNPs were granted by Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 for use of Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME) during restricted hour. As confirmed by both Contractors with their works schedules, construction works would be conducted at Contract 2, 3, 6 and SS C505 during restricted hours with the granted CNP. Noise monitoring was therefore conducted at the relevant noise monitoring locations during respective restricted hour periods.
- 5.3.2 Based on the works schedule by the Contractor of Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505, the involved noise monitoring locations included NM1, NM4, NM5, NM7, NM8, NM9 and NM10 and the noise monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 5-3 and 5-4*.

**Table 5-3 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results (Evening Time)**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq5min}$ ), dB(A)					
Date	NM1	NM4	NM5	NM7	NM8
	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$
7-Apr-17	47	58	59	58	59
Observation/ other noise source	noise from vehicle and occasionally dogs barking from village	noise from vehicle and occasionally dogs barking from village	Noise from vehicle parking, occasionally dogs barking from village	Noise from water flowing in the gully, occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM8 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village

*Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.*

**Table 5-4 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results (Night Time)**

Construction Noise Level ( $L_{eq5min}$ ), dB(A)			
Date (#)	NM5	NM7	NM8
	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$	$L_{eq5min}$
7-Apr-17	44	56	60
Observation/ other noise source	Noise from vehicle parking, occasionally dogs barking from village	Noise from water flowing in the gully, occasionally dogs barking from village	Traffic noise from trains as NM8 close to train tracks and occasionally dogs barking from village

*Remarks: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the NCA have to be followed.*

*Note: EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) on 7 April 2017 which proposed that noise measurement during restricted hours periods is not necessary to perform accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 3.1.5) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20)*

- 5.3.3 According to the site records by the monitoring team, no construction noise from the construction was noted during the course of monitoring at all locations. On the other hand, traffic noise was dominated at NM8 since the monitoring locations were closed to the train tracks and occasionally noise from vehicle and dogs barking were recorded at all stations. Therefore, it is considered that the measurement results were likely to be the background noise.
- 5.3.4 In the Reporting Period, EPD has approved the revised EM&A Programme (Rev.7) which included the proposal for not necessary to perform noise measurement during restricted hours periods. Therefore, noise measurement during restricted hours periods will be ceased on 7 April 2017 in accordance with the updated EM&A Programme (Rev. 07) (Section 3.1.5) (EPD ref.: ( ) in EP2/N7/A/52 Ax(1) Pt.20 dated 7 April 2017)

## 6 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

### 6.1 GENERAL

6.1.1 In the Reporting Period, construction works under the project has been commenced in Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 and water quality monitoring was performed at all designated locations. The water quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix H*. The monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

### 6.2 RESULTS OF WATER QUALITY MONITORING

6.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of twelve (12) sampling days was scheduled to carry out for all designated locations with their control stations. Since exceedances were recorded at WM1, WM2A(a), WM4 and WM3x, according to “*Event and Action Plan*” stipulation, one (1) additional water quality monitoring day was conducted for WM1 and three (3) additional water quality monitoring days were conducted for WM2A(a), WM4 and WM3x and their control stations in the reporting period.

6.2.2 The key monitoring parameters including Dissolved Oxygen, Turbidity and Suspended Solids are summarized in *Tables 6-1 to 6-5*. Breaches of water quality monitoring criteria are shown in *Table 6-6*. Detailed monitoring database including in-situ measurements and laboratory analysis data are shown in *Appendix I* and the relevant graphical plot are shown in *Appendix J*.

**Table 6-1 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 2 and 3**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)			Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB	WM4	WM4-CA	WM4-CB
3-Apr-17	7.8	8.5	6.3	11.7	7.2	16.2	12.0	7.5	14.0
6-Apr-17	6.4	7.8	4.8	7.8	5.4	19.2	8.0	4.5	32.0
8-Apr-17	7.2	8.3	6.3	14.6	8.3	18.8	17.0	3.5	21.5
10-Apr-17	6.5	7.6	4.7	11.3	7.0	17.5	14.5	4.5	14.5
12-Apr-17	6.6	7.9	4.9	33.0	7.3	20.2	18.5	4.0	19.5
14-Apr-17	7.1	8.2	4.4	25.4	10.9	47.1	13.0	7.0	41.5
18-Apr-17	6.8	7.5	5.3	20.3	5.0	17.7	19.0	4.5	17.5
20-Apr-17	6.2	7.0	4.6	7.8	2.2	17.7	9.5	22.0	22.0
22-Apr-17	7.4	7.9	5.7	<b><u>279.0</u></b>	20.8	59.2	<b><u>209.0</u></b>	15.5	52.0
24-Apr-17	6.9	7.6	5.5	22.9	10.5	15.4	16.0	6.0	17.0
25-Apr-17#	#	#	#	22.8	4.0	18.9	26.0	4.0	17.0
26-Apr-17	6.6	7.4	5.7	31.6	6.9	17.6	34.5	4.0	13.5
28-Apr-17	7.0	7.5	5.2	33.2	11.2	14.8	23.0	4.5	12.0

**Remarks:** bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance

# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.

**Table 6-2 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated of Contracts 5, 6 and SS C505**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
1-Apr-17#	#	#	414.5	355.0	216.0	199.0
3-Apr-17	8.2	6.1	57.3	60.8	48.0	75.5
6-Apr-17	6.9	5.2	59.0	49.3	37.5	45.0
8-Apr-17	7.9	6.6	85.1	76.6	83.0	104.0
10-Apr-17	4.9	5.1	77.3	75.9	61.5	81.0
12-Apr-17	7.6	5.0	40.1	65.8	42.0	90.0
14-Apr-17	7.1	6.2	361.5	347.5	172.5	173.0
18-Apr-17	5.4	3.7	75.1	67.5	63.0	50.0
20-Apr-17	5.1	4.6	86.3	185.0	40.5	262.0
22-Apr-17	6.9	7.5	<b><u>621.5</u></b>	78.1	<b><u>569.0</u></b>	54.0
24-Apr-17	5.4	6.2	175.5	366.0	145.0	170.5
25-Apr-17#	#	#	<b><u>135.0</u></b>	69.0	<b><u>91.0</u></b>	45.0

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C	WM1	WM1-C
26-Apr-17	5.0	6.2	<b><u>315.5</u></b>	224.5	<b><u>140.5</u></b>	96.0
27-Apr-17	#	#	171.5	297.5	106.0	346.0
28-Apr-17	6.4	6.5	130.0	112.5	79.0	124.5

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*  
*# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*

**Table 6-3 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated only Contract 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				Turbidity (NTU)				Suspended Solids (mg/L)			
	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C	WM2A(a)	WM2A-Cx	WM2B	WM2B-C
3-Apr-17	8.5	8.1	8.3	9.5	14.8	10.6	10.9	4.0	14.5	6.0	11.0	5.5
6-Apr-17	7.2	6.9	7.4	8.5	13.7	9.8	8.5	5.3	12.5	4.0	5.0	<2
8-Apr-17	6.9	7.0	7.2	8.1	23.9	11.8	11.1	3.5	<b><u>25.5</u></b>	4.0	11.0	2.5
10-Apr-17	7.1	5.3	7.3	8.2	22.5	14.1	10.5	7.0	<b><u>20.0</u></b>	12.0	9.0	5.5
12-Apr-17	6.8	6.6	*	*	18.6	15.9	*	*	10.0	4.0	*	*
14-Apr-17	7.4	6.8	*	*	<b><u>77.8</u></b>	17.9	*	*	<b><u>47.0</u></b>	5.5	*	*
15-Apr-17#	#	#	*	*	10.2	10.5	*	*	2.0	4.0	*	*
18-Apr-17	6.9	6.4	*	*	12.1	12.8	*	*	3.5	3.5	*	*
20-Apr-17	6.4	5.8	*	*	19.5	11.2	*	*	6.5	7.0	*	*
22-Apr-17	6.7	7.1	*	*	<b><u>47.9</u></b>	19.2	*	*	<b><u>37.5</u></b>	9.0	*	*
24-Apr-17	7.3	7.2	*	*	11.1	12.7	*	*	4.0	6.5	*	*
25-Apr-17#	#	#	*	*	17.1	22.6	*	*	5.0	7.0	*	*
26-Apr-17	7.1	6.5	*	*	<b><u>100.5</u></b>	14.9	*	*	<b><u>30.5</u></b>	7.5	*	*
27-Apr-17#	#	#	*	*	10.1	25.8	*	*	5.0	13.0	*	*
28-Apr-17	7.6	6.7	*	*	50.5	59.8	*	*	69.0	58.5	*	*

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*  
*# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*  
*\* water sampling was unable to carry out at WM2B and WM2B-C due to shallow water (water depth under 150mm)*

**Table 6-4 Water Quality Monitoring Results Associated Contracts 2 and 6**

Date	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)		Turbidity (NTU)		Suspended Solids (mg/L)	
	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C	WM3x	WM3-C
1-Apr-17#	#	#	10.8	6.5	12.0	10.0
3-Apr-17	8.9	8.0	15.9	34.9	15.5	55.5
6-Apr-17	7.5	7.5	6.4	4.4	8.5	6.5
8-Apr-17	7.7	7.1	8.2	2.6	9.0	9.0
10-Apr-17	7.8	6.2	9.2	11.6	10.0	63.5
12-Apr-17	6.8	7.1	<b><u>59.4</u></b>	3.9	<b><u>75.5</u></b>	<2
13-Apr-17#	#	#	<b><u>52.8</u></b>	9.7	<b><u>38.0</u></b>	13.0
14-Apr-17	7.0	7.9	<b><u>49.5</u></b>	10.4	<b><u>37.5</u></b>	9.0
15-Apr-17#	#	#	10.9	11.4	7.0	7.0
18-Apr-17	7.7	7.2	9.8	12.4	5.0	10.0
20-Apr-17	7.5	7.0	7.6	8.7	<2	10.5
22-Apr-17	7.4	7.3	11.7	6.6	6.0	10.0
24-Apr-17	7.4	6.9	13.2	3.4	12.0	5.5
26-Apr-17	6.3	6.7	13.1	3.7	10.5	5.5
28-Apr-17	7.3	38.9	10.4	7.2	3.5	<2

**Remarks:** *bold with underline indicated Limit Level exceedance*  
*# Additional water quality monitoring at the exceeded location(s) due to two consecutive monitoring days indicated Limit Level exceedance.*

**Table 6-5 Action and Limit (A/L) Levels Exceedance Recorded**

Location	Dissolved Oxygen		Turbidity		Suspended Solids		Total Exceedance		Project Related exceedance	
	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL	AL	LL
WM1	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	6	0	#
WM2A(a)	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	8	0	#
WM2B	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WM3x	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	6	0	0
WM4	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	#
<b>No of Exceedance</b>	0	0	0	10	0	12	0	22	0	#

Remark: (#) exceedances recorded on 22, 25 and 26 April 2017 were under investigation.

- 6.2.3 In this Reporting Period, a total of twenty-two (22) Limit Level (LL) exceedances, namely ten (10) LL exceedance of turbidity and twelve (12) LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project and they are summarized in Table 6-5. According to the investigation result, the exceedances recorded on 8, 10, 12, 13 and 14 April 2017 were concluded as non-project related and the exceedances 22, 25 and 26 April 2017 were under investigation.
- 6.2.4 NOE was issued to relevant parties upon confirmation of the monitoring result. The investigation results and summary of exceedances are summarized in **Table 6-6**. The details of the completed investigation reports for the exceedances are attached in **Appendix N**.

**Table 6-6 Summary of Water Quality Exceedance in the Reporting Period**

Date of Exceedance	Location	Exceeded Parameter	Cause of Water Quality Exceedance In Brief
31 March 2017	WM1	NTU & SS	Investigation report revealed that exceedances were likely related to the impact of rain and not due to works under both Contract 6 and Contract SS C505,
31 March 2017	WM3	NTU & SS	Investigation report revealed that exceedances were likely related to the impact of rain and storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and not likely caused by works under Contract 2 and Contract 6.
8, 10 and 14 April 2017	WM2A(a)	NTU & SS	Investigation report revealed that the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the exceedance days and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the exceedances on 8, 10 and 14 April 2017 were due to natural variation and not caused by the works under the Contract.
12, 13 and 14 April 2017	WM3x	NTU & SS	Investigation report revealed that exceedances were likely related to the impact of rain and storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and not likely caused by works under Contract 2 and Contract 6.
22, 25 and 26 April 2017	WM1	NTU & SS	The investigation is underway.
22 April 2017	WM4	NTU & SS	The investigation is underway.
22 and 26 April 2017	WM2A(a)	NTU & SS	The investigation is underway.

**7 ECOLOGY MONITORING**

**7.1 GENERAL**

- 7.1.1 Ecology monitoring for woodland compensation shall be conducted at bi-monthly interval. The last ecological monitoring report (Jan-Feb 2017) was submitted to EPD in March 2017. In the Reporting Report, the ecological monitoring was carried out on 30 March and 5 April 2017

## 8 WASTE MANAGEMENT

### 8.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

8.1.1 Waste management was carried out in accordance with the Waste Management Plan (WMP) for each contract.

### 8.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

8.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

8.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 8-1* and *8-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix L*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

**Table 8-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
C&D Materials (Inert) (in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	40.2517	--	1.004	--	9.792	--	1.473	--	3.136	--	55.6567
Reused in this Contract (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	2.1348	--	0.036	--	0	--	0	--	0.13919	--	2.30999
Reused in other Contracts/ Projects (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	30.9507	C6/ NENT# & other projects approved by the ER	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	30.9507
Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) (in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )	7.1663	Tuen Mun 38	0.903	Tuen Mun 38	9.792	Tuen Mun 38	1.473	Tuen Mun 38	2.9835	TKO 137	22.3178

Remark #: The C&D materials were delivered to NENT for reuse by laying cover of the landfilling area.

**Table 8-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes for the Project**

Type of Waste	Contract 2		Contract 3		Contract 6		Contract 7		Contract SS C505		Total Quantity
	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	Qty.	Disposal location	
Recycled Metal ('000kg) #	203.400	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	3.1	Licensed collector	148.11	Licensed collector	354.61
Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) #	0.3000	Licensed collector	0	-	0	-	0.04	Licensed collector	0.3	Licensed collector	0.64
Recycled Plastic ('000kg) #	2.0983	Licensed collector	0.004	Licensed collector	0	--	0.001	Licensed collector	1.223	Licensed collector	3.3223 + 0.004#
Chemical Wastes ('000kg) #	11.2640	Licensed collector	0	-	0	--	0	--	0	--	11.264
General Refuses ('000m <sup>3</sup> )	0.3862	NENT	0.075	NENT	0.162	NENT	0.02	NENT	0.3575	NENT	1.0007

Remark #: Unit of recycled metal, recycled paper/ cardboard packing, recycled plastic and chemical waste for Contract 3 was in ('000m<sup>3</sup>).

## 9 SITE INSPECTION

### 9.1 REQUIREMENTS

9.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should carry out to confirm the environmental performance.

### 9.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH

#### Contract 2

9.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 2 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **7, 12, 21 and 28 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.2 The findings / deficiencies of *Contract 2* that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 9-1*.

**Table 9-1 Site Observations for Contract 2**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
7 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
12 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
21 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contaminated surface run-off should be diverted to de-silting facilities prior discharge from site. (North Portal)</li> <li>It was reminded that ponding water cumulated on site should be removed or provide mitigation measures to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The pump was replaced immediately and the contaminated surface run-off was pumped into the de-silting tank prior discharge.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
28 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ponding water cumulated inside the drip tray was observed. Ponding water should be removed to maintain the function of drip tray. (South Portal)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The concerned drip tray was removed.</li> </ul>

#### Contract 3

9.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 3 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 10, 19 and 24 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.4 The findings / deficiencies of *Contract 3* that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 9-2*.

**Table 9-2 Site Observations for Contract 3**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
3 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
10 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
19 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction waste accumulated on site was observed, the Contractor should clean up the waste and maintain the site cleanliness. (Location: Pier AC12)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction waste was removed from site.</li> </ul>
24 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

**Contract 6**

9.2.5 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 6 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **6, 13, 20 and 27 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.6 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 6** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-4**.

**Table 9-4 Site Observations for Contract 6**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
6 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
13 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>
20 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was reminded that all site surface run-off should be diverted to proper desilting facilities before discharge from site.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
27 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No adverse environmental issue was observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NA</li> </ul>

**Contract SS C505**

9.2.7 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract SS C505 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **5, 12, and 19 and 26 April 2017** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **26 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.8 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract SS C505** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-5**.

**Table 9-5 Site Observations for Contract SS C505**

Date	Findings / Deficiencies	Follow-Up Status
5 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was observed on the ground level at work area of PTB. The contractor was advised to clear the stagnant water or spray with larvicidal oil to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Stagnant water was removed on the ground level at work area of PTB.</li> </ul>
12 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It was reminded that drip tray should be provided for all chemical storage on site.</li> <li>It was reminded that ponding water should be cleaned after rainstorm to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>
19 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Free-standing chemical container without drip tray was observed at M floor of PTB. The Contractor should place the container into drip tray to prevent land contamination.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The chemical container was removed from site</li> </ul>
26 April 2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical containers without drip tray were observed at PTB. The Contractor should place the containers into drip ray to avoid land contamination.</li> <li>Stagnant water was observed at drip tray at PTB. The Contractor should remove the stagnant water to prevent mosquito breeding.</li> <li>The Contractor was reminded to remove stagnant water on site after rainy days.#</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Chemical container were placed on drip tray.</li> <li>Stagnant water on drip tray was removed.</li> <li>Not required for reminder.</li> </ul>

**Contract 7**

9.2.9 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspection for Contract 7 to evaluate the site environmental performance has been carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and the Contractor on **3, 11, 18 and 25 April 2017**. No non-compliance was noted.

9.2.10 The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 7** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 9-6**.

**Table 9-6 Site Observations for Contract 7**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Findings / Deficiencies</b>	<b>Follow-Up Status</b>
3 April 2017	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
11 April 2017	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
18 April 2017	• No adverse environmental issue was observed.	• NA
25 April 2017	• Open stockpile was observed on site, the Contractor should cover the stockpile to prevent surface run-off.	• The stockpile was covered entirely.

9.2.11 General housekeeping such as daily site tidiness and cleanliness should be maintained for all Contracts. Furthermore, the Contractors were reminded to implement Waste Management Plan of the Project.

**Other Contracts**

9.2.12 Since the construction work of Contract 5 has substantially completed and Contract 4 has not commenced, no site inspection was performed.

## 10 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

### 10.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

10.1.1 In the Reporting Period, no summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for all Contracts. However, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue related to Contracts 2 and 6. The investigation details for the complaint and status are presented below.

#### **Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by RE on 6 and 7 March 2017 (Contract 2) (last Reporting Period)**

10.1.2 AECOM received a complaint from the public regarding construction noise from operation of a tower crane near Loi Tung Village at night time which affecting the resting time of the villagers. According to the details from the complainant, it was suspected the complaint location should be North Portal of Contract 2 (DHK).

10.1.3 According to EPD's record, DHK has granted two construction noise permits for works within North Portal. CNP GW-RN0759-16 is applicable for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment from 19:00 – 23:00 and it included the operation of tower crane. CNP GW-RN0895-16 is granted for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment from 23:00 – 07:00 of next day and tower crane is not covered.

10.1.4 According to the site diaries on 6 and 7 March 2017 provided by DHK, the tower cranes were in operation between 07:30 and 18:30 only on both 6 and 7 March 2017 and there were no PME in use outside the tunnel during 2300-0700. As advised by DHK, the grouping of PME in used inside the tunnel were strictly followed the CNP's conditions (GW-RN0895-16) and the grouping of PME would not be operated simultaneously in the light of the tunnel construction sequence.

10.1.5 Joint inspection by RE, IEC, DHK and ET was carried out on 24 March 2017. It was observed that tower cranes were located within the works area of North Portal as specified in the CNP. As advised by DHK, for safety and security purpose, the lighting of the tower cranes powered by CLP would be turned on throughout the night time for illumination. Since the lighting doesn't involve any PME, there should not be any non-compliance to the Noise control Ordinance.

10.1.6 In our investigation, there should not be any operation of tower crane between 19:00 and 07:00 at the subject period. It is considered that the complaint is due to misunderstanding of tower crane in operation and it should be invalid to Contract 2. Nevertheless, DHK was reminded not to violate CNP conditions.

#### **Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by Contract 2's hotline on 1 April 2017 (Contract 2)**

10.1.7 The complainant called the hotline of Contract 2 to enquire about the approved works hour in Mid-Vent Portal as operation of tower crane was observed after 11pm which generated significant noise. AECOM organized a meeting with the participation of DHK and the complainant on 8 April 2017 and the complainant further mentioned that noise nuisance was noted between 29 and 31 March 2017.

10.1.8 According to EPD's record, DHK has granted two construction noise permits for works within Mid-Vent Portal. CNP GW-RN0214-17 is applicable for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment from 19:00 – 23:00 and also 07:00 – 23:00 on general holidays including Sunday which including the operation of tower crane. CNP GW-RN0201-17 is granted for the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment from 23:00 – 07:00 of next day without operation of tower crane.

10.1.9 As advised by DHK, operation of tower crane is managed by the Mid-Vent building team. Since there is no night shift for building works, no building activity and tower crane operation were

recorded after 19:00 during 29 to 31 March 2017. Moreover, during restricted hour, the grouping of PME in used inside the tunnel were strictly followed the CNP's conditions (GW-RN0895-16) and the grouping of PME would not be operated simultaneously in the light of the tunnel construction sequence.

- 10.1.10 Joint inspection by RE, IEC, DHK and ET was carried out on 7 April 2017. It was observed that tower crane was located within the works area of North Portal as specified in the CNP. Furthermore, DHK advised that the lighting of tower crane is powered by CLP and it turns on throughout the night time for illumination as well as safety and security purpose. Since lighting does not involve any PME, there should not be any non-compliance to the Noise Control Ordinance.
- 10.1.11 In our investigation, there should not be any operation of tower crane between 19:00 and 07:00 at the subject period and the construction activities carried out during restricted hour were followed the CNP requirement, therefore, it is considered that the complaint is not valid to Contract 2. Nevertheless, DHK was reminded not to violate CNP conditions.

**Investigation Result for the Documented Complaint received by 1823 on 10 April 2017 (Contract 2 and Contract 6)**

- 10.1.12 A public complaint was received from 1823 on 10 April 2017 regarding muddy water found on Sha Tau Kok (STK) Road – Lung Yeuk Tau Section. The complainant complained that due to frequent entry and exit of dump truck from the construction site, excessive muddy water was generated during wheel washing and cumulated on road surface, causing slippery road and pose dangerous to the driver. He requested the related department to follow up. According to the description from the complainant, the complaint location was Sha Tau Kok Road - Lung Yeuk Tau Section. Nevertheless, the active construction sites under the Project are all located near the junction of Sha Tau Kok Road and Wo Keng Shan (WKS) Road.
- 10.1.13 Joint site inspection was carried out by RE, IEC, Contractors and ET on 20 and 21 April 2017 at the suspected four (4) site exits along the Sha Tau Kok Road and Wo Keng Shan Road for the complaint investigation. The aim of the inspection was to examine the condition of the existing site exit and the implementation the wheel washing facilities and to assess the possibility of muddy water discharge out of the construction site to the public road. The observations during the site inspection are summarized in below.

**North Portal under Contract 2**

- 10.1.14 Site inspection was conducted on 21 April 2017 at the concerned site exit SA18 of North Portal under Contract 2 on Sha Tau Kok Road. It was observed that wheel washing facilities were provided within the construction site and each dump truck was thoroughly cleaned by wheel washing facility before leaving SA18. Moreover, cut-off drain and sedimentation tank were in place at SA18 to prevent any muddy water discharging to STK road. As advised by the Contractor of Contract 2 (DHK), WKS road and STK road were cleaned regularly by water wagon. According to the investigation on 21 April 2017, the condition of the site exit was clean and satisfactory and no trails of mud and muddy water were observed on the adjacent Sha Tau Kok Road.

**Proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange under Contract 6**

- 10.1.15 Site inspection was conducted on 20 April 2017. The site exit of proposed Sha Tau Kok Interchange under Contract 6 was located at the junction of Sha Tau Kok Road and Wo Keng Shan Road. Wheel washing facilities was properly implemented at the site exit and the area between the wheel washing bay and site exit was hard paved. No trails of mud and muddy water were observed on the adjacent Sha Tau Kok Road and Wo Keng Shan Road.

**Wo Keng Shan Park under Contract 6**

- 10.1.16 Site inspection was conducted on 20 April 2017. The site exit of Wo Keng Shan Park under Contract 6 was located on Wo Keng Shan Road. Wheel washing facilities was properly

implemented at the site exit and the area between the wheel washing bay and site exit was hard paved. No trails of mud and muddy water were observed on the adjacent Wo Keng Shan Road.

South Portal and Site Office under Contract 6

10.1.17 Site inspection was conducted on 20 April 2017. The site exit of South Portal and Site Office under Contract 6 was located on Wo Keng Shan Road. Wheel washing facilities was properly implemented at the site exit and the area between the wheel washing bay and site exit was hard paved. No trails of mud and muddy water were observed on the adjacent Wo Keng Shan Road.

10.1.18 As advised by both contractors, road washing/ cleaning by water bowsers was provided along Wo Keng Shan Road to Sha Tau Kok Road in every normal working day (Mon-Sat), except for rainy day. Moreover, road sweeping would be provided for the concerned roads twice a week to maintain cleanliness of the roads. In our investigation, no cumulated muddy water and mud trails were observed and the site exits near the complaint location were satisfactory. It is considered that the complaint was unlikely due to the project. To address the complainant's concern, the ET will keep closely inspection on the cleanliness situation on both roads during weekly site inspection.

10.1.19 The statistical summary table of environmental complaint is presented in *Tables 10-1, 10-2 and 10-3*.

**Table 10-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Complaint Statistics			Project related complaint
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature	
19 May 2014 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	0	27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (16) Water Quality</li> <li>• (7) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust &amp; noise</li> </ul>	(5) water (2) dust (1) noise
06 Nov 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 3	0	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 5	0	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (3) Dust</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 6	0	31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (22) Water Quality</li> <li>• (6) Dust</li> <li>• (2) Noise</li> <li>• (1) Nuisance</li> </ul>	(6) water (2) dust (1) Nuisance
15 Feb 2016 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 7	0	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	0
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	SS C505	0	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust</li> </ul>	0
1 – 30 April 2017	Contract 2	2	29	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (17) Water Quality</li> <li>• (7) Dust</li> <li>• (4) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust &amp; noise</li> </ul>	0
	Contract 3	0	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Dust</li> <li>• (3) Water quality</li> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	NA
	Contract 6	1	32	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (23) Water Quality</li> <li>• (6) Dust</li> <li>• (2) Noise</li> <li>• (1) Nuisance</li> </ul>	0
	Contract 7	0	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> </ul>	NA
	SS C505	0	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (1) Noise</li> <li>• (1) dust</li> </ul>	NA

**Table 10-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Summons Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
06 Nov 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 30 April 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

**Table 10-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution**

Reporting Period	Contract No	Environmental Prosecution Statistics		
		Frequency	Cumulative	Complaint Nature
19 May 2014 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
06 Nov 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 3	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 5	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 6	0	0	NA
15 Feb 2016 – 31 Mar 2017	Contract 7	0	0	NA
16 Aug 2013 – 31 Mar 2017	SS C505	0	0	NA
1 – 30 April 2017	Contract 2	0	0	NA
	Contract 3	0	0	NA
	Contract 6	0	0	NA
	Contract 7	0	0	NA
	SS C505	0	0	NA

**The Other Contracts**

10.1.20 Since the construction works at the Contract 5 was substantially completed and Contract 4 has not yet commenced, no environmental complaint, summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme are registered in the Reporting Period.

## 11 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

### 11.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix M*.
- 11.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented by Contracts 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and Contract SS C505 in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 11-1*.

**Table 11-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures**

Issues	Environmental Mitigation Measures
Water Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Wastewater to be treated by the wastewater treatment facilities i.e. sedimentation tank or similar facility before discharge.</li> </ul>
Air Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintain damp / wet surface on access road</li> <li>Low vehicular speed within the works areas.</li> <li>All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site</li> <li>Sprayed water during breaking works</li> <li>A cleaning truck was regularly performed on the public road to prevent fugitive dust emission</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday.</li> <li>Keep good maintenance of plants</li> <li>Place noisy plants away from residence or school</li> <li>Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works</li> <li>Shut down the plants when not in used.</li> </ul>
Waste and Chemical Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>On-site sorting prior to disposal</li> <li>Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System”</li> <li>Predict required quantity of concrete accurately</li> <li>Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal</li> </ul>
General	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The site was generally kept tidy and clean.</li> </ul>

### 11.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

- 11.2.1 As advised by the ER, the construction works under Contract 5 was substantially completed on 31 August 2016. Construction activities for other Contracts in the coming month are listed below:

#### Contract 2

Mid-Vent Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adit invert slab, water proofing and lining</li> <li>TBM U-turn</li> <li>Tube tunnel post-excavation activities and mucking out</li> <li>Structure connecting the adit tunnel, and ventilation building</li> </ul>
North Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Southbound tunnel enlargement and Northbound tunnel top-heading and bench excavation</li> <li>Tunnel backfilling, construction of internal structure and cross passage</li> <li>Tunnel waterproofing, lining and erection of curtain door at Southbound tunnel</li> <li>Retaining walls</li> <li>North ventilation building superstructure</li> </ul>
South Portal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Southbound and Northbound D&amp;B excavation</li> <li>South ventilation building superstructure</li> <li>Tunnel invert, waterproofing and lining</li> <li>Muck out from tunnels</li> </ul>
Admin Building	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Construction of permanent drainage and fencing wall</li> <li>Internal fitting out, E&amp;M and curtain wall installation</li> </ul>

### Contract 3

- Construction of Boundary Wall for Pumping Station
- Cable detection and trial trenches
- Extended Podium Construction near Bored Pile Wall
- Installation of noise barrier post and panel
- Footbridge construction
- Mini-pile installation works
- Noise barrier construction
- Pier / Pier Table construction
- Pipe Jacking Works for DN2200 Water Mains
- Road works
- Viaduct segment erection
- Water Main Laying
- Parapet Installation
- Planter Wall construction
- Demolition of Existing Kiu Tau Footbridge
- Construction of Profile barrier on Viaduct Deck
- Drainage Work
- Stressing of External Tendon

### Contract 6

- Bored Piling
- Pile Cap Construction
- Bridge Pier Construction
- Segment section
- Sewage Treatment Plant Construction
- Tunnel Works

### Contract 7

- U-trough and abutment construction at Bridge A and Bridge E
- Column construction at Bridge A and E
- Column and deck construction at Bridge B and D
- Roof floor construction of Bridge C

### Contract SS C505

- Building no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 26, 30, 36 and 41 construction
- ABWF Works and Contractors Testing for Building no.36
- Tower crane operation
- Bridge construction works including construction of bridge column, retaining wall, pile cap, pier, abutment, road and finishes works
- Underground drainage works, Road Works and Landscaping
- Formwork and falsework for PTB's slab construction and Bridges Decks
- Construction PTB M/F, 1/F, 2/F and Roof flat slab
- Steel beam works for maintenance platform for PTB
- PTB backfilling works
- Bridge deck construction for Bridges 1 - 5

## 11.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 11.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month for Contracts 2, 3, 6, 7 and SS C505 include:
- Implementation of control measures for rainstorm;
  - Regular clearance of stagnant water during wet season;

- Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
- Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
- Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
- Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
- Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
- Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
- Management of chemical wastes;
- Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
- Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
- Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures

11.3.2 Since the construction work of Contract 4 has not commenced, no environmental issue is presented.

## 12 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 12.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 12.1.1 This is the 45<sup>th</sup> monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 30 April 2017.
- 12.1.2 For air quality monitoring, no 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring results triggered the Action or Limit Levels were recorded. No NOEs or the associated corrective actions were therefore issued.
- 12.1.3 In the Reporting Period, no construction noise measurement results that exceeded the Limit Level were recorded. Moreover, no valid noise complaint which triggered an Action Level exceedance was recorded.
- 12.1.4 For water quality monitoring, a total of twenty-two (22) Limit Level (LL) exceedances, namely ten (10) LL exceedance of turbidity and twelve (12) LL exceedances of Suspended Solids were recorded for the Project. According to the investigation result, the exceedances recorded on 8, 10, 12, 13 and 14 April 2017 were concluded as non-project related and the exceedances 22, 25 and 26 April 2017 were under investigation.
- 12.1.5 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions were recorded in the Reporting Period.
- 12.1.6 In this Reporting Period, two (2) documented environmental complaints were received regarding noise issue for Contract 2 and wastewater issue for Contracts 2 and 5. Investigation report (IR) for complaints revealed that all the complaints were not related to the Contract.
- 12.1.7 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, IEC, ET with the relevant Main-contractor were carried out for Contracts 2, 3, 6 and 7 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation. For Contract SS C505, weekly joint site inspection was carried out by the RE, IEC, ET and main-contractor whereas IEC performed monthly site inspection. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.

### 12.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.2.1 During wet season, preventive measures for muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface flow to local stream such as Kong Yiu Channel, Ma Wat Channel, Ping Yuen River, Kwan Tei River or public area should be properly maintained. The Contractors should paid special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular for working areas near Ma Wat Channel and Ping Yuen River.
- 12.2.2 In addition, all effluent discharge shall be ensure to fulfill Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or discharge permits stipulation.
- 12.2.3 Construction noise would be a key environmental issue during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 12.2.4 Since most of construction sites under the Project are located adjacent to villages, the Contractors should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission.
- 12.2.5 Furthermore, daily cleaning and weekly tidiness shall be properly performed and maintained. In addition, mosquito control should be kept to prevent mosquito breeding on site.


## **Appendix A**

### **Layout plan of the Project**



## **Appendix B**

### **Organization Chart**



**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 2 - (CV/2012/08)**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 2 - CV/2012/08**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	CT Wong	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
DHK	Project Director	Daniel Altier	3605 0888	2171 3299
DHK	Deputy Project Manager	Alan Kam	9016 8493	2171 3299
DHK	QSE Manager	Roger Lee	6293 8726	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Officer	Simon Wong	2171 3017	2171 3299
DHK	Environmental Supervisor	Ho Ming Kin	6979 4220	2171 3299
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*DHK(Main Contractor) –Dragages Hong Kong Ltd.*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



**Environmental Management Organization for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

**Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 - CV/2012/09**

<b>Organization</b>	<b>Project Role</b>	<b>Name of Key Staff</b>	<b>Tel No</b>	<b>Fax No.</b>
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Alan Lee	2171 3300	2171 3498
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Chun Wo	Project Director	Ken Ko	3758 8735	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Project Manager	William Leung	2638 6136	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Site Agent	Daniel Ho	2638 6144	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Environmental Officer	Tiffany Tsang	2638 6115	2638 7077
Chun Wo	Assistant Environmental Officer	Yip Yun Lam	2638 6125	2638 7077
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*Chun Wo (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo Construction Ltd.*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization – CV/2013/08

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 6 - CV/2013/08

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
CCK JV	Project Director	Wang Yanhua	6190 4212	--
CCK JV	Construction Manager	Raymond Mau Sai-Wai	9011 5340	--
CCK JV	Site Agent	Vincent Chan	9655 9404	--
CCK JV	Senior Safety & Environmental Manager	Alex Lam	5547 0181	--
CCK JV	Environmental Manager	K M Lui	51138223	--
CCK JV	Assistant Environmental Officer	Pan Fong	9436 9432	--
CCK JV	Environmental Supervisor	Kevin Cheung/ Connie Yuen	6316 6931 6117 1344	--
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*CCK JV (Main Contractor) – CRBE-CEC-Kaden Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organization –NE/2014/03

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 7 – NE/2014/03

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
AECOM	Engineer's Representative	Simon Leung	2674 2273	2674 7732
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
KRSJV	Project Director	Wong Yu	2682 6691	2682 2783
KRSJV	Project Manager	Cheng Pong Yin	9023 4821	2682 2783
KRSJV	Site Agent	Tsang Wai Cheong, Matthew	9705 7536	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Officer	Cheung Ka Wia, Barry	6117 2339	2682 2783
KRSJV	Environmental Supervisor	Yung Kwok Wai	6592 3084	2682 2783
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	TW Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:


*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department*

*AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.*

*KRS JV (Main Contractor) –Kwan On-Richwell-SCG Joint Venture*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*



Environmental Management Organigram

Environmental Management Organization for Contract SS C505

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract SS C505

Organization	Project Role	Name of Key Staff	Tel No.	Fax No.
ArchSD	Works agent for the Development Bureau (DEVB)	Mr. William Cheng	2867 3904	2804 6805
Ronald Lu & Partners	Architect/ Architect's Representative	Mr. Justin Cheung	3189 9272	2834 5442
SMEC	Independent Environmental Checker	Mr. Antony Wong	3995 8120	3995 8101
Leighton	Operation Manager	Mr. Karl Speed	2823 1433	25298784
Leighton	Project Director	Mr. Ian Taylor	2858 1519	2858 1899
Leighton	Environmental Officer	Mr. Kim Lui	3973 1069	-
Leighton	Assistant Environmental Officer	Ms. Penny Yiu	3973 0818	-
AUES	Environmental Team Leader	Mr. T. W. Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Ms. Nicola Hon	2959 6059	2959 6079
AUES	Environmental Consultant	Mr. Ben Tam	2959 6059	2959 6079

Legend:

*ArchSD (Project Proponent) – Architectural Services Department*

*Ronald Lu & Partners (Architect/ Architect's Representative) – Ronald Lu & Partners (Hong Kong) Ltd*

*Leighton (Main Contractor) – Leighton Contractors (Asia) Limited*

*SMEC (IEC) – SMEC Asia Limited*

*AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

## **Appendix C**

### **3-month rolling construction program**

## Contract 2



## Contract 3

Liantang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works

CEDD Contract No: CV/2012/09

Main Contractor: Chun Wo Construction Ltd



俊和建築工程有限公司

CHUN WO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

**Tentative Three Months (April, May and June 2017) Construction Rolling Program**

Item	Construction Activites
1	Boundary Wall for Pumping Station
2	Cable Detection and Trial Trenches
3	Extended Podium Construction near Bored Pile Wall
4	Installation of Noise Barrier Steel Post & Panel
5	Footbridge Construction
6	Mini-pile Installation Works
7	Noise Barrier Construction
8	Pier Table Construction
9	Pipe Jacking Works for DN2200 Water Mains
10	Roadworks
11	Viaduct Segment Erection
12	Water Main Laying Works
13	Parapet Installation
14	Planter Wall Construction
15	Demolition of Existing Kiu Tau Footbridge
16	Construction of Profile barrier on Viaduct Deck
17	Drainage Work
18	Stressing of External Tendon

## Contract 6



## Contract 7




## Contract SS C505



## **Appendix D**

### **Designated Monitoring Locations as Recommended in the Approved EM&A Manual**





LEGEND:

- BOUNDARY OF HKSR
- WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
- WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
- X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

PI	ADD TO	NING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	RE
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Checked	Approved



100 The Landmark East  
100 The Landmark West  
Hong Kong, China  
Tel: +852 2500 8888  
Fax: +852 2500 8889  
www.mottmac.com



CIVIL ENGINEERING  
AND DEVELOPMENT  
DEPARTMENT

Project  
AGREEMENT NO. CE-45/2008(CE)  
LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY  
CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Proposed  
LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION  
NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designated	IC	HC	DC	DC/CH	DC								
Station	IC	HC	DC	DC/CH	DC								
Scale at A1	1:20000												
Scale at A2	1:20000												
Scale at A3	1:20000												
Scale at A4	1:20000												
Scale at A5	1:20000												
Scale at A6	1:20000												
Scale at A7	1:20000												
Scale at A8	1:20000												
Scale at A9	1:20000												
Scale at A10	1:20000												
Scale at A11	1:20000												
Scale at A12	1:20000												
Scale at A13	1:20000												
Scale at A14	1:20000												
Scale at A15	1:20000												
Scale at A16	1:20000												
Scale at A17	1:20000												
Scale at A18	1:20000												
Scale at A19	1:20000												
Scale at A20	1:20000												
Scale at A21	1:20000												
Scale at A22	1:20000												
Scale at A23	1:20000												
Scale at A24	1:20000												
Scale at A25	1:20000												
Scale at A26	1:20000												
Scale at A27	1:20000												
Scale at A28	1:20000												
Scale at A29	1:20000												
Scale at A30	1:20000												
Scale at A31	1:20000												
Scale at A32	1:20000												
Scale at A33	1:20000												
Scale at A34	1:20000												
Scale at A35	1:20000												
Scale at A36	1:20000												
Scale at A37	1:20000												
Scale at A38	1:20000												
Scale at A39	1:20000												
Scale at A40	1:20000												
Scale at A41	1:20000												
Scale at A42	1:20000												
Scale at A43	1:20000												
Scale at A44	1:20000												
Scale at A45	1:20000												
Scale at A46	1:20000												
Scale at A47	1:20000												
Scale at A48	1:20000												
Scale at A49	1:20000												
Scale at A50	1:20000												
Scale at A51	1:20000												
Scale at A52	1:20000												
Scale at A53	1:20000												
Scale at A54	1:20000												
Scale at A55	1:20000												
Scale at A56	1:20000												
Scale at A57	1:20000												
Scale at A58	1:20000												
Scale at A59	1:20000												
Scale at A60	1:20000												
Scale at A61	1:20000												
Scale at A62	1:20000												
Scale at A63	1:20000												
Scale at A64	1:20000												
Scale at A65	1:20000												
Scale at A66	1:20000												
Scale at A67	1:20000												
Scale at A68	1:20000												
Scale at A69	1:20000												
Scale at A70	1:20000												
Scale at A71	1:20000												
Scale at A72	1:20000												
Scale at A73	1:20000												
Scale at A74	1:20000												
Scale at A75	1:20000												
Scale at A76	1:20000												
Scale at A77	1:20000												
Scale at A78	1:20000												
Scale at A79	1:20000												
Scale at A80	1:20000												
Scale at A81	1:20000												
Scale at A82	1:20000												
Scale at A83	1:20000												
Scale at A84	1:20000												
Scale at A85	1:20000												
Scale at A86	1:20000												
Scale at A87	1:20000												
Scale at A88	1:20000												
Scale at A89	1:20000												
Scale at A90	1:20000												
Scale at A91	1:20000												
Scale at A92	1:20000												
Scale at A93	1:20000												
Scale at A94	1:20000												
Scale at A95	1:20000												
Scale at A96	1:20000												
Scale at A97	1:20000												
Scale at A98	1:20000												
Scale at A99	1:20000												
Scale at A100	1:20000												

© COPYRIGHT RESERVED

FIGURE 3-1


PRE P1

Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited  
This document is the property of Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited. It is to be used only for the purposes for which it was prepared and for which Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited is responsible. Mott MacDonald (Hong Kong) Limited accepts no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information contained herein.



## **Appendix E**

### **Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring**



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
  - WORKS AREA (TUNNEL)
  - X Air Monitoring Stations in the EM&A Manual
  - Proposed Air Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	Chk'd	App'd

20/F Two Landmark East  
100 Hoi Ming Street  
Kowloon, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2518 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client


**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project  
 AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008(CE)  
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title  
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION AIR QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	EC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC	
Draw.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	255228\report\env\em&a\00831\FE_21.dgn	PRE
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - WORKS AREA (ABOVE GROUND)
  - WORKS AREA (TUNNELL)
  - X CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS
  - Proposed Noise Monitoring Stations

P1	AUG 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	DC	HT
Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd

20F Two Landmark East  
100 Housiang Street  
Kowloon, Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2518 5757  
F +852 2827 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client


**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project  
 AGREEMENT NO. CB45/2008(CB)  
 LIANTANG/HUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS

Title  
 PROPOSED LOCATION OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING STATIONS

Designed	DC	Eng.Chk.	BC	
Drawn	MING	Coordination	BC	
Sup.Chk.	DC	Approved	HT	
Scale of A1	1:20000	Project	255228	Status
		CAD file	3\255228\report\env\env\ca\00831\FE_3.dgn	PRB
Drawing No				Rev
				P1

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person by whom it was commissioned.



- LEGEND:**
- BOUNDARY OF HKSAR
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (ABOVE GROUND)
  - LAND REQUIREMENT LIMIT (TUNNEL)
  - X Water Quality Monitoring Location Recommended in EM&A Manual
  - Alternative Water Quality Monitoring Location for EM&A Programme
  - △ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015
  - ▲ New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016

Station ID	Location recommended in EM&A Manual		Location found during site visit	
	Easting	Northing	Easting	Northing
WM1	83468.833	84577.072	83470	84572
WM1-Control	83485.480	84591.667	83485	84591
WM2A	83412.319	84432.910	83420	84473
WM2A-Control	83505.329	84400.151	83520	84474
WM2B	83514.744	84339.606	83535	84397
WM2B-Control	83565.878	84343.625	83535	84351
WM3	83623.622	84265.377	83624	84262
WM3-Control	83675.410	84243.507	83675	84260
WM4	83540.781	83834.842	83550	83838
WM4-Control A	83458.937	83764.995	83409	83765
WM4-Control B	83769.123	83740.916	83760	83739

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in November 2015**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A-C (Original)	0835270	0844243
WM2A-Cx (Proposed)	0835377	0844188
WM3 (Original)	0836324	0842407
WM3x (Proposed)	0836206	0842270

**New Proposed Water Quality Monitoring Location in May 2016**

Location ID	Easting	Northing
WM2A (Original)	834204	844471
WM2A(a) (Proposed)	834191	844474

Rev	Date	Drawn	Description	CHK'd	App'd
P2	NOV 10	MING	GENERAL REVISION	HC	HT
P1	OCT 10	MING	FIRST ISSUE	HC	HT

2007 Two Landmark East  
100 New King Street  
Kowloon  
Hong Kong  
T +852 2628 8787  
F +852 2627 1823  
W www.mottmac.com.hk

Client

**CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

Project  
**AGREEMENT NO. CE45/2008 (CE)  
 LIANTANG/HEUNG YUEN WAI BOUNDARY CONTROL POINT AND ASSOCIATED WORKS**

Title  
**LOCATIONS OF PROPOSED WATER QUALITY MONITORING STATIONS**

Designed	HC	Eng.Chk.	EC
Drawn	MING	Coordination	EC
Dwg.Chk.	HC	Approved	HT
Scale at A1	1:20000	Project	255228
Scale at A1	1:20000	CAD file	11/25/2008/REP/RT1/ENV/EM&A/VOIS/STG_41.dwg
Drawing No	Appendix C	Status	PRE
		Rev	P2

© Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited  
 This document should not be relied on or used in circumstances other than those for which it was originally prepared and for which Mott MacDonald Hong Kong Limited was commissioned. Mott MacDonald Limited accepts no responsibility for this document to any other party other than the person to whom it was commissioned.

## **Appendix F**

### **Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory**

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017
Location ID : AM1b	Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017
	Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1015.3</span>	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">761.475</span>
Temperature (°C) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">18.9</span>	Temperature (K) <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">292</span>

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">TISCH</span>	Qstd Slope -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">2.00411</span>
Model-> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">5025A</span>	Qstd Intercept -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">-0.03059</span>
Serial # -> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">1612</span>	

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6	6	12.0	1.763	50	50.57	25.1357	6.8922	0.9983
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	46	46.52			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	41	41.47			
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	34	34.39			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	28	28.32			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Date of Calibration:	22/2/2017
Location ID : AM2	Next Calibration Date:	22/4/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	56	56.64	Slope = 29.5511 Intercept = 6.1722 Corr. coeff. = 0.9983
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	52	52.59	
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.350	46	46.52	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	38	38.43	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	31	31.35	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station  
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	58	58.66	Slope = 26.0975 Intercept = 12.9675 Corr. coeff. = 0.9982
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.512	52	52.59	
10	3.4	3.6	7.0	1.350	47	47.53	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.074	40	40.45	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.797	34	34.39	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	22/2/2017
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	22/4/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.3	5.3	10.6	1.658	58	58.66	Slope = 34.9980 Intercept = 0.3845 Corr. coeff. = 0.9995
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.495	52	52.59	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.331	46	46.52	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	1.074	38	38.43	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	30	30.34	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House  
 Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.778	55	55.63	Slope = 36.2865 Intercept = -8.1354 Corr. coeff. = 0.9967
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.562	49	49.56	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	42	42.48	
7	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.209	34	34.39	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	23	23.26	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House  
 Location ID : AM6

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.5	6.5	13.0	1.835	67	67.76	Slope = 42.6443 Intercept = -11.8705 Corr. coeff. = 0.9968
13	4.9	4.9	9.8	1.595	55	55.63	
10	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.406	46	46.52	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.121	35	35.40	
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.889	27	27.31	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village  
 Location ID : AM7b

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.388	52	52.59	Slope = 32.5304 Intercept = 6.4456 Corr. coeff. = 0.9956
13	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.331	48	48.55	
10	2.6	2.6	5.2	1.166	44	44.50	
7	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.918	36	36.41	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.797	32	32.36	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4  
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.807	65	65.74	35.2897	1.6780	0.9979
13	4.8	4.8	9.6	1.579	56	56.64			
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.388	50	50.57			
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.121	42	42.48			
5	1.5	1.5	3.0	0.889	32	32.36			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80  
 Location ID : AM9b

Date of Calibration: 22/2/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 22/4/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1015.3	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	761.475
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Serial # ->	1612		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.734	52	52.59	Slope = 27.5205 Intercept = 4.6980 Corr. coeff. = 0.9984
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.546	47	47.53	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.369	41	41.47	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.098	35	35.40	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.860	28	28.32	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure





TISCH ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.  
 145 SOUTH MIAMI AVE  
 VILLAGE OF CLEVELAND, OH  
 45002  
 513.467.9000  
 877.263.7610 TOLL FREE  
 513.467.9009 FAX

ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Mar 14, 2016 Rootsmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 295  
 Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1612 Pa (mm) - 745.49

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER DIFF Hg (mm)	ORFICE DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.3770	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	0.9710	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.8710	7.8	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8310	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.6860	12.6	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9866	0.7165	1.4078	0.9957	0.7231	0.8896
0.9824	1.0117	1.9909	0.9914	1.0210	1.2581
0.9804	1.1256	2.2259	0.9894	1.1360	1.4066
0.9793	1.1785	2.3345	0.9883	1.1893	1.4753
0.9741	1.4200	2.8155	0.9830	1.4330	1.7792
Qstd slope (m) = 2.00411			Qa slope (m) = 1.25494		
intercept (b) = -0.03059			intercept (b) = -0.01933		
coefficient (r) = 0.99995			coefficient (r) = 0.99995		
y axis = SQRT[H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O(Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

Vstd = Diff. Vol [(Pa-Diff. Hg)/760] (298/Ta)  
 Qstd = Vstd/Time  
 Va = Diff Vol [(Pa-Diff Hg)/Pa]  
 Qa = Va/Time

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

Qstd = 1/m{ [SQRT(H2O(Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b}  
 Qa = 1/m{ [SQRT H2O(Ta/Pa)] - b}

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Open area at Tsung Yuen Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	19/4/2017
Location ID : AM1b	Next Calibration Date:	19/6/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model-> 5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # -> 1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.652	52	51.74	27.2899	6.0943	0.9984
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.437	45	44.78			
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.255	40	39.80			
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	0.997	34	33.83			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.798	28	27.86			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road	Date of Calibration:	19/4/2017
Location ID : AM2	Next Calibration Date:	19/6/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.8	5.8	11.6	1.612	57	56.72	Slope = 31.7705 Intercept = 6.1809 Corr. coeff. = 0.9978
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.437	53	52.74	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.237	46	45.77	
7	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.020	38	37.81	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.770	31	30.85	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station  
 Location ID : AM3

Date of Calibration: 19/4/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 19/6/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.9	5.9	11.8	1.625	59	58.71	Slope = 29.9195 Intercept = 9.9534 Corr. coeff. = 0.9966
13	4.4	4.4	8.8	1.405	53	52.74	
10	3.4	3.6	7.0	1.255	47	46.77	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	0.997	39	38.81	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.770	34	33.83	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nga Yiu Ha Village	Date of Calibration:	19/4/2017
Location ID : AM4b	Next Calibration Date:	19/6/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.4	5.4	10.8	1.555	55	54.73	Slope = 33.4756 Intercept = 2.9669 Corr. coeff. = 0.9992
13	4.3	4.3	8.6	1.389	50	49.75	
10	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.237	45	44.78	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	0.997	36	35.82	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.798	30	29.85	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Ping Yeung Village House  
 Location ID : AM5a

Date of Calibration: 19/4/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 19/6/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6	6	12.0	1.639	55	54.73	Slope = 37.1504 Intercept = -6.3549 Corr. coeff. = 0.9974
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.452	48	47.76	
10	3.5	3.5	7.0	1.255	41	40.80	
7	2.8	2.8	5.6	1.124	34	33.83	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.770	23	22.89	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Wo Keng Shan Village House	Date of Calibration:	19/4/2017
Location ID : AM6	Next Calibration Date:	19/6/2017
	Technician:	Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	6.7	6.7	13.4	1.731	66	65.67	Slope = 40.8436 Intercept = -5.6696 Corr. coeff. = 0.9981
13	5	5	10.0	1.497	56	55.72	
10	3.8	3.8	7.6	1.307	47	46.77	
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.041	36	35.82	
5	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.770	27	26.87	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Village House of Loi Tung Village	Date of Calibration: 19/4/2017
Location ID : AM7b	Next Calibration Date: 19/6/2017
	Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make-> TISCH	Qstd Slope ->
Model-> 5025A	2.11965
Serial # -> 1941	Qstd Intercept ->
	-0.02696

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	3.9	3.9	7.8	1.324	53	52.74	Slope = 35.1033 Intercept = 5.9893 Corr. coeff. = 0.9987
13	3.4	3.4	6.8	1.237	49	48.76	
10	2.5	2.5	5.0	1.062	44	43.78	
7	1.7	1.7	3.4	0.878	37	36.82	
5	1.2	1.2	2.4	0.740	32	31.84	

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H20(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4  
 Location ID : AM8

Date of Calibration: 19/4/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 19/6/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.3	6.3	12.6	1.679	65	64.68	36.5867	2.3520	0.9983
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.452	55	54.73			
10	3.7	3.7	7.4	1.290	49	48.76			
7	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.041	41	40.80			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.798	32	31.84			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80  
 Location ID : AM9b

Date of Calibration: 19/4/2017  
 Next Calibration Date: 19/6/2017  
 Technician: Fai So

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1009.1	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	756.825
Temperature (°C)	26.7	Temperature (K)	300

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.11965
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.02696
Serial # ->	1941		

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	5.9	5.9	11.8	1.625	53	52.74	Slope = 29.3979 Intercept = 4.7557 Corr. coeff. = 0.9988
13	4.6	4.6	9.2	1.437	47	46.77	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.272	42	41.79	
7	2.2	2.2	4.4	0.997	35	34.83	
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.798	28	27.86	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



ORIFICE TRANSFER STANDARD CERTIFICATION WORKSHEET TE-5025A

Date - Feb 28, 2017 Rootmeter S/N 0438320 Ta (K) - 294  
Operator Tisch Orifice I.D. - 1941 Pa (mm) - 750.57

PLATE OR Run #	VOLUME START (m3)	VOLUME STOP (m3)	DIFF VOLUME (m3)	DIFF TIME (min)	METER	ORFICE
					DIFF Hg (mm)	DIFF H2O (in.)
1	NA	NA	1.00	1.4600	3.2	2.00
2	NA	NA	1.00	1.0410	6.4	4.00
3	NA	NA	1.00	0.9280	7.9	5.00
4	NA	NA	1.00	0.8840	8.7	5.50
5	NA	NA	1.00	0.7290	12.7	8.00

DATA TABULATION

Vstd	(x axis) Qstd	(y axis)	Va	(x axis) Qa	(y axis)
0.9967	0.6827	1.4149	0.9957	0.6820	0.8851
0.9925	0.9534	2.0010	0.9915	0.9524	1.2517
0.9904	1.0672	2.2372	0.9894	1.0661	1.3995
0.9894	1.1192	2.3464	0.9884	1.1181	1.4678
0.9840	1.3499	2.8299	0.9830	1.3485	1.7702
Qstd slope (m) = 2.11965			Qa slope (m) = 1.32729		
intercept (b) = -0.02696			intercept (b) = -0.01686		
coefficient (r) = 0.99991			coefficient (r) = 0.99991		
y axis = SQRT[H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta)]			y axis = SQRT[H2O (Ta/Pa)]		

CALCULATIONS

$$Vstd = \text{Diff. Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff. Hg}) / 760] (298 / Ta)$$

$$Qstd = Vstd / \text{Time}$$

$$Va = \text{Diff Vol} [(Pa - \text{Diff Hg}) / Pa]$$

$$Qa = Va / \text{Time}$$

For subsequent flow rate calculations:

$$Qstd = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} (H2O (Pa/760) (298/Ta))] - b \}$$

$$Qa = 1/m \{ [\text{SQRT} H2O (Ta/Pa)] - b \}$$

# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 2X6145  
 Equipment Ref: EQ105  
 Job Order HK1603558

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1602	11.7
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1522	9.3
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3347	23.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 593 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 596 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9985  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation (R>0.8)
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 366409  
Equipment Ref: EQ109  
Job Order HK1603560

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

### Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1577	11.5
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1433	8.8
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3328	23.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 540 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 540 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022


Correlation Coefficient 0.9975

Date of Issue 11 January 2016

### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
- Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring

\*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366410  
 Equipment Ref: EQ110  
 Job Order HK1603561

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1566	11.4
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1422	8.7
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3318	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 660 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 661 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9973

Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6503  
 Equipment Ref: EQ112  
 Job Order HK1603553

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1633	11.9
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1502	9.2
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3365	23.8

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 642 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 648 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9989  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator: Donald Kwok Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer: Ben Tam Signature:  Date: 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6505  
 Equipment Ref: EQ114  
 Job Order HK1603562

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 2 January 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 4 to 6 January 2016

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
2hr17min	17:30 ~ 19:47	20.6	1018.9	0.027	1589	11.6
2hr42min	17:00 ~ 19:42	20.7	1015.9	0.021	1473	9.0
2hr21min	18:00 ~ 20:21	20.9	1018.8	0.051	3314	23.4

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 588 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 585 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9985

Date of Issue 11 January 2016



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Donald Kwok Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature :  Date : 12 January 2016

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 2-Jan-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 2-Apr-16

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1022	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	766.5
Temperature (°C)	18.9	Temperature (K)	292

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.10265
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.00335
Calibration Date->	24-Mar-15	Expiry Date->	24-Mar-16

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION
18	4.1	4.1	8.2	1.384	56	56.82	Slope = 30.1332 Intercept = 15.8637 Corr. coeff. = 0.9950
13	3.2	3.2	6.4	1.222	52	52.76	
10	2.4	2.4	4.8	1.059	48	48.71	
8	1.6	1.6	3.2	0.865	42	42.62	
5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.684	35	35.51	

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 2X6145  
 Equipment Ref: EQ105  
 Job Order HK1703462

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	13025	67.2
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3586	30.6
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4709	39.6

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 581 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 580 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9992  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2017



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

#### Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

#### For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I) [\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366409  
 Equipment Ref: EQ109  
 Job Order HK1703455

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

### Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12487	64.4
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3433	29.3
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4815	40.5

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 523 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 525 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9997  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2017



### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16  
 Location ID : Calibration Room Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 366410  
 Equipment Ref: EQ110  
 Job Order HK1703460

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12401	64.0
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3266	27.9
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4878	41.1

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 677 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 675 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9984

Date of Issue 11 January 2017



### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



## Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

### Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
Serial No. 3Y6503  
Equipment Ref: EQ112  
Job Order HK1703461

### Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

### Equipment Verification Results:

Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12647	65.3
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3476	29.7
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4876	41.0

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 654 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 658 (CPM)

### Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022

Correlation Coefficient 0.9997

Date of Issue 11 January 2017



### Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung      Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16  
 Location ID : Calibration Room      Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope =	35.6871	
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49	Intercept =	-6.1123	
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43	Corr. coeff. =	0.9967	
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

## Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor  
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B  
 Serial No. 3Y6505  
 Equipment Ref: EQ114  
 Job Order HK1703464

## Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler  
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)  
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018  
 Last Calibration Date: 25 November 2016

## Equipment Verification Results:


Testing Date: 9 January 2017

Hour	Time	Mean Temp °C	Mean Pressure (hPa)	Concentration in mg/m <sup>3</sup> (Standard Equipment)	Total Count (Calibrated Equipment)	Count/Minute (Total Count/60min)
3hr14min	09:10 ~ 12:24	20.6	1016.3	0.145	12588	65.0
1hr57min	12:30 ~ 14:27	20.6	1016.3	0.069	3339	28.5
1hr58min	14:35 ~ 16:33	20.6	1016.3	0.091	4774	40.2

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 588 (CPM)  
 Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 587 (CPM)

## Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 0.0022  
 Correlation Coefficient 0.9992  
 Date of Issue 11 January 2017



## Remarks:

- Strong** Correlation ( $R > 0.8$ )
  - Factor 0.0022 should be apply for TSP monitoring
- \*If  $R < 0.5$ , repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 11 January 2017

## TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location :	Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung	Date of Calibration: 25-Nov-16
Location ID :	Calibration Room	Next Calibration Date: 25-Feb-17

### CONDITIONS

Sea Level Pressure (hPa)	1016.4	Corrected Pressure (mm Hg)	762.3
Temperature (°C)	20.0	Temperature (K)	293

### CALIBRATION ORIFICE

Make->	TISCH	Qstd Slope ->	2.00411
Model->	5025A	Qstd Intercept ->	-0.03059
Calibration Date->	14-Mar-16	Expiry Date->	14-Mar-17

### CALIBRATION

Plate No.	H2O (L) (in)	H2O (R) (in)	H2O (in)	Qstd (m3/min)	I (chart)	IC corrected	LINEAR REGRESSION		
							Slope =	Intercept =	Corr. coeff. =
18	6.1	6.1	12.2	1.776	56	56.56	Slope = 35.6871 Intercept = -6.1123 Corr. coeff. = 0.9967		
13	4.7	4.7	9.4	1.560	49	49.49			
10	3.6	3.6	7.2	1.368	43	43.43			
8	2.3	2.3	4.6	1.096	34	34.34			
5	1.4	1.4	2.8	0.859	23	23.23			

**Calculations :**

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate  
 IC = corrected chart responses  
 I = actual chart response  
 m = calibrator Qstd slope  
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept  
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration ( deg K )  
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration ( mm Hg )

**For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:**

$$1/m(( I )[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope  
 b = sampler intercept  
 I = chart response  
 Tav = daily average temperature  
 Pav = daily average pressure



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 26 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ065)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2337676  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (55 ± 20)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

6 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.  
本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996

證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.1	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	54.9	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.4	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.8	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162996  
證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.2	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.2	± 0.5	
			60 sec.					1/10 <sup>2</sup>	90	90.1	± 0.5
								1/10 <sup>3</sup>	80	79.8	± 1.0
			5 min.					1/10 <sup>4</sup>	70	69.8	± 1.0

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812708

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
	104 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	114 dB : 1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
	Burst equivalent level	: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 24 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ083)  
 Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
 Model No. / 型號 : NC-74  
 Serial No. / 編號 : 34246492  
 Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
 Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

### TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$

### TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 2 June 2016


### TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
 The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
 The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).


The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

3 June 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.  
 本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162991  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.3	± 0.2

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.001	1 kHz ± 1 %	± 1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0662 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 22 March 2016  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ014)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion  
Model No. / 型號 : NL-52  
Serial No. / 編號 : 00142580  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration


DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 6 April 2016


## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification. (after adjustment)  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : \_\_\_\_\_  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :   
核證 : \_\_\_\_\_  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 7 April 2016  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using the internal standard (After Adjustment) was performed before the test 6.1.1.2 to 6.3.2.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	* 91.9	± 1.1

\* Out of IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec.

- 6.1.1.2 After Adjustment

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	± 1.1

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		114.0

IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
			Slow			94.0	± 0.3

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>A</sub>	A	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	67.7	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.8	-16.1 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	92.9	-1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	89.5	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

#### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 61672 Class 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Function	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
30 - 130	L <sub>C</sub>	C	Fast	94.00	63 Hz	93.1	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.5
					250 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					500 Hz	94.0	0.0 ± 1.4
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.8	-0.2 ± 1.6
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.6
					8 kHz	91.0	-3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1)
					12.5 kHz	87.6	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C161797  
證書編號

- Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-59 & S/N : 07725
- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 61672 Class 1
- Uncertainties of Applied Value :
- |        |                  |                          |
|--------|------------------|--------------------------|
| 94 dB  | : 63 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 250 Hz - 500 Hz  | : ± 0.30 dB              |
|        | 1 kHz            | : ± 0.20 dB              |
|        | 2 kHz - 4 kHz    | : ± 0.35 dB              |
|        | 8 kHz            | : ± 0.45 dB              |
|        | 12.5 kHz         | : ± 0.70 dB              |
| 104 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB | : 1 kHz          | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 2238  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285762  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check


DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 25 April 2016


## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany

Tested By :   
測試 : H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :   
核證 : K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 27 April 2016  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration using laboratory acoustic calibrator was performed before the test from 6.1.1.2 to 6.4.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL280	40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator	C160077
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

- 6.1 Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

- 6.1.1.1 Before Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.2

- 6.1.1.2 After Self-calibration

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	± 0.7

- 6.1.2 Linearity

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)	
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0 (Ref.)
				104.00		104.0
				114.00		113.9

IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, Tsing Shan Wan Exchange Building, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號青山灣機樓四樓

Tel/電話: 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

### 6.2 Time Weighting

#### 6.2.1 Continuous Signal

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq. (kHz)		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	1	94.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S			94.0	± 0.1
	L <sub>AIP</sub>		I			94.1	± 0.1

#### 6.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Burst Duration		
30 - 110	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	106.0	Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>AFMax</sub>				200 ms	105.0	-1.0 ± 1.0
	L <sub>ASP</sub>		S		Continuous	106.0	Ref.
	L <sub>ASMax</sub>				500 ms	102.0	-4.1 ± 1.0

### 6.3 Frequency Weighting

#### 6.3.1 A-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>AFP</sub>	A	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	55.1	-39.4 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	67.9	-26.2 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	77.9	-16.1 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	85.3	-8.6 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	90.7	-3.2 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.0	Ref.
					2 kHz	95.2	+1.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	95.0	+1.0 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	91.0	-1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	89.8	-4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162177  
證書編號

### 6.3.2 C-Weighting

UUT Setting				Applied Value		UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60651 Type 1 Spec. (dB)
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Time Weighting	Level (dB)	Freq.		
50 - 130	L <sub>CFP</sub>	C	F	94.00	31.5 Hz	91.5	-3.0 ± 1.5
					63 Hz	93.4	-0.8 ± 1.5
					125 Hz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					250 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					500 Hz	94.1	0.0 ± 1.0
					1 kHz	94.1	Ref.
					2 kHz	93.9	-0.2 ± 1.0
					4 kHz	93.2	-0.8 ± 1.0
					8 kHz	92.9	-3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0)
					12.5 kHz	87.9	-6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0)

### 6.4 Time Averaging

UUT Setting				Applied Value					UUT Reading (dB)	IEC 60804 Type 1 Spec. (dB)	
Range (dB)	Parameter	Frequency Weighting	Integrating Time	Frequency (kHz)	Burst Duration (ms)	Burst Duty Factor	Burst Level (dB)	Equivalent Level (dB)			
30 - 110	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	A	10 sec.	4	1	1/10	110.0	100	100.0	± 0.5	
								1/10 <sup>2</sup>	90	89.9	± 0.5
								1/10 <sup>3</sup>	80	79.2	± 1.0
								1/10 <sup>4</sup>	70	69.2	± 1.0
			60 sec.								
			5 min.								

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2812705

- Mfr's Spec. : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

94 dB	31.5 Hz - 125 Hz	: ± 0.35 dB
	250 Hz - 500 Hz	: ± 0.30 dB
	1 kHz	: ± 0.20 dB
	2 kHz - 4 kHz	: ± 0.35 dB
	8 kHz	: ± 0.45 dB
	12.5 kHz	: ± 0.70 dB
104 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB	1 kHz	: ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
Burst equivalent level		: ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

#### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 5 May 2016

Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ081)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 4231  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2326408  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 10 May 2016


## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By  
測試

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By  
核證

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue  
簽發日期

11 May 2016

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162438

證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

Equipment ID	Description	Certificate No.
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.0		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



# Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125  
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 ( Job No. / 序引編號 : IC16-0843 )      Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 14 April 2016  
Description / 儀器名稱 : Acoustical Calibrator (EQ082)  
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær  
Model No. / 型號 : 4231  
Serial No. / 編號 : 2713428  
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting  
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,  
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

## TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 :  $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$       Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 :  $(55 \pm 20)\%$   
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

## TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check


DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 22 April 2016

## TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.  
The results do not exceed manufacturer's specification.  
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :

- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Rohde & Schwarz Laboratory, Germany
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By :   
測試 : H T Wong  
Technical Officer

Certified By :   
核證 : K C Lee  
Project Engineer

Date of Issue : 25 April 2016  
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗所書面批准。



# Certificate of Calibration

## 校正證書

Certificate No. : C162125  
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

<u>Equipment ID</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Certificate No.</u>
CL130	Universal Counter	C153519
CL281	Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator	PA160023
TST150A	Measuring Amplifier	C161175

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

### 5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value	Measured Value (dB)	Mfr's Spec. (dB)	Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB)
94 dB, 1 kHz	94.0	± 0.2	± 0.2
114 dB, 1 kHz	114.1		

### 5.2 Frequency Accuracy

UUT Nominal Value (kHz)	Measured Value (kHz)	Mfr's Spec.	Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz)
1	1.000 0	1 kHz ± 0.1 %	± 0.1

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

### Note :

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to the Nation Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG.

**WORK ORDER:** HK1705560  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 09/02/2017  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 16/02/2017

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.  
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.  
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Dissolved Oxygen and Temperature  
Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter  
Brand Name: YSI  
Model No.: 550A  
Serial No.: 16A104433  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager – Inorganics

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

Page 1 of 2

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

Work Order: HK1705560  
Sub-Batch: 0  
Date of Issue: 16/02/2017  
Client: ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES



Equipment Type: Dissolved Oxygen Meter  
Brand Name: YSI  
Model No.: 550A  
Serial No.: 16A104433  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017      Date of next Calibration: 14 May, 2017

## Parameters:

### Dissolved Oxygen

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500O: G

Expected Reading (mg/L)	Displayed Reading (mg/L)	Tolerance (mg/L)
4.26	4.22	-0.04
6.02	5.93	-0.09
9.06	8.88	-0.18
Tolerance Limit (mg/L)		±0.20

### Temperature

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
6.5	6.9	+0.4
20.0	20.5	+0.5
39.0	38.1	-0.9
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Chan Siu Ming'.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLDEN KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1705557  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 09/02/2017  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 16/02/2017


### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.  
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.  
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: pH and Temperature  
Description: pH Meter  
Brand Name: AZ  
Model No.: 8685  
Serial No.: 1127748  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganics

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

Page 1 of 2

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



**Work Order:** HK1705557  
**Sub-batch:** 0  
**Date of Issue:** 16/02/2017  
**Client:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

**Description:** pH Meter  
**Brand Name:** AZ  
**Model No.:** 8685  
**Serial No.:** 1127748  
**Equipment No.:** --

**Date of Calibration:** 14 February, 2017      **Date of next Calibration:** 14 May, 2017

**Parameters:**

**pH Value**

Method Ref: APHA (21st edition), 4500H:B

Expected Reading (pH Unit)	Displayed Reading (pH Unit)	Tolerance (pH unit)
4.0	4.1	+0.10
7.0	6.8	-0.20
10.0	9.8	-0.20
Tolerance Limit (pH Unit)		±0.20

**Temperature**

Method Ref: Section 6 of International Accreditation New Zealand Technical Guide No. 3 Second edition March 2008: Working Thermometer Calibration Procedure.

Expected Reading (°C)	Displayed Reading (°C)	Tolerance (°C)
6.5	7.3	+0.8
20.0	19.0	-1.0
38.0	36.8	-1.2
Tolerance Limit (°C)		±2.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganics



ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd  
11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre  
1-3 Wing Yip Street  
Kwai Chung, N.T., Hong Kong  
T +852 2610 1044 F +852 2610 2021

## REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION

**CONTACT:** MR BEN TAM  
**CLIENT:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES  
**ADDRESS:** RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG,  
NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD,  
KWAI CHUNG,  
N.T., HONG KONG

**WORK ORDER:** HK1705562  
**SUB-BATCH:** 0  
**LABORATORY:** HONG KONG  
**DATE RECEIVED:** 09/02/2017  
**DATE OF ISSUE:** 16/02/2017

### COMMENTS

The performance of the equipment stated in this report is checked with independent reference material and results compared against a calibrated secondary source.  
The "Tolerance Limit" quoted is the acceptance criteria applicable for similar equipment used by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.  
The "Next Calibration Date" is recommended according to best practice principals as practised by the ALS Hong Kong laboratory or quoted from relevant international standards.

Scope of Test: Turbidity  
Equipment Type: Turbidimeter  
Brand Name: HACH  
Model No.: 2100Q  
Serial No.: 11030C008499  
Equipment No.: --  
Date of Calibration: 14 February, 2017

### NOTES

This is the Final Report and supersedes any preliminary report with this batch number.  
Results apply to sample(s) as submitted. All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

  
Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager – Inorganics

*This report may not be reproduced except with prior written approval from ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd.*

Page 1 of 2

# REPORT OF EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK / CALIBRATION



**Work Order:** HK1705562  
**Sub-batch:** 0  
**Date of Issue:** 16/02/2017  
**Client:** ACTION UNITED ENVIRO SERVICES

**Equipment Type:** Turbidimeter  
**Brand Name:** HACH  
**Model No.:** 2100Q  
**Serial No.:** 11030C008499  
**Equipment No.:** --  
**Date of Calibration:** 14 February, 2017      **Date of next Calibration:** 14 May, 2017

## Parameters:

### Turbidity

Method Ref: APHA 21st Ed. 2130B

Expected Reading (NTU)	Displayed Reading (NTU)	Tolerance (%)
0	0.41	--
4	4.00	0.0
40	41.2	+3.0
80	78.8	-1.5
400	380	-5.0
800	742	-7.3
	Tolerance Limit (%)	±10.0

Remark: "Displayed Reading" presents the figures shown on item under calibration / checking regardless of equipment precision or significant figures.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Chan Siu Ming'.

Mr Chan Siu Ming, Vico  
Manager - Inorganics

## **Appendix G**

### **Event and Action Plan**

Event and Action Plan for Air Quality

Event	ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
<b>Action Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method.	1. Notify Contractor.	1. Rectify any unacceptable practice; 2. Amend working methods if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC and ER; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Submit proposals for remedial to ER within 3 working days of notification; 2. Implement the agreed proposals; 3. Amend proposal if appropriate.
<b>Limit Level</b>				
1. Exceedance for one sample	1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results.	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Amend proposal if appropriate.
2. Exceedance for two or more consecutive samples	1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC	1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor's working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented;	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not
	and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	the ER accordingly; 5. Monitor the implementation of remedial measures.	5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated.	under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated.

**Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise**

Event		ET	IEC	ER	Action Contractor
Action Level	1. Notify ER, IEC and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the IEC and Contractor on remedial measures required; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness.	1. Review the investigation results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; 3. Advise the ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures.	1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals.	
Limit Level	1. Inform IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 3. Increase monitoring frequency; 4. Identify source and investigate the cause of exceedance; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor's working procedures; 6. Discuss with the IEC, Contractor and ER on remedial measures required; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor's remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring.	1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractor's remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly.	1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consolidation with the IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures; 5. If exceedance continues, consider stopping the Contractor to continue working on that portion of work which causes the exceedance until the exceedance is abated.	1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC and ER within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Submit further proposal if problem still not under control; 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as instructed by the ER until the exceedance is abated.	

Event and Action Plan for Water Quality

EVENT	ACTION CONTRACTOR			
	ET	IEC	ER	CONTRACTOR
Action level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Action Level being exceeded by more than two consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Prepare to increase the monitoring frequency to daily;</li> <li>8. Repeat measurement on next day of exceedance.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET and IEC and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 2 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit Level being exceeded by one sampling day	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures.</li> </ol>
Limit level being exceeded by more than one consecutive sampling days	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Repeat in-situ measurement to confirm findings;</li> <li>2. Identify reasons for non-compliance and sources of impact;</li> <li>3. Inform IEC, Contractor and EPD;</li> <li>4. Check monitoring data, all plant, equipment and Contractor's working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss mitigation measures with IEC, ER and Contractor;</li> <li>6. Ensure mitigation measures are implemented;</li> <li>7. Increase the monitoring frequency to daily until no exceedance of Limit Level for two consecutive days.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with ET and Contractor on the mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Review proposals on mitigation measures submitted by Contractor and advise the ER accordingly;</li> <li>3. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Discuss with IEC, ET and Contractor on the proposed mitigation measures;</li> <li>2. Request Contractor to critically review the working methods;</li> <li>3. Make agreement on the mitigation measures to be implemented;</li> <li>4. Assess the effectiveness of the implemented mitigation measures;</li> <li>5. Consider and instruct, if necessary, the Contractor to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities until no exceedance of Limit Level.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Inform the ER and confirm notification of the non-compliance in writing;</li> <li>2. Rectify unacceptable practice;</li> <li>3. Check all plant and equipment;</li> <li>4. Consider changes of working methods;</li> <li>5. Discuss with ET, IEC and ER and propose mitigation measures to IEC and ER within 3 working days;</li> <li>6. Implement the agreed mitigation measures;</li> <li>7. As directed by the ER, to slow down or to stop all or part of the construction activities.</li> </ol>

## **Appendix H**

### **Impact Monitoring Schedule**

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for Reporting Period –April 2017**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
SAT	1-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8			
SUN	2-APR-17				
MON	3-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	4-APR-17				
WED	5-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
THU	6-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	7-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
SAT	8-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	9-APR-17				
MON	10-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	11-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
WED	12-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	13-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
FRI	14-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SAT	15-APR-17				
SUN	16-APR-17				
MON	17-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
TUE	18-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	19-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
THU	20-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	21-APR-17				
SAT	22-APR-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	23-APR-17				
MON	24-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	25-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
WED	26-APR-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	27-APR-17				
FRI	28-APR-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
SAT	29-APR-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	30-APR-17				

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

**Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period –May 2017**

Date		Dust Monitoring		Noise Monitoring	Water Quality
		1-hour TSP	24-hour TSP		
MON	1-MAY-17				
TUE	2-MAY-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	3-MAY-17				
THU	4-MAY-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	5-MAY-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	
SAT	6-MAY-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	7-MAY-17				
MON	8-MAY-17				
TUE	9-MAY-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	10-MAY-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
THU	11-MAY-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	12-MAY-17				
SAT	13-MAY-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	14-MAY-17				
MON	15-MAY-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	16-MAY-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
WED	17-MAY-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
THU	18-MAY-17				
FRI	19-MAY-17				
SAT	20-MAY-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	21-MAY-17				
MON	22-MAY-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8	NM1, NM2a, NM8, NM9 & NM10	
TUE	23-MAY-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
WED	24-MAY-17				
THU	25-MAY-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
FRI	26-MAY-17		AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b		
SAT	27-MAY-17	AM1b, AM2, AM3 & AM9b	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
SUN	28-MAY-17				
MON	29-MAY-17	AM4b, AM5, AM6, AM7b & AM8		NM3, NM4, NM5, NM6 & NM7	All Water Quality Monitoring Locations
TUE	30-MAY-17				
WED	31-MAY-17				All Water Quality Monitoring Locations

	Monitoring Day
	Sunday or Public Holiday

# **Appendix I**

## **Database of Monitoring Result**

**24-hour TSP Monitoring Data**

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
<b>AM1b – Open Area, Tsung Yuen Ha Village</b>															
5-Apr-17	20757	12890.39	12914.57	1450.80	50	50	50.0	23.4	1014.8	1.72	2498	2.8358	3.0433	0.2075	83
11-Apr-17	20791	12914.57	12938.75	1450.80	50	50	50.0	26.1	1007.7	1.71	2475	2.8212	2.9835	0.1623	66
17-Apr-17	20839	12938.75	12962.89	1448.40	48	48	48.0	26	1010.9	1.63	2361	2.8160	3.0046	0.1886	80
22-Apr-17	20888	12962.89	12986.97	1444.80	48	48	48.0	20.6	1012.9	1.55	2237	2.7964	2.9703	0.1739	78
28-Apr-17	20895	12986.97	13011.12	1449.00	48	48	48.0	21.8	1015.2	1.55	2241	2.8019	2.9750	0.1731	77
<b>AM2 - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>															
5-Apr-17	20756	8403.00	8427.14	1448.40	34	34	34.0	23.4	1014.8	0.95	1370	2.8349	3.0064	0.1715	125
11-Apr-17	20789	8427.14	8450.89	1425.00	35	35	35.0	26.1	1007.7	0.97	1382	2.8289	2.9630	0.1341	97
17-Apr-17	20840	8450.89	8474.71	1429.20	40	40	40.0	26	1010.9	1.14	1630	2.8179	2.9473	0.1294	79
22-Apr-17	20887	8474.71	8498.47	1425.60	40	40	40.0	20.6	1012.9	1.07	1531	2.8003	2.9726	0.1723	113
28-Apr-17	20896	8498.47	8522.07	1416.00	40	40	40.0	21.8	1015.2	1.07	1519	2.7776	2.9962	0.2186	144
<b>AM3 - Ta Kwu Ling Fire Service Station of Ta Kwu Ling Village</b>															
5-Apr-17	20755	9548.17	9572.21	1442.40	42	42	42.0	23.4	1014.8	1.12	1613	2.8175	2.9971	0.1796	111
11-Apr-17	20790	9572.21	9596.21	1440.00	38	38	38.0	26.1	1007.7	0.95	1372	2.8186	2.9613	0.1427	104
17-Apr-17	20837	9596.21	9620.21	1440.00	34	34	34.0	26	1010.9	0.80	1155	2.8217	2.9339	0.1122	97
22-Apr-17	20846	9620.21	9644.22	1440.60	36	36	36.0	20.6	1012.9	0.88	1267	2.8460	2.9951	0.1491	118
28-Apr-17	20913	9644.22	9668.22	1440.00	36	36	36.0	21.8	1015.2	0.88	1265	2.8032	2.9735	0.1703	135
<b>AM4b - House no. 10B1 Nga Yiu Ha Village</b>															
6-Apr-17	20759	11553.49	11577.49	1440.00	44	44	44.0	23.5	1012.5	1.25	1798	2.8437	2.9407	0.0970	54
12-Apr-17	20795	11577.49	11601.49	1440.00	44	44	44.0	20.6	1013.2	1.26	1808	2.8115	2.8943	0.0828	46
18-Apr-17	20841	11601.49	11625.49	1440.00	43	43	43.0	26.7	1008.9	1.21	1745	2.8248	2.9976	0.1728	99
24-Apr-17	20894	11625.49	11649.50	1440.60	40	40	40.0	21.5	1014.3	1.11	1605	2.8001	2.9162	0.1161	72
29-Apr-17	20919	11649.50	11673.50	1440.00	44	44	44.0	23	1014.4	1.23	1772	2.8117	2.9377	0.1260	71
<b>AM5a - Ping Yeung Village House</b>															
6-Apr-17	20760	9399.64	9423.64	1440.00	48	48	48.0	23.5	1012.5	1.55	2232	2.8369	2.9588	0.1219	55
12-Apr-17	20796	9423.64	9447.64	1440.00	48	48	48.0	20.6	1013.2	1.56	2242	2.8340	2.9780	0.1440	64
18-Apr-17	20842	9447.64	9471.65	1440.60	48	48	48.0	26.7	1008.9	1.54	2219	2.8100	3.0496	0.2396	108
24-Apr-17	20893	9471.65	9495.65	1440.00	48	48	48.0	21.5	1014.3	1.47	2119	2.7907	2.9607	0.1700	80
29-Apr-17	20916	9495.65	9519.66	1440.60	48	48	48.0	23	1014.4	1.47	2115	2.8174	2.9518	0.1344	64
<b>AM6 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>															
6-Apr-17	20761	7981.76	8005.78	1441.20	55	55	55.0	23.5	1012.5	1.57	2264	2.8110	3.1385	0.3275	145
12-Apr-17	20794	8005.78	8029.78	1440.00	60	60	60.0	20.6	1013.2	1.70	2442	2.7988	3.1004	0.3016	124

DATE	SAMPLE NUMBER	ELAPSED TIME			CHART READING			AVG TEMP (°C)	AVG AIR PRESS (hPa)	STANDARD FLOW RATE (m <sup>3</sup> /min)	AIR VOLUME (std m <sup>3</sup> )	FILTER WEIGHT (g)		DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g)	24-HR TSP (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		INITIAL	FINAL	(min)	MIN	MAX	AVG					INITIAL	FINAL		
18-Apr-17	20843	8029.78	8053.78	1440.00	60	60	60.0	26.7	1008.9	1.68	2417	2.8061	3.1225	0.3164	131
24-Apr-17	20892	8053.78	8077.79	1440.60	60	60	60.0	21.5	1014.3	1.62	2330	2.7920	3.0861	0.2941	126
29-Apr-17	20917	8077.79	8101.79	1440.00	60	60	60.0	23.0	1014.4	1.61	2324	2.8111	3.1523	0.3412	147
<b>AM7b - Loi Tung Village House</b>															
6-Apr-17	20762	17028.60	17052.60	1440.00	40	40	40.0	23.5	1012.5	1.03	1489	2.8321	2.8862	0.0541	36
12-Apr-17	20792	17052.60	17076.60	1440.00	34	34	34.0	20.6	1013.2	0.85	1231	2.8246	2.9004	0.0758	62
18-Apr-17	20844	17076.60	17100.60	1440.00	38	38	38.0	26.7	1008.9	0.96	1388	2.8100	2.9802	0.1702	123
24-Apr-17	20891	17100.60	17124.61	1440.60	39	39	39.0	21.5	1014.3	0.95	1365	2.7870	2.9040	0.1170	86
29-Apr-17	20918	17124.61	17148.61	1440.00	38	40	39.0	23	1014.4	0.94	1360	2.8243	2.9437	0.1194	88
<b>AM8 - Po Kat Tsai Village No. 4</b>															
6-Apr-17	20785	10925.23	10949.22	1439.40	42	42	42.0	23.5	1012.5	1.15	1648	2.7843	2.8759	0.0916	56
12-Apr-17	20793	10949.23	10973.24	1440.60	40	40	40.0	20.6	1013.2	1.09	1576	2.8197	2.9880	0.1683	107
18-Apr-17	20845	10973.24	10997.24	1440.00	35	35	35.0	26.7	1008.9	0.94	1353	2.8128	2.9530	0.1402	104
24-Apr-17	20890	10997.24	11021.24	1440.00	34	34	34.0	21.5	1014.3	0.87	1254	2.7926	2.9012	0.1086	87
29-Apr-17	20915	11021.24	11045.24	1440.00	38	38	38.0	23	1014.4	0.98	1409	2.8076	2.9436	0.1360	97
<b>AM9b - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>															
5-Apr-17	20758	18304.45	18328.45	1440.00	30	30	30.0	23.4	1014.8	0.92	1329	2.8390	2.8852	0.0462	35
11-Apr-17	20788	18328.45	18352.45	1440.00	32	34	33.0	26.1	1007.7	1.02	1473	2.8018	2.8678	0.0660	45
17-Apr-17	20838	18352.45	18376.45	1440.00	32	36	34.0	26	1010.9	1.06	1528	2.8090	2.8691	0.0601	39
22-Apr-17	20889	18376.45	18400.45	1440.00	30	32	31.0	20.6	1012.9	0.90	1297	2.7988	2.8629	0.0641	49
28-Apr-17	20914	18400.45	18424.46	1440.60	28	28	28.0	21.8	1015.2	0.80	1148	2.8082	2.8477	0.0395	34

**Construction Noise Monitoring Results, dB(A)**

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub> n	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
<b>NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63</b>																					
6-Apr-17	9:30	58.4	61.4	52.4	59.2	62.0	54.2	58.9	61.5	54.3	62.2	63.2	54.9	62.1	63.7	54.6	62.8	65.7	56.6	61	NA
12-Apr-17	9:44	58.9	60.5	56.5	61.7	64.5	56.5	60.6	63.5	55.5	60.4	63.0	55.5	60.2	62.5	50.0	60.8	63.5	55.5	61	NA
18-Apr-17	9:28	57.1	58.5	52.0	55.0	57.0	51.0	55.1	57.5	50.5	55.4	58.0	50.5	56.1	58.0	52.5	57.1	59.0	53.0	56	NA
24-Apr-17	10:07	53.5	55.5	48.5	54.8	56.0	49.0	53.8	56.0	49.5	54.4	56.0	51.5	55.7	58.5	50.5	54.5	56.0	50.0	55	NA
<b>NM2a - Village House near Lin Ma Hang Road</b>																					
6-Apr-17	10:09	75.4	67.9	64.4	65.3	65.8	63.9	64.7	65.5	63.6	65.2	66.7	63.7	76.8	69.1	63.3	65.1	66.5	63.3	72	75
12-Apr-17	10:32	66.9	69.5	57.5	66.2	68.5	55.0	67.0	70.5	55.5	66.0	69.5	56.5	66.0	67.5	56.5	66.9	70.0	55.5	67	70
18-Apr-17	10:13	67.1	69.5	58.0	66.2	69.0	60.0	65.4	68.0	60.0	68.8	71.0	57.5	69.2	72.5	60.5	69.2	72.5	60.0	68	71
24-Apr-17	9:21	64.6	67.0	60.0	63.8	66.0	60.5	64.1	66.0	60.5	64.8	67.5	61.0	65.8	69.0	61.5	63.2	65.5	58.5	64	67
<b>NM3 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>																					
7-Apr-17	9:34	54.5	56.6	45.9	55.8	59.5	47.8	58.5	61.7	50.8	54.9	56.8	49.1	55.6	59.2	48.9	58.2	61.0	50.7	57	NA
13-Apr-17	9:47	57.7	59.5	55.6	58.6	60.3	55.9	58.4	59.8	56.1	58.4	60.2	56.6	58.9	59.9	56.8	61.1	62.1	57.2	59	NA
19-Apr-17	10:33	57.8	59.4	55.5	58.7	60.4	56.0	58.4	59.7	56.2	58.4	60.3	56.7	59.0	60.0	56.8	60.2	61.0	57.3	59	NA
25-Apr-17	9:26	66.9	69.8	59.1	62.2	65.4	59.0	63.1	64.9	59.3	62.8	65.1	59.2	64.1	65.5	58.4	62.1	63.7	57.4	64	NA
<b>NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>																					
7-Apr-17	10:08	64.6	65.5	50.3	64.4	65.3	50.1	62.1	64.5	49.1	64.1	65.3	50.1	62.0	59.6	49.9	61.1	58.9	48.9	63	NA
13-Apr-17	9:10	62.5	66.3	63.1	61.7	64.1	54.2	62.5	63.4	53.9	62.9	62.9	54.1	60.0	62.1	53.9	62.2	65.1	54.4	62	NA
19-Apr-17	11:05	62.5	66.0	51.9	61.7	63.6	51.3	60.4	60.7	54.1	61.9	61.2	52.7	62.3	63.6	52.6	61.2	62.6	51.4	62	NA
25-Apr-17	10:00	64.3	65.5	50.1	62.9	64.7	49.9	64.7	65.2	50.4	65.6	67.7	50.8	63.8	59.7	51.9	66.8	69.8	52.3	65	NA
<b>NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>																					
7-Apr-17	10:45	56.2	57.6	51.1	55.3	57.2	50.2	56.8	60.2	50.3	53.3	56.1	49.9	53.5	55.6	50.2	55.0	58.1	49.4	55	NA
13-Apr-17	10:30	51.3	53.8	47.1	51.2	53.7	47.3	53.3	56.5	48.0	52.7	54.9	47.8	53.6	56.1	48.0	52.4	55.9	47.6	53	NA
19-Apr-17	11:41	51.7	54.0	47.1	51.3	53.8	47.5	53.3	56.6	48.0	52.8	55.1	47.9	53.3	56.1	48.2	52.3	55.8	47.7	53	NA
25-Apr-17	10:35	51.8	54.1	47.3	51.3	53.7	47.4	53.1	55.9	47.9	52.9	55.0	47.8	53.8	56.1	48.1	52.3	55.8	47.8	53	NA
<b>NM6 - Tai Tong Wu Village House 2</b>																					
7-Apr-17	11:20	58.8	61.1	54.2	59.2	60.8	53.2	56.4	59.4	51.8	57.3	60.4	51.0	55.7	58.1	52.1	57.4	59.4	54.2	58	NA
13-Apr-17	11:05	59.9	62.4	53.9	62.0	63.1	49.8	63.1	68.0	49.3	60.8	64.1	50.8	59.9	62.9	50.1	61.8	64.2	50.9	61	NA
19-Apr-17	12:14	59.8	62.3	53.7	62.0	65.2	49.8	62.7	67.0	48.3	60.7	64.1	50.7	59.5	62.6	49.9	61.6	64.6	50.7	61	NA
25-Apr-17	11:09	58.8	61.7	53.1	59.2	61.8	54.1	60.8	62.8	54.9	59.1	63.1	53.9	58.7	62.8	54.2	58.8	63.2	54.7	59	NA
<b>NM7 - Po Kat Tsai Village</b>																					
7-Apr-17	13:00	58.1	60.1	56.1	59.4	61.3	55.3	60.1	63.1	55.5	61.0	63.2	56.0	59.9	62.4	55.6	58.9	60.4	55.9	60	NA

Date	Start Time	1 <sup>st</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub> n	L10	L90	2 <sup>nd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	3 <sup>rd</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	4 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	5 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	6 <sup>th</sup> Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	Leq30	façade correction
13-Apr-17	13:00	54.7	57.7	51.6	55.0	57.3	51.8	60.1	58.9	52.0	55.5	56.8	51.7	55.3	55.9	49.9	55.9	56.1	51.1	57	NA
19-Apr-17	12:53	55.1	57.8	51.6	55.1	57.3	51.5	54.2	55.9	49.9	55.5	56.8	50.6	54.6	55.8	49.8	56.0	56.7	50.9	55	NA
25-Apr-17	12:46	63.4	65.4	58.7	63.1	65.9	59.5	68.1	69.8	61.3	64.3	67.1	59.9	64.8	67.2	59.2	64.4	66.8	57.7	65	NA
<b>NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang</b>																					
6-Apr-17	10:07	59.6	65.2	50.4	57.8	61.6	50.1	58.9	64.8	49.6	57.9	63.4	48.8	59.4	65.5	48.5	57	58.7	48	59	NA
10-Apr-17	9:25	53.6	55.2	51	56.8	56.6	51.5	54.4	56.8	51.7	55.5	57	52.5	57.6	58.5	52.6	55.5	56.7	51.5	56	NA
18-Apr-17	9:49	58.5	63.2	47	59.7	63.7	47.5	60.8	66.6	48.3	59.6	65.8	48.5	57.5	58.5	48.3	60.9	66.5	47	60	NA
24-Apr-17	13:01	61.3	64.7	56	62.1	64.9	56.7	61.7	64.8	56.3	60.1	63.3	56.1	60.7	63.7	56.8	61	64.1	56.8	61	NA
<b>NM9 - Village House, Kiu Tau Village</b>																					
6-Apr-17	10:51	61.6	64.1	58.2	60.5	63.7	58.7	61.6	64.5	58.5	60.9	62.0	58.0	62.8	65.4	58.5	61.9	63.5	58.6	62	NA
10-Apr-17	10:18	64.1	66.7	61.4	65.7	67.9	62.9	66.7	69.0	63.0	65.8	68.5	62.2	66.4	69.4	63.7	65.6	68.7	62.0	66	NA
18-Apr-17	10:33	61.8	64.5	58.0	60.9	63.7	58.6	62.5	65.5	58.8	60.5	61.6	58.7	62.7	63.9	59.0	63.5	64.5	60.5	62	NA
24-Apr-17	13:37	63.4	67.5	59.4	65.1	68.4	59.0	64.7	68.8	59.7	62.1	65.7	58.4	62.7	65.8	58.5	63.0	67.9	59.1	64	NA
<b>NM10 - Nam Wa Po Village House No. 80</b>																					
6-Apr-17	11:36	62.8	63.6	60.1	61.8	62.9	59.8	61.5	63.5	60.3	62.7	63.3	60.5	62.6	63.3	60.6	62.5	63.5	60.0	62	65
10-Apr-17	11:00	60.7	61.9	59.0	61.2	62.6	59.2	61.6	63.2	59.6	60.4	62.7	59.5	61.7	62.4	60.3	60.6	61.1	59.2	61	64
18-Apr-17	11:18	60.6	61.3	59.0	61.3	62.5	59.1	61.4	63.7	59.5	62.5	64.0	59.1	62.9	64.5	60.5	63.2	65.3	60.9	62	65
24-Apr-17	14:15	61.4	64.3	57.7	62.5	65.1	57.6	61.5	64.5	57.7	60.7	63.9	58.0	63.1	66.7	59.1	51.1	63.8	58.5	61	64

**Noise Monitoring Results for Restricted Hour, dB(A)**

Evening Time						Night Time					
Date	Start Time	Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	façade correction Leq <sub>5min</sub>	Date	Start Time	Leq <sub>5min</sub>	L10	L90	façade correction Leq <sub>5min</sub>
<b>NM1 - Tsung Yuen Ha Village House No. 63</b>											
7-Apr-17	22:07	47	51	42	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>NM4 - Wo Keng Shan Village House</b>											
7-Apr-17	22:30	58	53	42	NA	--	--	--	--	--	--
<b>NM5 - Ping Yeung Village House</b>											
7-Apr-17	22:47	59	47	40	NA	7-Apr-17	23:02	44	47	41	NA
<b>NM7 - Po Kat Tsai Village</b>											
7-Apr-17	21:44	58	58	54	NA	7-Apr-17	23:26	56	58	53	NA
<b>NM8 - Village House, Tong Hang</b>											
7-Apr-17	21:11	59	53	47	NA	7-Apr-17	23:54	60	65	48	NA

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6 and SS C505**

Date	1-Apr-17#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:27	0.34							351.0	355.0			199	199.0
											359.0			
WM1	9:38	0.26							409.0	414.5			216	216.0
											420.0			

Date	3-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:50	0.34	19.5	19.5	6.04	6.1	65.7	65.8	60.9	60.8	9.5	9.5	74	75.5
			19.5		6.06		65.9		60.7		9.5		77	
WM1	10:00	0.26	20.7	20.7	8.22	8.2	91.7	92.0	61.9	57.3	9.2	9.2	50	48.0
			20.7		8.25		92.3		52.7		9.2		46	

Date	6-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:55	0.34	24	24.0	5.16	5.2	61.1	61.2	48.9	49.3	8.4	8.4	48	45.0
			24		5.17		61.2		49.7		8.4		42	
WM1	10:05	0.26	23.7	23.7	6.87	6.9	81.1	81.2	59.0	59.0	8.2	8.2	39	37.5
			23.7		6.89		81.3		58.9		8.2		36	

Date	8-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:50	0.34	24.3	24.4	6.53	6.6	78.2	78.8	75.8	76.6	8.6	8.6	107	104.0
			24.4		6.6		79.3		77.4		8.6		101	
WM1	9:59	0.17	25.5	25.6	7.81	7.9	95.6	96.1	84.7	85.1	8.3	8.3	79	83.0
			25.7		7.89		96.6		85.5		8.3		87	

Date	10-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:10	0.34	26	26.0	5.13	5.1	62.7	62.8	76.2	75.9	7.9	7.9	80	81.0
			26		5.14		62.9		75.5		7.9		82	
WM1	10:25	0.26	26.2	26.2	4.95	4.9	59.7	59.7	77.1	77.3	6.6	6.6	60	61.5
			26.2		4.94		59.6		77.5		6.6		63	

Date	12-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:45	0.34	23	23.0	5	5.0	58.1	57.8	66.8	65.8	8.9	8.9	88	90.0
			23		4.97		57.5		64.8		8.9		92	
WM1	9:55	0.26	21.5	21.5	7.6	7.6	86.0	86.1	40.5	40.1	8.5	8.5	40	42.0
			21.5		7.61		86.1		39.6		8.5		44	

Date	14-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:25	0.34	19	19.0	6.21	6.2	66.8	66.9	343.0	347.5	8.5	8.5	167	173.0
			19		6.23		66.9		352.0		8.5		179	
WM1	9:35	0.26	19.5	19.5	7.07	7.1	77.0	77.1	365.0	361.5	8.9	8.9	169	172.5
			19.5		7.08		77.2		358.0		8.9		176	

Date	18-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	10:10	0.26	27.5	27.5	3.72	3.7	46.8	46.9	69.8	67.5	8.8	8.8	52	50.0
			27.5		3.74		47.0		65.1		8.8		48	
WM1	10:20	0.34	27.5	27.5	5.44	5.4	68.9	68.8	75.9	75.1	7.9	7.9	65	63.0
			27.5		5.43		68.7		74.3		7.9		61	

Date	20-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	27.3	27.3	4.53	4.6	57.3	57.6	188.0	185.0	8.3	8.3	256	262.0
			27.3		4.57		57.8		182.0		8.3		268	
WM1	9:40	0.26	26.5	26.5	5.19	5.1	64.7	63.6	90.0	86.3	7.7	7.7	42	40.5
			26.5		5.05		62.5		82.5		7.7		39	

Date	22-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:01	0.34	21.2	21.2	7.48	7.5	84.2	84.7	77.7	78.1	8.5	8.5	55	54.0
			21.2		7.53		85.1		78.4		8.5		53	
WM1	9:23	0.18	21.3	21.3	6.89	6.9	77.8	78.1	609.0	621.5	8.2	8.2	590	569.0
			21.3		6.93		78.4		634.0		8.2		548	

Date	24-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	11:33	0.34	22.3	22.3	6.25	6.2	71.3	71.0	368.0	366.0	8	8.0	169	170.5
			22.3		6.2		70.6		364.0		8		172	
WM1	11:20	0.26	22.9	22.9	5.4	5.4	62.8	62.5	169.0	175.5	7.7	7.7	145	145.0
			22.9		5.35		62.1		182.0		7.7		145	

Date	25-Apr-17#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:45	0.34							69.2	69.0			45	45.0
							68.8				45			
WM1	9:57	0.26							134.0	135.0			91	91.0
							136.0				91			

Date 26-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	23.3	23.3	6.16	6.2	71.7	71.9	223.0	224.5	8.9	8.9	87	96.0
			23.3		6.17		72.1		226.0		8.9		105	
WM1	9:40	0.26	23.9	23.9	5.04	5.0	59.7	59.2	315.0	315.5	8.3	8.3	147	140.5
			23.9		4.98		58.7		316.0		8.3		134	

Date 27-Apr-17#														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:50	0.35							304.0	297.5			346	346.0
									291.0					
WM1	10:00	0.26							171.0	171.5			106	106.0
									172.0					

Date 28-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM1-C	9:30	0.34	21.4	21.4	6.52	6.5	72.2	72.6	112.0	112.5	9	9.0	129	124.5
			21.4		6.51		72.9		113.0		9		120	
WM1	9:43	0.26	21.8	21.8	6.36	6.4	72.3	72.3	135.0	130.0	8.5	8.5	76	79.0
			21.8		6.34		72.2		125.0		8.5		82	

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	<b>Action Level</b>
	<b>Limit Level</b>

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 3**

Date	3-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:30	0.18	24	24.0	8.47	8.5	100.7	100.9	7.9	7.2	7.7	7.7	5	7.5
			24		8.49		101.0		6.5		7.7		10	
WM4-CB	11:40	0.31	24.3	24.3	6.32	6.3	76.0	76.2	16.3	16.2	7.5	7.5	14	14.0
			24.3		6.34		76.3		16.1		7.5		14	
WM4	11:20	0.15	22.1	22.1	7.77	7.8	89.1	89.4	11.8	11.7	7.9	7.9	12	12.0
			22.1		7.79		89.7		11.5		7.9		12	

Date	6-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:15	0.18	23.7	23.7	7.78	7.8	91.8	91.9	5.4	5.4	8.2	8.2	5	4.5
			23.7		7.79		91.9		5.4		8.2		4	
WM4-CB	12:35	0.31	24.6	24.6	4.74	4.8	56.9	57.0	19.5	19.2	7.8	7.8	32	32.0
			24.6		4.76		57.1		18.9		7.8		32	
WM4	12:05	0.15	24	24.0	6.38	6.4	75.7	75.8	7.8	7.8	8.3	8.3	8	8.0
			24		6.39		75.8		7.9		8.3		8	

Date	8-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:19	0.18	26.1	26.1	8.28	8.3	101.9	102.1	8.2	8.3	7.3	7.3	4	3.5
			26.1		8.3		102.3		8.3		7.3		3	
WM4-CB	12:37	0.35	26.1	26.1	6.2	6.3	76.5	77.2	18.5	18.8	7	7.0	21	21.5
			26.1		6.3		77.9		19.0		7		22	
WM4	12:04	0.19	25.7	25.7	7.19	7.2	87.8	88.3	14.2	14.6	7.3	7.3	18	17.0
			25.6		7.2		88.7		15.0		7.3		16	

Date	10-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	12:15	0.18	24.8	24.8	7.57	7.6	90.4	90.5	7.3	7.0	6.8	6.8	5	4.5
			24.8		7.56		90.5		6.7		6.8		4	
WM4-CB	12:25	0.31	25.6	25.6	4.66	4.7	57.2	57.3	17.4	17.5	6.4	6.4	14	14.5
			25.6		4.68		57.4		17.5		6.4		15	
WM4	12:05	0.15	25.3	25.3	6.48	6.5	78.6	78.7	12.2	11.3	6.8	6.8	15	14.5
			25.3		6.49		78.7		10.3		6.8		14	

Date	12-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:20	0.18	22.2	22.2	7.94	7.9	91.2	91.1	7.5	7.3	9.7	9.7	4	4.0
			22.2		7.92		90.9		7.2		9.7		4	
WM4-CB	11:30	0.31	22.4	22.4	4.96	4.9	57.4	57.0	20.6	20.2	9	9.0	20	19.5
			22.4		4.91		56.5		19.7		9		19	
WM4	11:10	0.15	22.1	22.1	6.62	6.6	76.0	75.7	34.4	33.0	9.8	9.8	18	18.5
			22.1		6.59		75.4		31.6		9.8		19	

Date	14-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	10:55	0.18	21	21.0	8.15	8.2	91.4	91.5	10.4	10.9	8.7	8.7	8	7.0
			21		8.17		91.6		11.4		8.7		6	
WM4-CB	11:10	0.31	21.9	21.9	4.41	4.4	50.3	50.4	47.3	47.1	8.1	8.1	42	41.5
			21.9		4.43		50.4		46.8		8.1		41	
WM4	10:40	0.15	20.9	20.9	7.05	7.1	79.0	79.1	26.3	25.4	8.7	8.7	12	13.0
			20.9		7.06		79.1		24.4		8.7		14	

Date	18-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:45	0.18	27.4	27.4	7.48	7.5	94.5	94.8	5.6	5.0	8	8.0	4	4.5
			27.4		7.52		95.1		4.3		8		5	
WM4-CB	12:00	0.31	28.1	28.1	5.27	5.3	67.4	67.3	17.8	17.7	7.6	7.6	17	17.5
			28.1		5.26		67.1		17.6		7.6		18	
WM4	11:35	0.15	27	27.0	6.76	6.8	84.9	85.0	20.4	20.3	8	8.0	18	19.0
			27		6.77		85.1		20.1		8		20	

Date	20-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:10	0.18	26.9	26.9	6.94	7.0	86.8	87.4	2.3	2.2	8.4	8.4	22	22.0
			26.9		7.01		87.9		2.0		8.4		<2	
WM4-CB	13:20	0.31	27.2	27.2	4.64	4.6	58.6	58.0	17.6	17.7	7.7	7.7	<2	22.0
			27.2		4.55		57.4		17.8		7.7		22	
WM4	13:00	0.15	27.8	27.8	6.22	6.2	79.2	79.5	8.0	7.8	8.3	8.3	10	9.5
			27.8		6.26		79.8		7.7		8.3		9	

Date		22-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:48	0.24	21.3	21.3	7.84	7.9	88.7	88.9	20.4	20.8	8.4	8.4	17	15.5
			21.2		7.93		89.1		21.1		8.4		14	
WM4-CB	12:07	0.37	21.3	21.3	5.64	5.7	63.7	64.1	58.6	59.2	7.9	7.9	50	52.0
			21.3		5.74		64.5		59.7		7.9		54	
WM4	11:36	0.17	21.2	21.2	7.31	7.4	82.3	83.0	275.0	279.0	8.2	8.2	218	209.0
			21.2		7.43		83.7		283.0		8.2		200	

Date		24-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:07	0.18	23	23.0	7.58	7.6	88.3	88.4	11.1	10.5	8.2	8.2	6	6.0
			23		7.59		88.5		9.8		8.2		6	
WM4-CB	13:35	0.31	24.1	24.1	5.44	5.5	65.0	65.1	15.4	15.4	7.7	7.7	18	17.0
			24.1		5.46		65.2		15.4		7.7		16	
WM4	13:00	0.15	23.5	23.5	6.87	6.9	80.8	80.8	22.5	22.9	7.9	7.9	16	16.0
			23.5		6.87		80.7		23.3		7.9		16	

Date		25-Apr-17#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	13:45	0.18							4.1	4.0			4	4.0
							3.9		4					
WM4-CB	13:55	0.31							19.6	18.9			17	17.0
							18.2		17					
WM4	13:40	0.15							23.2	22.8			26	26.0
							22.4		26					

Date		26-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:10	0.18	23.8	23.8	7.34	7.4	86.9	87.0	7.4	6.9	9.5	9.5	5	4.0
			23.8		7.36		87.0		6.4		9.5		3	
WM4-CB	11:20	0.31	24.7	24.7	5.75	5.7	69.0	68.2	17.8	17.6	8.9	8.9	14	13.5
			24.7		5.66		67.4		17.4		8.9		13	
WM4	11:00	0.15	23.9	23.9	6.63	6.6	78.3	78.4	31.7	31.6	9.1	9.1	34	34.5
			23.9		6.62		78.4		31.5		9.1		35	

Date	28-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM4-CA	11:45	0.18	24.4	24.4	7.46	7.5	88.8	89.3	11.5	11.2	8.6	8.6	5	4.5
			24.4		7.51		89.8		10.9		8.6		4	
WM4-CB	12:00	0.31	25.5	25.5	5.13	5.2	62.6	62.8	15.2	14.8	8.2	8.2	12	12.0
			25.5		5.17		63.0		14.4		8.2		12	
WM4	11:25	0.15	23.7	23.7	6.98	7.0	82.2	82.1	33.5	33.2	8.3	8.3	24	23.0
			23.7		6.95		82.0		32.8		8.3		22	

**Remarks:** # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	<b>Action Level</b>
	<b>Limit Level</b>

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 6**

Date														3-Apr-17	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2A-C	10:20	0.28	18.6	18.6	8.11	8.1	86.8	86.7	10.9	10.6	7.50	7.5	6	6.0	
			18.6		8.1		86.6		10.2		7.50		6		
WM2A	10:10	0.17	20.1	20.1	8.45	8.5	93.1	93.2	15.7	14.8	7.70	7.7	15	14.5	
			20.1		8.47		93.2		13.9		7.70		14		

Date														6-Apr-17	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2A-C	10:48	0.29	22	22.0	6.89	6.9	78.8	79.0	9.8	9.8	7.50	7.5	4	4.0	
			22		6.91		79.2		9.9		7.50		4		
WM2A	10:43	0.15	23.2	23.2	7.23	7.2	84.6	84.9	13.7	13.7	7.80	7.8	12	12.5	
			23.2		7.25		85.1		13.6		7.80		13		

Date														8-Apr-17	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2A-C	10:27	0.30	22.8	22.8	7	7.0	80.7	81.3	11.6	11.8	7.80	7.8	4	4.0	
			22.8		7.04		81.9		12.0		7.80		4		
WM2A	10:14	0.17	23.9	23.9	6.88	6.9	81.3	81.7	23.3	23.9	8.20	8.2	26	25.5	
			23.9		6.91		82.1		24.5		8.20		25		

Date														10-Apr-17	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2A-C	10:50	0.28	23.4	23.4	5.27	5.3	61.9	62.0	14.3	14.1	6.50	6.5	12	12.0	
			23.4		5.26		62.0		13.9		6.50		12		
WM2A	10:35	0.17	25.9	25.9	7.14	7.1	87.7	87.8	22.3	22.5	6.90	6.9	19	20.0	
			25.9		7.15		87.8		22.6		6.90		21		

Date														12-Apr-17	
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)		
WM2A-C	10:15	0.28	21.6	21.6	6.62	6.6	75.1	74.9	15.9	15.9	8.00	8.0	5	4.0	
			21.6		6.6		74.7		15.9		8.00		3		
WM2A	10:05	0.17	21.9	21.9	6.85	6.8	78.5	78.0	18.2	18.6	8.30	8.3	11	10.0	
			21.9		6.81		77.5		19.0		8.30		9		

Date 14-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	9:55	0.28	19.7	19.7	6.84	6.8	74.6	74.7	18.7	17.9	8.90	8.9	5	5.5
			19.7		6.85		74.7		17.0		8.90		6	
WM2A	9:45	0.20	19.7	19.7	7.4	7.4	81.2	81.3	77.7	77.8	8.70	8.7	46	47.0
			19.7		7.42		81.4		77.9		8.70		48	

Date 15-Apr-17#														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:15	0.28							10.6	10.5			4	4.0
								10.4	4					
WM2A	10:00	0.20							9.4	10.2			2	2.0
								11.0	2					

Date 18-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:40	0.27	23.8	23.8	6.37	6.4	75.2	75.3	13.3	12.8	7.60	7.6	4	3.5
			23.8		6.38		75.3		12.3		7.60		3	
WM2A	10:30	0.20	26	26.0	6.93	6.9	85.3	85.6	13.1	12.1	7.60	7.6	4	3.5
			26		6.95		85.8		11.0		7.60		3	

Date 20-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:07	0.25	23.9	23.9	5.84	5.8	69.2	69.2	11.3	11.2	7.80	7.8	6	7.0
			23.9		5.84		69.1		11.0		7.80		8	
WM2A	9:52	0.20	25.9	25.9	6.38	6.4	78.4	78.4	20.3	19.5	7.80	7.8	6	6.5
			25.9		6.37		78.3		18.7		7.80		7	

Date 22-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:23	0.27	21	21.0	7.04	7.1	79.1	79.9	18.9	19.2	8.10	8.1	10	9.0
			21		7.1		80.7		19.4		8.10		8	
WM2A	9:41	0.17	21.7	21.7	6.65	6.7	75.8	76.3	47.6	47.9	8.20	8.2	39	37.5
			21.7		6.7		76.7		48.2		8.20		36	

Date														
24-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:55	0.28	21.4	21.4	7.17	7.2	80.8	80.9	12.7	12.7	8.10	8.1	7	6.5
			21.4		7.18		80.9		12.6		8.10		6	
WM2A	11:07	0.20	22.1	22.1	7.29	7.3	83.5	83.6	11.5	11.1	8.00	8.0	4	4.0
			22.1		7.3		83.6		10.6		8.00		4	

Date														
25-Apr-17#														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:45	0.28							22.5	22.6			7	7.0
								22.6			7			
WM2A	10:10	0.20							16.8	17.1			5	5.0
								17.4			5			

Date														
26-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:10	0.28	22.8	22.8	6.51	6.5	75.4	75.6	15.0	14.9	8.90	8.9	8	7.5
			22.8		6.53		75.8		14.7		8.90		7	
WM2A	9:55	0.20	23	23.0	7.15	7.1	83.1	83.0	101.0	100.5	9.00	9.0	32	30.5
			23		7.14		82.8		100.0		9.00		29	

Date														
27-Apr-17#														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:23	0.28							25.8	25.8			13	13.0
								25.7			13			
WM2A	10:10	0.20							10.2	10.1			5	5.0
								10.0			5			

Date														
28-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2A-C	10:35	0.28	21.5	21.5	6.69	6.7	75.6	75.8	57.7	59.8	8.90	8.9	58	58.5
			21.5		6.72		75.9		61.8		8.90		59	
WM2A	10:50	0.20	22.3	22.3	7.62	7.6	93.1	93.2	50.2	50.5	8.80	8.8	66	69.0
			22.3		7.63		93.3		50.7		8.80		72	

Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	<b>Action Level</b>
	<b>Limit Level</b>

Date	3-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:40	0.02	19.3	19.3	9.53	9.5	103.3	103.4	4.3	4.0	7.7	7.7	6	5.5
			19.3		9.53		103.4		3.6		7.7		5	
WM2B	10:30	0.02	22	22.0	8.25	8.3	94.6	94.7	11.0	10.9	7.3	7.3	11	11.0
			22		8.25		94.7		10.8		7.3		11	

Date	6-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:08	0.01	22.3	22.3	8.51	8.5	97.5	97.8	5.4	5.3	8.3	8.3	<2	<2
			22.3		8.53		98.0		5.3		8.3		<2	
WM2B	11:01	0.03	24.3	24.3	7.37	7.4	88.0	88.4	8.5	8.5	8.3	8.3	5	5.0
			24.3		7.4		88.8		8.4		8.3		5	

Date	8-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	10:57	0.02	23.6	23.7	8.07	8.1	94.5	95.1	3.5	3.5	7.8	7.8	3	2.5
			23.7		8.12		95.7		3.5		7.8		2	
WM2B	10:49	0.02	25.1	25.2	7.15	7.2	87.2	87.8	11.2	11.1	7.3	7.3	10	11.0
			25.2		7.2		88.3		11.0		7.3		12	

Date	10-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM2B-C	11:10	0.02	23.8	23.8	8.24	8.2	97.2	97.3	6.9	7.0	7.7	7.7	5	5.5
			23.8		8.23		97.3		7.1		7.7		6	
WM2B	11:00	0.02	26.2	26.2	7.26	7.3	89.8	89.9	11.0	10.5	7.9	7.9	8	9.0
			26.2		7.27		89.9		9.9		7.9		10	

**Water Quality Monitoring Data for Contract 2 and 6**

Date		1-Apr-17#												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:21	0.03							6.7	6.5			10	10.0
									6.3		10			
WM3	10:37	0.13							10.7	10.8			12	12.0
									10.9		12			

Date		3-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:55	0.03	22.2	22.2	7.99	8.0	91.8	92.1	35.0	34.9	8.3	8.3	61	55.5
			22.2		8.03		92.3		34.8		8.3		50	
WM3	11:05	0.15	21.8	21.8	8.9	8.9	101.4	101.6	15.7	15.9	8.2	8.2	15	15.5
			21.8		8.92		101.7		16.0		8.2		16	

Date		6-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:19	0.02	24.5	24.5	7.55	7.5	90.6	90.6	4.3	4.4	8.8	8.8	6	6.5
			24.5		7.54		90.5		4.5		8.8		7	
WM3	11:29	0.13	23.3	23.3	7.48	7.5	87.7	87.6	6.4	6.4	8.7	8.7	8	8.5
			23.3		7.46		87.4		6.5		8.7		9	

Date		8-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:30	0.07	25.4	25.5	7.05	7.1	86.3	87.1	2.6	2.6	7.4	7.4	9	9.0
			25.5		7.11		87.8		2.6		7.4		9	
WM3	11:19	0.11	25.5	25.6	7.7	7.7	94.5	95.1	8.1	8.2	7.5	7.5	9	9.0
			25.6		7.77		95.7		8.3		7.5		9	

Date		10-Apr-17												
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:25	0.02	25.6	25.6	6.24	6.2	76.8	76.8	12.5	11.6	7	7.0	26	63.5
			25.6		6.23		76.7		10.7		7		101	
WM3	11:35	0.15	24.9	24.9	7.82	7.8	94.1	94.2	9.3	9.2	6.9	6.9	10	10.0
			24.9		7.84		94.2		9.0		6.9		10	

Date	12-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:45	0.15	24.3	24.3	7.09	7.1	85.1	85.5	4.3	3.9	11.4	11.4	<2	<2
			24.3		7.13		85.9		3.5		11.4			
WM3	10:55	0.15	22.6	22.6	6.8	6.8	78.7	78.8	59.2	59.4	10.9	10.9	74	75.5
			22.6		6.81		78.9		59.5		10.9		77	

Date	13-Apr-17#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:45	0.15							9.8	9.7			13	13.0
							9.5		13					
WM3	11:05	0.15							52.8	52.8			38	38.0
							52.8		38					

Date	14-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:10	0.15	21.9	21.9	7.85	7.9	89.7	89.8	10.2	10.4	8	8.0	8	9.0
			21.9		7.86		89.8		10.6		8		10	
WM3	10:20	0.15	21.2	21.2	6.99	7.0	78.5	78.5	49.4	49.5	8.2	8.2	36	37.5
			21.2		6.98		78.5		49.5		8.2		39	

Date	15-Apr-17#													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:30	0.15							11.2	11.4			7	7.0
							11.6		7					
WM3	10:40	0.15							10.3	10.9			7	7.0
							11.4		7					

Date	18-Apr-17													
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	11:00	0.15	26.8	26.8	7.22	7.2	90.2	90.4	13.1	12.4	8.9	8.9	10	10.0
			26.8		7.24		90.5		11.6		8.9		10	
WM3	11:10	0.15	26.8	26.8	7.71	7.7	96.4	97.0	9.7	9.8	8.3	8.3	6	5.0
			26.8		7.77		97.5		9.9		8.3		4	

Date: 20-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:32	0.15	26.5	26.5	7.02	7.0	87.5	87.7	10.2	8.7	7.7	7.7	11	10.5
			26.5		7.04		87.9		7.2		7.7		10	
WM3	10:45	0.15	25.9	25.9	7.49	7.5	92.0	92.2	8.6	7.6	7.7	7.7	<2	<2
			25.9		7.52		92.4		6.6		7.7		<2	

Date: 22-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:45	0.15	24	23.9	7.25	7.3	86.5	87.1	6.5	6.6	7.9	7.9	10	10.0
			23.8		7.3		87.7		6.6		7.9		10	
WM3	10:59	0.17	23.2	23.2	7.31	7.4	85.4	86.0	11.3	11.7	8.2	8.2	7	6.0
			23.1		7.39		86.6		12.0		8.2		5	

Date: 24-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:25	0.15	23.6	23.6	6.89	6.9	81.1	81.0	3.4	3.4	7.8	7.8	5	5.5
			23.6		6.88		80.9		3.4		7.8		6	
WM3	10:35	0.15	23	23.0	7.44	7.4	86.7	86.5	13.1	13.2	7.9	7.9	12	12.0
			23		7.39		86.2		13.2		7.9		12	

Date: 26-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:25	0.15	24.8	24.8	6.7	6.7	80.7	80.9	4.4	3.7	11	11.0	5	5.5
			24.8		6.72		81.1		3.1		11		6	
WM3	10:35	0.15	23.7	23.7	6.27	6.3	73.9	74.2	13.2	13.1	10	10.0	10	10.5
			23.7		6.29		74.4		13.0		10		11	

Date: 28-Apr-17														
Location	Time	Depth (m)	Temp (oC)		DO (mg/L)		DO (%)		Turbidity (NTU)		pH		SS(mg/L)	
WM3-C	10:10	0.15	24.5	24.5	7.05	38.9	84.5	84.7	7.6	7.2	10.4	10.4	<2	<2
			24.5		7.07		84.8		6.9		10.4		<2	
WM3	10:20	0.15	23.2	23.2	7.33	7.3	85.3	85.4	10.6	10.4	9.1	9.1	3	3.5
			23.2		7.32		85.4		10.2		9.1		4	




Remarks: # Additional water quality monitoring for the parameters with Action/Limit Level exceedance triggered only.

	Action Level
	Limit Level




## **Appendix J**

### **Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result**




**Air Quality – 1-hour TSP**






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017






**Air Quality – 24-hour TSP**






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017





Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017






**Noise**





Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017






Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017









Agreement No. CE 45/2008 (CE)  
 Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works  
 Monthly Environmental Monitoring & Audit Report (No.45) – April 2017









**Water Quality**











## **Appendix K**

### **Meteorological Data**

Date		Weather	Total Rainfall (mm)	Ta Kwu Ling Station			
				Mean Air Temp. (°C)	Wind Speed (km/h)	Mean Relative Humidity (%)	Wind Direction
1-Apr-17	Sat	Mainly cloudy.	0.2	19.2	10.2	72.5	E/SE
2-Apr-17	Sun	Sunny intervals and isolated showers	0	18.5	10	53.5	E
3-Apr-17	Mon	Mainly cloudy.	0	18.6	7.6	68.7	E/NE
4-Apr-17	Tue	Mainly cloudy. Moderate south to southwesterly winds	0	21.3	9.2	72.5	E/NE
5-Apr-17	Wed	Light to moderate southwesterly winds.	0	22.9	7.5	78	E/NE
6-Apr-17	Thu	Sunny intervals and isolated showers	0.3	23.9	6	85	E/NE
7-Apr-17	Fri	Mainly cloudy.	0	24.9	6.1	79.7	E/NE
8-Apr-17	Sat	Light to moderate southwesterly winds.	0	25.3	7.5	61.5	SW
9-Apr-17	Sun	Mainly cloudy. Moderate south to southwesterly winds	0	25.7	9.3	72.2	S
10-Apr-17	Mon	Cloudy with a few showers.	Trace	26.6	8.2	82.5	S/SW
11-Apr-17	Tue	Sunny intervals and isolated showers	0.6	25.4	6.5	84.2	S/SW
12-Apr-17	Wed	Mainly cloudy. Moderate south to southwesterly winds	21.5	18.9	6.5	83.7	N/NW
13-Apr-17	Thu	Light to moderate southwesterly winds.	Trace	18.8	5.3	82.2	N/NW
14-Apr-17	Fri	Mainly cloudy. Moderate south to southwesterly winds	0	21.7	11.5	77.8	E/SE
15-Apr-17	Sat	Cloudy with a few showers.	0	24.5	8.5	87.8	E/SE
16-Apr-17	Sun	Sunny intervals and isolated showers	Trace	26.8	7.5	83.5	W/NW
17-Apr-17	Mon	Mainly cloudy.	Trace	27.4	7.5	72	S/SW
18-Apr-17	Tue	Mainly cloudy.	0	27	6.1	72.2	SW
19-Apr-17	Wed	Cloudy with a few showers.	0	27.3	7.5	68	S/SW
20-Apr-17	Thu	Cloudy with a few showers.	3.1	26.6	8.8	80	E/SE
21-Apr-17	Fri	Cloudy with a few showers.	7.8	26.2	11	84	S/SW
22-Apr-17	Sat	Cloudy with a few showers.	6.6	19.8	10.8	89.5	S/SW
23-Apr-17	Sun	Cloudy with a few showers.	1.4	20.2	5.4	79.5	E/NE
24-Apr-17	Mon	Moderate east to southeasterly winds.	Trace	21.4	6.5	82.5	E/NE
25-Apr-17	Tue	Mainly cloudy. Rather low visibility in some areas.	10.9	21.2	10.6	86.5	E/NE
26-Apr-17	Wed	Cloudy with a few showers.	2.9	24.8	9.5	88	E/NE
27-Apr-17	Thu	Moderate east to southeasterly winds.	3.5	21.8	7	83.2	N
28-Apr-17	Fri	Mainly cloudy. Rather low visibility in some areas.	0	21.5	5.8	65	N/NW
29-Apr-17	Sat	Sunny periods and one or two showers	0	21.5	5.8	37.8	SE
30-Apr-17	Sun	Light winds.	0	22.7	7.5	34.3	E/SE

## **Appendix L**

### **Waste Flow Table**

Name of Department : CEDD Contract No./ Work Order No. : CV/2012/08

### Appendix I - Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Month	Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)				Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated						
	Total Quantities Generated [a+b+c+d]	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates) (a)	Reused in the Contract (b)	Reused in Other Projects (c)	Disposed as Public Fill (d)	Imported C&D Material	Metal (in '000kg)	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging (in '000kg)	Plastic (Recycled) (in '000kg)	Chemical Waste (in '000kg)	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.) (in '000 m3)
January	72.9008	0.0000	2.0045	31.5900	39.3063	1.7050	144.0000	0.3600	1.9179	1.7600	0.3210
February	85.6304	0.0000	1.4795	29.9165	54.2343	1.6040	76.9000	0.3000	2.1663	4.3480	0.3365
March	36.8034	0.0000	0.5425	33.0669	3.1940	1.5500	389.2000	0.3000	2.2109	4.0720	0.4167
April	40.2517	0.0000	2.1348	30.9507	7.1663	1.6190	203.4000	0.3000	2.0983	11.2640	0.3862
May	0.0000										
June	0.0000										
Half-year total	235.5863	0.0000	6.1614	125.5241	103.9009	6.4780	813.5000	1.2600	8.3934	21.4440	1.4604
July	0.0000										
August	0.0000										
September	0.0000										
October	0.0000										
November	0.0000										
December	0.0000										
Yearly Total	235.5863	0.0000	6.1614	125.5241	103.9009	6.4780	813.5000	1.2600	8.3934	21.4440	1.4604

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places)

Year	Materials Generated / Imported (in '000 m3)				Actual Quantities of Other C&D Materials / Wastes Generated						
	Total Quantities Generated [a+b+c+d]	Broken Concrete (including rock for recycling into aggregates) (a)	Reused in the Contract (b)	Reused in Other Projects (c)	Disposed as Public Fill (d)	Imported C&D Material	Metal (in '000kg)	Paper/ Cardboard Packaging (in '000kg)	Plastic (Recycled) (in '000kg)	Chemical Waste (in '000kg)	Others (e.g. General Refuse etc.) (in '000 m3)
2013	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
2014	425.4406	0.0000	2.7362	376.3945	46.3099	5.6245	3.2100	0.4390	0.0070	10.8800	2.2609
2015	570.9459	0.0000	20.8159	543.2162	6.9138	4.5492	14.1300	3.9220	11.9700	16.1920	1.1696
2016	905.0989	0.0000	7.4372	427.7834	469.8783	24.8350	259.2290	3.8500	18.7262	34.2936	1.9720
2017	235.5863	0.0000	6.1614	125.5241	103.9009	6.4780	813.5000	1.2600	8.3934	21.4440	1.4604
2018											
Total	2137.0717	0.0000	37.1506	1472.9183	627.0028	41.4867	1090.0690	9.4710	39.0966	82.8096	6.8630

Remark:

- 1) Density of C&D material to be 2.2 metric ton/m3
- 2) Density of General Refuse to be 1.6 metric ton/m3
- 3) Density of Spent Oil to be 0.88 metric ton/m3

### Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	1.150	0.204	0.150	0.000	0.796	1.150	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.170
Feb	1.160	0.308	0.192	0.000	0.660	0.926	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.140
Mar	2.287	0.565	0.060	0.000	1.662	1.055	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.115
Apr	1.004	0.064	0.036	0.000	0.903	0.463	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.075
May											
Jun											
<b>Sub-total</b>	5.601	1.141	0.438	0.000	4.022	3.593	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.500
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
<b>Total</b>	5.601	1.141	0.438	0.000	4.022	3.593	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.500

- Note:**
1. Assume the density of soil fill is 2 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.
  2. Assume the density of rock and broken concrete is 2.5 ton/m<sup>3</sup>.
  3. Assume each truck of C&D wastes is 5m<sup>3</sup>.
  4. The inert C&D materials except slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tuen Mun 38.
  5. The slurry and bentonite are disposed at Tseung Kwun O 137.
  6. The non-inert C&D wastes are disposed at NENT.
  7. Assume the density of metal is 7,850 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.
  8. Assume the density of plastic is 941 kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Forecast of Total Quantities of C&D Materials to be Generated from the Contract										
Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Diposal as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/card board packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
52.5	5.2	12.3	0.0	35.0	41.8	5.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	44.8

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 6(14).
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
- (4) The Contractor shall also submit the latest forecast of the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works, together with a breakdown of the nature where the total amount of C&D materials expected to be generated from the Works if equal to or exceed 50,000 m<sup>3</sup>.

**SUMMARY TABLE FOR WORK PROCESSES OR ACTIVITIES REQUIRING TIMBER FOR TEMPORARY WORKS**

Contract No.: CV/2012/09

Contract Title: Liantang /Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works - Contract 3

<b>Item No.</b>	<b>Description of Works Process or Activity [see note (a) below]</b>	<b>Justifications for Using Timber in Temporary Construction Works</b>	<b>Est. Quantities of Timber Used (m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Actual Quantities Used (m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	Formwork for concreting the Stem wall bay13 of noise barrier NB68A	Easy handling by manpower	4.87	1.95	
2	Formwork for concreting the Stem wall bay2 & 4 of noise barrier NB68	Easy handling by manpower	6.33	2.93	
3	Formwork for concreting the pile AB4	Easy handling by manpower	3.81	3.84	
		Total Estimated Quantity of Timber Used	15.01		

- Notes:
- (a) The Contractor shall list out all the work items requiring timber for use in temporary construction works. Several minor work items may be grouped into one for ease of updating.
  - (b) The summary table shall be submitted to the Engineer's Representative monthly together with the Waste Flow Table for review and monitoring in accordance with the PS Clause 25.24(11)..

## Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)

Name of Person completing the record: K.M. Lui (EO)

Project : Liangtang / Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 6

Contract No.: CV/2013/08

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/ cardboard packaging	Plastics (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000 kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000 m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	40.128	0	19.297	6.067	14.764	0	0	0.171	0	0	0.065
Feb	48.065	0	16.328	7.123	24.614	0	0	0.294	0	0	0.107
Mar	49.230	0	5.661	15.029	28.540	0	0	0.494	0	0	0.217
Apr	9.792	0	0	0	9.792	0	0	0	0	0	0.162
May											
Jun											
Sub-total	147.215	0	41.286	28.219	77.71	0	0	0.959	0	0	0.551
Jul											
Aug											
Sep											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
Total	890.377	0	124.977	226.511	538.889	53.939	0	3.732	0.007	33.755	5.403

- Notes:
- (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
  - (2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/ foam from packaging materials.
  - (3) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.

**MONTHLY SUMMARY WASTE FLOW TABLE**

Name of Department:       CEDD      

Contract Title: Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point  
Site Formation and Infrastructure Works – Contract 7

Contract No.:       NE/2014/03      

**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017 (year)**

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly						Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Wastes Generated Monthly				
	Total Quantity Generated	Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete	Reused in the Contract	Reused in other Projects	Disposed as Public Fill	Imported Fill	Metals	Paper/cardboard packaging	Plastic (see Note 3)	Chemical Waste	Others, e.g. general refuse
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000kg)	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.05	0.001	0	0.01
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.04	0.001	0	0.015
Mar	0.822	0	0	0	0.822	0	2.2	0.04	0.001	0	0.025
Apr	1.473	0	0	0	1.473	0	3.1	0.04	0.001	0	0.02
May											
June											
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>2.295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.07</b>
July											
Aug											
Sept											
Oct											
Nov											
Dec											
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2.295</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.07</b>

Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.  
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Contract No. / Works Order No.: - SSC505**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2017** [year] [to be submitted not later than the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month following reporting month]

(All quantities shall be rounded off to 3 decimal places.)

Month	Actual Quantities of Inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly				
	(a)=(b)+(c)+(d)+(e) Total Quantity Generated	(b) Broken Concrete (see Note 4)	(c) Reused in the Contract	(d) Reused in other Projects	(e) Disposed of as Public Fill
	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )	(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
Jan	3.160	0	2.003	0	1.157
Feb	1.374	0	0.249	0	1.1245
Mar	0.548	0	0.054	0	0.494
Apr	3.136	0.013	0.13919	0	2.9835
May	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-total	8.217	0.013	2.44519	0	5.759
Jul	-	-	-	-	-
Aug	-	-	-	-	-
Sep	-	-	-	-	-
Oct	-	-	-	-	-
Nov	-	-	-	-	-
Dec	-	-	-	-	-
Total	8.21719	0.013	2.44519	0	5.759

Month	Actual Quantities of Non-inert Construction Waste Generated Monthly												
	Timber		Metals		Paper/ cardboard packaging		Plastics (see Note 3)		Chemical Waste		Other Recyclable Materials  (see Page 3)		General Refuse disposed of at Landfill
	(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000kg)		(in '000m <sup>3</sup> )
	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated	recycled	generated
Jan	0	0	458.15	458.15	0.560	0.560	0.058	0.058	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.024	0.481
Feb	0	0	177.18	177.18	0.370	0.370	0.036	0.036	0.000	0.000	0.008	0.008	0.280
Mar	0	0	97.37	97.37	3.380	3.380	1.573	1.573	0.000	0.000	0.0355	0.0355	0.4225
Apr	0	0	148.11	148.11	0.3	0.3	1.223	1.223	0.000	0.000	29.795	29.795	0.3575
May	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jun	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>880.81</b>	<b>880.81</b>	<b>4.610</b>	<b>4.610</b>	<b>2.890</b>	<b>2.890</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>29.863</b>	<b>29.863</b>	<b>1.541</b>
Jul	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Aug	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sep	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oct	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nov	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dec	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>880.81</b>	<b>880.81</b>	<b>4.610</b>	<b>4.610</b>	<b>2.890</b>	<b>2.890</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>29.863</b>	<b>29.863</b>	<b>1.541</b>

Description of mode and details of recycling if any for the month e.g. XX kg of used timber was sent to YY site for transformation into fertilizers					
25kg of cans and 0.3tons of paper were sent to Kong Han and Wai San for recycling	1.223tons of plastics (bottles and water-filled barrier) were sent to Action Health and Forest Hill for recycling.	148.11 tons of scrap metals were sent for recycling.	29.77 tons of broken concrete were sent to K. Wah for recycling.		

- Notes:
- (1) The performance targets are given in the Particular Specification on Environmental Management Plan.
  - (2) The waste flow table shall also include construction waste that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the site.
  - (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.
  - (4) Broken concrete for recycling into aggregates.
  - (5) If necessary, use the conversion factor: 1 full load of dumping truck being equivalent to 6.5 m<sup>3</sup> by volume.

**Appendix M**

**Implementation Schedule for  
Environmental Mitigation Measures**

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b>Air Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
3.6.1.1	2.1	<p><b>General Dust Control Measures</b></p> <p>The following dust suppression measures should be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Frequent water spraying for active construction areas (4 times per day for active areas in Po Kak Tsai and 8 times per day for all other active areas), including areas with heavy construction and slope cutting activities</li> <li>■ 80% of stockpile areas should be covered by impervious sheets</li> <li>■ Speed of trucks within the site should be controlled to about 10 km/hr</li> <li>■ All haul roads within the site should be paved to avoid dust emission due to vehicular movement</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation
3.6.1.2	2.1	<p><b>Best Practice for Dust Control</b></p> <p>The relevant best practices for dust control as stipulated in the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be adopted to further reduce the construction dust impacts of the Project. These best practices include:</p> <p><i>Good site management</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ The Contractor should maintain high standard of housekeeping to prevent emission of fugitive dust.</li> <li>■ Loading, unloading, handling and storage of raw materials, wastes or by-products should be carried out in a manner so as to minimize the release of visible dust emission.</li> <li>■ Any piles of materials accumulated on or around the work areas should be cleaned up regularly.</li> <li>■ Cleaning, repair and maintenance of all plant facilities within the work areas should be carried out in a manner minimizing generation of fugitive dust emissions.</li> <li>■ The material should be handled properly to prevent fugitive dust emission before cleaning.</li> </ul> <p><i>Disturbed Parts of the Roads</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Each and every main temporary access should be paved with</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse dust emission generated from various construction activities of the works sites	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	During Construction	EIA Recommendation and Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>concrete, bituminous hardcore materials or metal plates and kept clear of dusty materials; or</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unpaved parts of the road should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical so as to keep the entire road surface wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Exposed Earth</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exposed earth should be properly treated by compaction, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or seeding with latex, vinyl, bitumen within six months after the last construction activity on the site or part of the site where the exposed earth lies.</li> </ul> <p><i>Loading, Unloading or Transfer of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All dusty materials should be sprayed with water immediately prior to any loading or transfer operation so as to keep the dusty material wet.</li> </ul> <p><i>Debris Handling</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any debris should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or stored in a debris collection area sheltered on the top and the three sides.</li> <li>Before debris is dumped into a chute, water should be sprayed so that it remains wet when it is dumped.</li> </ul> <p><i>Transport of Dusty Materials</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle used for transporting dusty materials/spoils should be covered with tarpaulin or similar material. The cover should extend over the edges of the sides and tailboards.</li> </ul> <p><i>Wheel washing</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Vehicle wheel washing facilities should be provided at each construction site exit. Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> </ul> <p><i>Use of vehicles</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Immediately before leaving the construction site, every vehicle should be washed to remove any dusty materials from its body and wheels.</li> <li>Where a vehicle leaving the construction site is carrying a load of dusty materials, the load should be covered entirely by clean impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle.</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p><i>Site hoarding</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where a site boundary adjoins a road, street, service lane or other area accessible to the public, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high from ground level should be provided along the entire length of that portion of the site boundary except for a site entrance or exit.</li> </ul> <p><i>Blasting</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The areas within 30m from the blasting area should be wetted with water prior to blasting.</li> </ul>					
<b><u>Air Quality Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
3.5.2.2	2.2	<p>The following odour containment and control measures will be provided for the proposed sewage treatment work at the BCP site:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The treatment work will be totally enclosed. Negative pressure ventilation will be provided within the enclosure to avoid any fugitive odorous emission from the treatment work.</li> <li>Further odour containment will be achieved by covering or confining the sewage channels, sewage tanks, and equipment with potential odour emission.</li> <li>Proper mixing will be provided at the equalization and sludge holding tanks to prevent sewage septicity.</li> <li>Chemical or biological deodorisation facilities with a minimum odour removal efficiency of 90% will be provided to treat potential odorous emissions from the treatment plant including sewage channels / tanks, filter press and screening facilities so as to minimize any potential odour impact to the nearby ASRs.</li> </ul>	To minimize potential odour impact from operation of the proposed sewage treatment work at BCP	DSD	BCP	Operation Phase	EIA recommendation
<b><u>Noise Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Adoption of Quieter PME</b></p> <p>Use of the recommended quieter PME such as those given in the BS5228: Part 1:2009 and presented in <b>Table 4.14</b>, which can be found in Hong Kong.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and Noise Control Ordinance (NCO)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Movable Noise Barrier</b></p> <p>The use of movable barrier for certain PME can further alleviate the construction noise impacts. In general, a 5 dB(A) reduction for movable PME and 10 dB(A) for stationary PME can be achieved depending on the actual design of the movable noise barrier. The Contractor shall be responsible for design of the movable noise barrier with due consideration given to the size of the PME and the requirement for intercepting the line of sight between the NSRs and PME. Barrier material with surface mass in excess of 7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> is recommended to achieve the predicted screening effect.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Enclosure/ Acoustic Shed</b></p> <p>The use of noise enclosure or acoustic shed is to cover stationary PME such as air compressor and concrete pump. With the adoption of the noise enclosure, the PME could be completely screened, and noise reduction of 15 dB(A) can be achieved according to the GW-TM.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Use of Noise Insulating Fabric</b></p> <p>Noise insulating fabric can be adopted for certain PME (e.g. drill rig, pilling auger etc). The insulating fabric should be lapped such that there are no openings or gaps on the joints. Technical data from manufacturers state that by using the Fabric, a noise reduction of over 10 dB(A) can be achieved on noise level.</p>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.4.1.4	3.1	<p><b>Good Site Practice</b></p> <p>The good site practices listed below should be followed during each phase of construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Silencers or mufflers on construction equipment should be utilized and should be properly maintained during the construction programme;</li> <li>• Mobile plant, if any, should be sited as far from NSRs as possible;</li> <li>• Machines and plant (such as trucks) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum;</li> <li>• Plant known to emit noise strongly in one direction should, wherever possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from the nearby NSRs; and</li> <li>• Material stockpiles and other structures should be effectively utilized, wherever practicable, in screening noise from on-site construction activities.</li> </ul>	To minimize the construction air-borne noise impact	Contractors	Construction Work Sites	During Construction	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO
<b><u>Noise Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
<u>Road Traffic Noise</u>							
Table 4.42 and Figure 4.20.1 to 4.20.4	3.2	Erection of noise barrier/ enclosure along the viaduct section.	To minimize the road traffic noise along the connecting road of BCP	Contractor	Loi Tung and Fanling Highway Interchange	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<u>Fixed Plant Noise</u>							
Table 4.46	3.2	Specification of the maximum allowable sound power levels of the proposed fixed plants during daytime and night-time.	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIA recommendation, EIAO and NCO

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
4.5.2.4	3.2	<p>The following noise reduction measures shall be considered as far as practicable during operation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Choose quieter plant such as those which have been effectively silenced;</li> <li>Include noise levels specification when ordering new plant (including chillier and E/M equipment);</li> <li>Locate fixed plant/louver away from any NSRs as far as practicable;</li> <li>Locate fixed plant in walled plant rooms or in specially designed enclosures;</li> <li>Locate noisy machines in a basement or a completely separate building;</li> <li>Install direct noise mitigation measures including silencers, acoustic louvers and acoustic enclosure where necessary; and</li> <li>Develop and implement a regularly scheduled plant maintenance programme so that equipment is properly operated and serviced in order to maintain a controlled level of noise.</li> </ul>	To minimize the fixed plant noise impact	Managing Authority of the buildings / Contractor	BCP, Administration Building and all ventilation buildings	Before Operation	EIAO and NCO
<b>Water Quality Impact (Construction)</b>							
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Construction site runoff and drainage</b></p> <p>The site practices outlined in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be followed as far as practicable in order to minimise surface runoff and the chance of erosion. The following measures are recommended to protect water quality and when properly implemented should be sufficient to adequately control site discharges so as to avoid water quality impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At the start of site establishment, perimeter cut-off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works and erosion and sedimentation control facilities implemented. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. The design of the temporary on-site drainage system should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas.</li> </ul>	To control site runoff and drainage; prevent high sediment loading from reaching the nearby watercourses	Contractor	Construction Works Sites	Construction Phase	Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction Site Drainage (ProPECC Note PN 1/94)

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into stormwater drainage system through a sediment/silt trap. The sediment/silt traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates, if practical.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Sand/silt removal facilities such as sand/silt traps and sediment basins should be provided to remove sand/silt particles from runoff to meet the requirements of the TM standards under the WPCO. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Sizes may vary depending upon the flow rate. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the Contractor prior to the commencement of construction.</li> <li>▪ All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly during rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be regularly removed, at the onset of and after each rainstorm to ensure that these facilities are functioning properly at all times.</li> <li>▪ Measures should be taken to minimize the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, they should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities.</li> <li>▪ If surface excavation works cannot be avoided during the wet season (April to September), temporarily exposed slope/soil surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means, as far as practicable, and temporary access roads should be protected by crushed stone or gravel, as excavation proceeds. Interception channels should be provided (e.g. along the crest/edge of the excavation) to prevent storm runoff from washing across exposed soil surfaces. Arrangements should always be in place to ensure that adequate surface protection measures can be safely carried out well before the arrival of a rainstorm. Other measures that need to be implemented before, during and after rainstorms are summarized in ProPECC Note PN 1/94.</li> <li>▪ The overall slope of the site should be kept to a minimum to reduce</li> </ul>					

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>the erosive potential of surface water flows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facility should be provided at construction site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed regularly to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The section of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient backfall toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and drains.</li> <li>▪ Open stockpiles of construction materials or construction wastes on-site should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to prevent the washing away of construction materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</li> <li>▪ Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and stormwater runoff being directed into foul sewers.</li> <li>▪ Precautions should be taken at any time of the year when rainstorms are likely. Actions should be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted and actions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of ProPECC Note PN 1/94. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events, especially for areas located near steep slopes.</li> <li>▪ Bentonite slurries used in piling or slurry walling should be reconditioned and reused wherever practicable. Temporary enclosed storage locations should be provided on-site for any unused bentonite that needs to be transported away after all the related construction activities are completed. The requirements in ProPECC Note PN 1/94 should be adhered to in the handling and disposal of bentonite slurries.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.1	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices for works within water gathering grounds</b></p> <p>The following conditions should be complied, if there is any works to be carried out within the water gathering grounds:</p>	To minimize water quality impacts to the water gathering grounds	Contractor	Construction Works Sites within the water gathering	Construction Phase	ProPECC Note PN 1/94

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Adequate measures should be implemented to ensure no pollution or siltation occurs to the catchwaters and catchments.</li> <li>▪ No earth, building materials, oil or fuel, soil, toxic materials or any materials that may possibly cause contamination to water gathering grounds are allowed to be stockpiled on site.</li> <li>▪ All surplus spoil should be removed from water gathering grounds as soon as possible.</li> <li>▪ Temporary drains with silt traps should be constructed at the site boundary before the commencement of any earthworks.</li> <li>▪ Regular cleaning of silt traps should be carried out to ensure proper operation at all time.</li> <li>▪ All excavated or filled surfaces which have the risk of erosion should always be protected form erosion.</li> <li>▪ Facilities for washing the wheels of vehicles before leaving the site should be provided.</li> <li>▪ Any construction plant which causes pollution to catchwaters or catchments due to the leakage of oil or fuel should be removed off site immediately.</li> <li>▪ No maintenance activities which may generate chemical wastes should be undertaken in the water gathering grounds. Vehicle maintenance should be confined to designated paved areas only and any spillages should be cleared up immediately using absorbents and waste oils should be collected in designated tanks prior to disposal off site. All storm water run-off from these areas should be discharged via oil/petrol separators and sand/silt removal traps.</li> <li>▪ Any soil contaminated with fuel leaked from plant should be removed off site and the voids arising from removal of contaminated soil should be replaced by suitable material approved by the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Provision of temporary toilet facilities and use of chemicals or insecticide of any kind are subject to the approval of the Director of Water Supplies.</li> <li>▪ Drainage plans should be submitted for approval by the Director of</li> </ul>			grounds		

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>Water Supplies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ An unimpeded access through the waterworks access road should always be maintained.</li> <li>▪ Earthworks near catchwaters or streamcourses should only be carried out in dry season between October and March,</li> <li>▪ Advance notice must be given before the commencement of works on site quoting WSD's approval letter reference.</li> </ul>					
5.6.1.2	4.1	<p><b>Good site practices of general construction activities</b></p> <p>Construction solid waste, debris and refuse generated on-site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid entering any nearby stormwater drain. Stockpiles of cement and other construction materials should be kept covered when not being used.</p> <p>Oils and fuels should only be stored in designated areas which have pollution prevention facilities. To prevent spillage of fuels and solvents to any nearby stormwater drain, all fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and be sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank. The bund should be drained of rainwater after a rain event.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation
5.6.1.3	4.1	<p><b>Sewage effluent from construction workforce</b></p> <p>Temporary sanitary facilities, such as portable chemical toilets, should be employed on-site where necessary to handle sewage from the workforce. A licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and Water Pollution Control Ordinance (WPCO)
5.6.1.4	4.1	<p><b>Hydrogeological Impact</b></p> <p>Grout injection works would be conducted before blasting, for sealing a limited area around the tunnel with a grout of a suitable strength for controlling the potential groundwater inflows. The pre-injection grouting method would be supplemented by post-injection grouting where necessary to further enhance the groundwater inflow control. On-site treatment for the groundwater ingress pumped out would be required to remove any contamination by grouting materials before discharge off-site.</p>	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	Construction works sites of the drill and blast tunnel	Construction phase	EIA Recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Water Quality Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
No mitigation measure is required.							

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Construction)</u></b>							
6.7	5	The sewage generated by the on-site workforce should be collected in chemical toilets and disposed of off-site by a licensed waste collector.	To minimize water quality impacts	Contractor	All construction works sites with on-site sanitary facilities	Construction phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Sewage and Sewerage Treatment Impact (Operation)</u></b>							
6.6.3	5	Sewage generated by the BCP and Chuk Yuen Village Resite will be collected and treated by the proposed on-site sewage treatment facility using Membrane Bioreactor treatment with a portion of the treated wastewater reused for irrigation and flushing within the BCP.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	BCP	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
6.5.3	5	Sewage generated from the Administration Building will be discharged to the existing local sewerage system.	To minimize water quality impacts	DSD	Administration Building	Operation phase	EIA recommendation and WPCO
<b><u>Waste Management Implication (Construction)</u></b>							
7.6.1.1	6	<p><b>Good Site Practices</b></p> <p>Adverse impacts related to waste management such as potential hazard, air, odour, noise, wastewater discharge and public transport as mentioned in section 3.4.7.2 (ii)(c) of the Study Brief are not expected to arise, provided that good site practices are strictly followed. Recommendations for good site practices during the construction activities include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Nomination of an approved person, such as a site manager, to be responsible for good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site</li> <li>▪ Training of site personnel in proper waste management and chemical handling procedures</li> <li>▪ Provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection of waste</li> <li>▪ Dust suppression measures as required under the Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation should be followed as far as practicable. Appropriate measures to minimise windblown litter and dust/odour during transportation of waste by covering trucks or in enclosed containers</li> <li>▪ General refuse shall be removed away immediately for disposal. As</li> </ul>	To minimize adverse environmental impact	Contractor	Construction works sites (general)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; Waste Disposal (Chemical Wastes) (General) Regulation; and ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005, Environmental Management on Construction Site

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>such odour is not anticipated to be an issue to distant sensitive receivers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Provision of wheel washing facilities before the trucks leaving the works area so as to minimise dust introduction from public road</li> <li>▪ Covers and water spraying system should be provided for the stockpiled C&amp;D material to prevent dust impact or being washed away</li> <li>▪ Designate different locations for storage of C&amp;D material to enhance reuse</li> <li>▪ Well planned programme for transportation of C&amp;D material to lessen the off-site traffic impact. Well planned delivery programme for offsite disposal and imported filling material such that adverse noise impact from transporting of C&amp;D material is not anticipated</li> <li>▪ Site practices outlined in ProPECC PN 1/94 “Construction Site Drainage” should be adopted as far as practicable, such as cleaning and maintenance of drainage systems regularly</li> <li>▪ Provision of cover for the stockpile material, sand bag or earth bund as barrier to prevent material from washing away and entering the drains</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.2	6	<p><b>Waste Reduction Measures</b></p> <p>Good management and control can prevent the generation of a significant amount of waste. Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design stage, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. Recommendations to achieve waste reduction include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Segregation and storage of different types of waste in different containers, skips or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling of materials and their proper disposal</li> <li>▪ Encourage collection of aluminium cans by providing separate labelled bins to enable this waste to be segregated from other general refuse generated by the work force</li> <li>▪ Proper storage and site practices to minimise the potential for damage or contamination of construction materials</li> <li>▪ Plan and stock construction materials carefully to minimise amount</li> </ul>	To reduce the quantity of wastes	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation and Waste Disposal Ordinance

EIA Ref.	EM&A Ref.	Recommended Mitigation Measures	Objectives of the Recommended Measure & Main Concerns to address	Who to implement the measure?	Location of the measure	When to implement the measure?	What requirements or standards for the measure to achieve?
		<p>of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In addition to the above measures, specific mitigation measures are recommended below for the identified waste arising to minimise environmental impacts during handling, transportation and disposal of these wastes.</li> </ul>					
7.6.1.3	6	<p><b>C&amp;D Materials</b></p> <p>In order to minimise impacts resulting from collection and transportation of C&amp;D material for off-site disposal, the excavated materials should be reused on-site as backfilling material as far as practicable. The surplus rock and other inert C&amp;D material would be disposed of at the Government's Public Fill Reception Facilities (PFRFs) at Tuen Mun Area 38 for beneficial use by other projects in the HKSAR as the last resort. C&amp;D waste generated from general site clearance and tree felling works would require disposal to the designated landfill site. Other mitigation requirements are listed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A Waste Management Plan should be prepared and implemented in accordance with ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005 Environmental Management on Construction Site; and</li> <li>In order to monitor the disposal of C&amp;D material and solid wastes at public filling facilities and landfills, and to control fly-tipping, a trip-ticket system (e.g. ETWB TCW No. 31/2004) should be included.</li> </ul>	To minimize impacts resulting from C&D material	Contractor	Construction Works Sites (General)	Construction Phase	EIA recommendation; Waste Disposal Ordinance; and ETWB TCW No. 31/2004
7.6.1.4	6	<p><b>General refuse</b></p> <p>General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins or compaction units separated from other C&amp;D material. A reputable waste collector is to be employed by the Contractor to remove general refuse from the site separately. Preferably an enclosed and covered area should be provided to reduce the occurrence of 'wind blown' litter.</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of general refuse for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal Ordinance and Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance - Public Cleansing and Prevention of Nuisances Regulation
7.6.1.5	6	<p><b>Chemical waste</b></p> <p>If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractor will be required to register with the EPD as a chemical waste producer and to follow the guidelines stated in the <i>Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes</i>. Good quality containers compatible with the chemical wastes should be used, and incompatible chemicals should be stored separately. Appropriate labels should be securely attached on each chemical waste container indicating the corresponding chemical characteristics of the chemical</p>	To minimize impacts resulting from collection and transportation of chemical waste for off-site disposal	Contractor	Construction works sites (General)	Construction phase	Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation and Code of Practice on the Packaging, Labelling and Storage of Chemical Wastes

**Appendix N**

**Investigation Report for Exceedance**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		31 March 2017	
<b>Location</b>		WM1	
<b>Time</b>		9:31	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		51.3 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	54.5 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		67.6 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	64.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Levels</b>	<b>WM1-C</b>	96.4	105.0
	<b>WM1</b>	<b>440.0</b>	<b>361.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from CCKJV, construction activities carried out on 31 March 2017 near Boundary Control Point (BCP) which upstream of WM1 was mainly construction of depressed road. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in <b>Figure 1</b>.</li> <li>2. According to the field photos (<b>Photo 1 &amp; 2</b>) taken on 31 March 2017, muddy water was observed throughout the channel including WM1 and WM1-C and the water sampling was carried out under rain.</li> <li>3. According to weather data record from HKO, there was heavy rainstorm (rainfall 21.9mm) on 31 March 2017. The water quality in the river course was deteriorated by rain and stirred up sediment. Moreover, the past monitoring result in mid-March also revealed that the water quality at control station WM1-C was not in good condition.</li> <li>4. Weekly site inspection was carried out by the ET in late March 2017, it was observed that the main construction activity was construction of depressed road near Bridge Y and no adverse water quality impact was recorded. (<b>Photo 3 &amp; 4</b>) Water mitigation measure such as wastewater treatment facility was properly implemented (<b>Photo 5</b>)</li> <li>5. In our investigation, it is considered that the exceedances were likely related to the impact of the rain and not due to the works under the Contract.</li> <li>6. According to the Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM1 has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. Additional monitoring was carried out on 1 and 3 April 2017 and no exceedance was triggered. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continue fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>	

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_ 

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 20 April 2017

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 31 March 2017, muddy water was observed at WM1 and water sampling was carried out under rain.



**Photo 2**

On 31 March 2017, muddy water was observed at WM1-C and water sampling was carried out under rain.



**Photo 3**

During site inspection in March 2017, it was observed that the main construction activity was construction of depressed road near Bridge Y and no adverse water quality impact was recorded.



**Photo 4**

During site inspection in early March 2017, no adverse water quality impact was observed at the works area near WM1.



**Photo 5**

A wastewater treatment facility with AquaSed SH-20 was implemented.




Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM1 and WM1-C



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		31 March 2017	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		10:44	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	10.9	5.0
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>68.8</b>	<b>40.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the construction activities at South Portal and Wo Keng Shan Park (upstream of WM3x) carried out on 31 March 2017 was mainly bored pile works and construction of Bridge E. The monitoring locations and works areas are illustrated in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo (<i>Photo 1 &amp; 2</i>) on 31 March 2017, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear and the water sampling was carried out under rain.</li> <li>3. According to weather data record from HKO, there was heavy rainstorm (rainfall 21.9mm) on 31 March 2017. The water quality in the river course was deteriorated by rain and stirred up sediment. Moreover, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day. (<i>Photo 3 &amp; 4</i>)</li> <li>4. Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted in early April 2017 for investigation. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities were maintained properly at the works area and no adverse water quality impact and muddy discharge was observed. (<i>Photo 5 &amp; 6</i>)</li> <li>5. Based on the above investigation, it is considered that the exceedances on 31 March 2017 were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 6.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 1 and 3 April 2017. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>	

<b>Action to be taken</b>	The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&A Manual.
---------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Prepared By : Nicola Hon

Designation : Environmental Consultant

Signature : 

Date : 20 April 2017

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 31 March 2017.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 31 March 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

On 31 March 2017, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.



**Photo 4**

The monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.




**Photo 5**

Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted in early April 2017 for investigation. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities were maintained properly at the works area and no adverse water quality impact and muddy discharge was observed.



**Photo 6**

Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted in early April 2017 for investigation. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities were maintained properly at the works area and no adverse water quality impact and muddy discharge was observed.



**Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location**



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008	
<b>Date</b>		31 March 2017	
<b>Location</b>		WM3x	
<b>Time</b>		10:44	
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended Solids (mg/L)
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day
<b>Measured Level</b>	WM3-C	10.9	5.0
	WM3x	<b>68.8</b>	<b>40.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 31 March 2017 at upstream of WM3x was superstructure work at Admin Building. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring locations are illustrated in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo (<b>Photo 1 &amp; 2</b>) on 31 March 2017, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear and the water sampling was carried out under rain.</li> <li>3. According to weather data record from HKO, there was heavy rainstorm (rainfall 21.9mm) on 31 March 2017. The water quality in the river course was deteriorated by rain and stirred up sediment. Moreover, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day. (<b>Photo 3 &amp; 4</b>)</li> <li>4. During weekly site inspection with DHK in early April 2017, it was observed that superstructure work was carried out at Admin Building and wastewater generated from superstructure work was very limited. In addition that the site area of Admin Building was mostly hard paved, no adverse water quality impact was identified during site inspection. (<b>Photo 5</b>)</li> <li>5. Based on the above investigation, it is considered that the exceedances on 31 March 2017 were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 1 and 3 April 2017. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>	

**Prepared By :** \_\_\_\_\_ Nicola Hon \_\_\_\_\_

**Designation :** \_\_\_\_\_ Environmental Consultant \_\_\_\_\_

**Signature :** \_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_

**Date :** \_\_\_\_\_ 20 April 2017 \_\_\_\_\_

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 31 March 2017.



**Photo 2**

During water sampling on 31 March 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

On 31 March 2017, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.



**Photo 4**

The monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.



**Photo 5**

Superstructure works for Admin Building was carried out and wastewater generated from the site was limited. The site area was mostly hard paved and no adverse water quality impact was identified.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**

**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**

**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008			
<b>Date</b>		14 Apr 2017	8 Apr 2017	10 Apr 2017	14 Apr 2017
<b>Location</b>		WM2A(a)			
<b>Time</b>		9:45	10:14	10:05	9:45
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)	Suspended solids (mg/L)		
<b>Action Level</b>		24.9 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day	14.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Limit Level</b>		33.8 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day	17.3 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Measured Levels</b>	WM2A-C	17.9	4.0	12.0	5.5
	WM2A(a)	<b>77.8</b>	<b>25.5</b>	<b>20.0</b>	<b>47.0</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of Contract 6 (CCKJV), construction activities carried out during 8 and 10 April 2017 at Bridge D (upstream of WM2A(a)) were mainly bridge segment erection. There was no construction works performed on 14 April 2017 since it was a general holiday. The monitoring locations and works area are shown in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo taken by the monitoring team on 8 and 10 April 2017, the water quality at the existing river course including WM2A(a) and WM2A-C were clear and no water quality impact was observed. <b>(Photo 1 to 4)</b> On 14 April 2017, turbid water was observed at both WM2A(a) and WM2A-C. <b>(Photo 5 &amp; 6)</b></li> <li>3. During weekly joint site inspection conducted at Bridge D on 6 and 13 April 2017, the water mitigation measures were properly implemented. The observation during the site inspection is summarized below. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Works at Bridge D was mainly bridge segment erection and there was no discharge due to nature of works. <b>(Photo 7)</b></li> <li>(b) Wastewater treatment facilities were provided for Bridge D and the treated water being discharged was visually clear. <b>(Figure 1 and Photo 8)</b></li> <li>(c) To minimize the muddy runoff from the site, concrete block as temporary bund was provided align the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site. <b>(Photo 9)</b></li> <li>(d) The slope adjacent to river course was covered with tarpaulin sheet to minimize muddy runoff. <b>(Photo 10)</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>4. In our investigation, the implementation of water mitigation measures on site was in order and no adverse water quality impact was observed. Moreover, there were no rain recorded on the</li> </ol>			

	<p>exceedance days and muddy runoff from the site was unlikely to occur. It is considered that the exceedances on 8, 10 and 14 April 2017 were due to natural variation and not caused by the works under the Contract.</p> <p>5. According to the Event and Action Plan, the frequency of water monitoring is increase to daily. Since the SS result required 5 working days to process, the need for repeated measurement could only rely on the result of turbidity which is in-situ measurement. There were no repeated monitoring on 11 and 13 April 2017 as no exceedance of turbidity recorded at the day before. Moreover, there were no exceedances recorded on 15 and 18 April 2017 Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 4 May 2017

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**

On 8 April 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



**Photo 2**

On 8 April 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 3**

On 10 April 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A(a) was clear.



**Photo 4**

On 10 April 2017, the water quality observed at WM2A-C was clear.



**Photo 5**

On 14 April 2017, turbid water was observed at WM2A(a).



**Photo 6**

On 14 April 2017, turbid water was observed at WM2A-C.



**Photo 7**

In April 2017, works carried out at Bridge D was mainly segment installation and there was no discharge due to nature of works.



**Photo 8**

Wastewater treatment facilities were provided for Bridge D.



**Photo 9**

Concrete block as temporary bund was provided along the river course and no turbid runoff and discharge was made from the site.



**Photo 10**

The slope adjacent to river course was covered with tarpaulin sheet to minimize muddy runoff.



Figure 1 Location Map for Water Quality Monitoring Locations WM2A(a), WM2A-Control and work area under Contract



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

<b>Project</b>		CE 45/2008					
<b>Date</b>		12 Apr 2017	13 Apr 2017	14 Apr 2017	12 Apr 2017	13 Apr 2017	14 Apr 2017
<b>Location</b>		WM3x					
<b>Time</b>		10:55	11:05	10:20	10:55	11:05	10:20
<b>Parameter</b>		Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
<b>Action Level</b>		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Limit Level</b>		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
<b>Measured Level</b>	<b>WM3-C</b>	3.9	9.7	10.4	<2	13.0	9.0
	<b>WM3x</b>	<b>59.4</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>75.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>37.5</b>
<b>Exceedance</b>		<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>	<b>Limit Level</b>
<b>Investigation Results, Recommendations &amp; Mitigation Measures</b>		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C2 (DHK), the construction activities carried out on 12 and 13 April 2017 at upstream of WM3x was superstructure work at Admin Building. There was no construction works performed on 14 April 2017 since it was a general holiday. The relevant works area under C2 and the water monitoring locations are illustrated in Figure 1.</li> <li>2. According to the site photo on 12, 13 and 14 April 2017, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear. <i>(Photo 1 to 6)</i></li> <li>3. According to weather data record from HKO, there was heavy rainstorm (rainfall 21.5mm) on 12 April 2017. The water quality in the river course was deteriorated by rain and stirred up sediment. Moreover, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day. <i>(Photo 7)</i></li> <li>4. During weekly site inspection with DHK on 7 April 2017, it was observed that superstructure work was carried out at Admin Building and wastewater generated from superstructure work was very limited. In addition that the site area of Admin Building was mostly hard paved, no adverse water quality impact was identified during site inspection. <i>(Photo 8)</i> Beside, inspection was carried out in the monitored channel at the section leading to WM3x. Cumulative mud and sediment was observed at the toe of slope of the river channel and it may cause by the wash out soil from the slope during rainy day on previous days. <i>(Photo 9)</i></li> <li>5. Based on the above investigation, it is considered that the exceedances on 12, 13 and 14 April 2017 were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 2.</li> <li>6. According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 15 and 18 April 2017. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</li> </ol>					

---

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :** 

**Date :** 5 May 2017

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 12 April 2017.



**Photo 2**  
During water sampling on 12 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 13 April 2017.



**Photo 4**  
During water sampling on 13 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 5**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 14 April 2017.



**Photo 6**  
During water sampling on 14 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 7**

The monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.



**Photo 8**

Superstructure works for Admin Building was carried out and wastewater generated from the site was limited. The site area was mostly hard paved and no adverse water quality impact was identified.



**Photo 9**

Inspection was carried out in the monitored channel at the section leading to WM3x. Cumulative mud and sediment was observed at the toe of slope of the river channel and it may cause by the wash out soil from the slope during rainy day on previous days.




Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 2 and Water Quality Monitoring Location



**Agreement No. CE 45/2008**  
**Liantang/ Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and Associated Works**  
**Investigation Report on Action or Limit Level Non-compliance**

Project		CE 45/2008					
Date		12 Apr 2017	13 Apr 2017	14 Apr 2017	12 Apr 2017	13 Apr 2017	14 Apr 2017
Location		WM3x					
Time		10:55	11:05	10:20	10:55	11:05	10:20
Parameter		Turbidity (NTU)			Suspended Solids (mg/L)		
Action Level		13.4 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day			12.6 AND 120% of upstream control station of the same day		
Limit Level		14.0 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day			12.9 AND 130% of upstream control station of the same day		
Measured Level	WM3-C	3.9	9.7	10.4	<2	13.0	9.0
	WM3x	<b>59.4</b>	<b>52.8</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>75.5</b>	<b>38.0</b>	<b>37.5</b>
Exceedance		Limit Level	Limit Level	Limit Level	Limit Level	Limit Level	Limit Level
Investigation Results, Recommendations & Mitigation Measures		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>According to the site information provided from the Contractor of C6 (CCKJV), the construction activities at South Portal and Wo Keng Shan Park (upstream of WM3x) carried out on 12 and 13 April 2017 was mainly bored pile works and construction of Bridge E. There was no construction works performed on 14 April 2017 at Bridge A and Sha Tau Kok Road since it was a general holiday. The monitoring locations and works areas are illustrated in <i>Figure 1</i>.</li> <li>According to the site photo on 12, 13 and 14 April 2017, turbid water was observed at WM3x while the water quality at WM3-C was clear. (<i>Photo 1 to 6</i>)</li> <li>According to weather data record from HKO, there was heavy rainstorm (rainfall 21.5mm) on 12 April 2017. The water quality in the river course was deteriorated by rain and stirred up sediment. Moreover, it was observed that the monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day. (<i>Photo 7</i>)</li> <li>Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted on 13 April 2017 for investigation. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities were properly in place and the discharge water was clear. (<i>Photo 8</i>) However, muddy water flowing from upstream of the site was observed and the source of muddy water was probably came from the adjacent village. (<i>Photo 9</i>) Moreover, inspection was carried out in the monitored channel at the section leading to WM3x. Cumulative mud and sediment was observed at the toe of slope of the river channel and it may cause by the wash out soil from the slope during rainy day on previous days. (<i>Photo 10</i>)</li> <li>Based on the above investigation, it is considered that the exceedances on 12, 13 and 14 April 2017 were unlikely caused by the works under Contract 6.</li> <li>According to Event and Action, the monitoring frequency at WM3x has been increased to daily due to the limit level exceedance recorded until no</li> </ol>					

	<p>exceedances were triggered in consecutive days. There were no exceedances triggered in the monitoring result on 15 and 18 April 2017. Nevertheless, the Contractor should continually fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>
<b>Action to be taken</b>	<p>The Contractor is reminded to fully implement the water mitigation measures as recommended in the implementation schedule for environmental mitigation measures in the EM&amp;A Manual.</p>

**Prepared By :** Nicola Hon

**Designation :** Environmental Consultant

**Signature :**



**Date :** 5 May 2017

## Photo Record



**Photo 1**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 12 April 2017.



**Photo 2**  
During water sampling on 12 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 3**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 13 April 2017.



**Photo 4**  
During water sampling on 13 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 5**  
Turbid water was observed at WM3x on 14 April 2017.



**Photo 6**  
During water sampling on 14 April 2017, the water quality at WM3-C was clear.



**Photo 7**

The monitored channel was also received the storm water from road surface of Sha Tau Kok Road and the water quality at WM3x was highly affected by the road runoff especially during rainy day.




**Photo 8**

Weekly joint site inspection by RE, Contractor, IEC and ET was conducted on 13 April 2017 for investigation. It was observed that wastewater treatment facilities were maintained properly at the works area and discharge water was clear.




**Photo 9**

On 13 April 2017, muddy water flowing from upstream of the site was observed and the source was probably came from the adjacent village.



**Photo 10**

On 13 April 2017, inspection was carried out in the monitored channel at the section leading to WM3x. Cumulative mud and sediment was observed at the toe of slope of the river channel and it may cause by the wash out soil from the slope during rainy day on previous days.



**Figure 1 Location Map for Works Area under Contract 6 and Water Quality Monitoring Location**